OPTIMIZED MULTI-EPITOPE CONSTRUCTS AND USES THEREOF

Inventors: Alessa

Alessandro Sette

Robert Chesnut

Mark J. Newman

Brian D. Livingston

Lilia Maria Babe

Yiyou Chen

Lawrence M. DeYoung

Manley T. F. Huang

Scott D. Power

Assignees:

Epimmune Inc.

Genencore International, Inc.

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application 60/415,463 filed October 3, 2002, and to U.S. Provisional Application 60/419,973, filed October 22, 2002, which are herein incorporated by reference.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY-SPONSORED RESEARCH

[0002] Part of the work performed during development of this invention utilized U.S. Government funds. The U.S. Government has certain rights in this invention.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0003] This present invention relates to the field of biology. In particular, it relates to multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccines and methods of designing such vaccines to provide increased immunogenicity.

BACKGROUND

The technology relevant to multi-epitope ("minigene" e.g., "epigene" [0004] vaccines is developing. Several independent studies have established that induction of simultaneous immune responses against multiple epitopes can be For example, responses against a large number of T cell achieved. specificities can be induced and detected. In natural situations, Doolan et al (Immunity, Vol. 7(1):97-112 (1997)) simultaneously detected recall T cell responses, against as many as 17 different P. falciparum epitopes using PBMC from a single donor. Similarly, Bertoni and colleagues (J Clin Invest, Vol. 100(3):503-13 (1997)) detected simultaneous CTL responses against 12 different HBV-derived epitopes in a single donor. In terms of immunization with multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccines, several examples have been reported where multiple T cell responses were induced. For example, minigene vaccines composed of approximately ten MHC Class I epitopes in which all epitopes were immunogenic and/or antigenic have been reported. Specifically, minigene vaccines composed of 9 EBV (Thomson et al., Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, Vol. 92(13):5845-9 (1995)), 7 HIV (Woodberry et al., J Virol, Vol. 73(7):5320-5 (1999)), 10 murine (Thomson et al., J Immunol, Vol. 160(4):1717-23 (1998)) and 10 tumor-derived (Mateo et al., J Immunol, Vol. 163(7):4058-63 (1999)) epitopes have been shown to be active. It has also been shown that a multi-epitope DNA plasmid encoding nine different HLA-A2.1- and A11-restricted epitopes derived from HBV and HIV induced CTL against all epitopes (Ishioka et al., J Immunol, Vol. 162(7):3915-25 (1999)).

[0005] Thus, minigene vaccines containing multiple MHC Class I and Class II (i.e., CTL and HTL) epitopes can be designed, and presentation and recognition can be obtained for all epitopes. However, the immunogenicity of multi-epitope constructs appears to be strongly influenced by a number of variables, a number of which have heretofore been unknown. For example, the immunogenicity (or antigenicity) of the same epitope expressed in the context of different vaccine constructs can vary over several orders of magnitude. Thus, there exists a need to identify strategies to optimize multi-epitope vaccine constructs. Such optimization is important in terms of induction of potent immune responses and ultimately, for clinical efficacy.

Accordingly, the present invention provides strategies to optimize antigenicity and immunogenicity of multi-epitope vaccines encompassing a large number of epitopes, and optimized multi-epitope vaccines, particularly minigene vaccines, generated in accordance with these strategies.

[0006] The following paragraphs provide a brief review of some of the main variables potentially influencing minigene immunogenicity, epitope processing, and presentation on antigen presenting cells (APCs) in association with Class I and Class II MHC molecules.

Immunodominance

foreign pathogen, only a small fraction ends up in a peptide form capable of binding to MHC Class I antigens and thus of being recognized by T cells. This phenomenon, of obvious potential impact on the development of a multi-epitope vaccine, is known as immunodominance (Yewdell et al., *Annu Rev Immunol*, 17:51-88 (1999)). Several major variables contribute to immunodominance. Herein, we describe variables affecting the generation of the appropriate peptides, both in qualitative and quantitative terms, as a result of intracellular processing.

Junctional Epitopes

[0008] A junctional epitope is defined as an epitope created due to the juxtaposition of two other epitopes. The new epitope is composed of a Cterminal section derived from a first epitope, and an N-terminal section derived from a second epitope. Creation of junctional epitopes is a potential problem in the design of multi-epitope minigene vaccines, for both Class I and Class II restricted epitopes for the following reasons. Firstly, when developing a minigene composed of, or containing, human epitopes, which are typically tested for immunogenicity in HLA transgenic laboratory animals, the creation of murine epitopes could create undesired immunodominance effects. Secondly, the creation of new, unintended epitopes for human HLA Class I or Class II molecules could elicit in vaccine recipients, new T cell specificities that are not expressed by infected cells or tumors that are targets of induced T

cell responses. These responses are by definition irrelevant and ineffective and could even be counterproductive, by creating undesired immunodominance effects.

The existence of junctional epitopes has been documented in a variety [0009] Gefter and collaborators first of different experimental situations. demonstrated the effect in a system in which two different Class II restricted epitopes were juxtaposed and colinearly synthesized (Perkins et al., JImmunol, Vol. 146(7):2137-44 (1991)). The effect was so marked that the immune system recognition of the epitopes could be completely "silenced" by these new junctional epitopes (Wang et al., Cell Immunol, Vol. 143(2):284-97 Helper T cells directed against junctional epitopes were also observed in humans as a result of immunization with a synthetic lipopeptide, **HLA-A2-restricted** HBV-derived of an composed which was immunodominant CTL epitope, and a universal Tetanus Toxoid-derived HTL epitope (Livingston et al, J Immunol, Vol. 159(3):1383-92 (1997)). Thus, the creation of junctional epitopes is a major consideration in the design of multiepitope constructs.

[0010] The present invention provides methods of addressing this problem and avoiding or minimizing the occurrence of junctional epitopes.

Flanking regions

(Yewdell et al., Annu Rev Immunol, 17:51-88 (1999)). Limited process involving endoproteases and potential trimming by exoproteases is followed by translocation across the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane by transporters associated with antigen processing (TAP) molecules. The major cytosolic protease complex involved in generation of antigenic peptides, and their precursors, is the proteasome (Niedermann et al., Immunity, Vol. 2(3):289-99 (1995)), although ER trimming of CTL precursors has also been demonstrated (Paz et al., Immunity Vol. 11(2):241-51 (1999)). It has long been debated whether or not the residues immediately flanking the C and N terminus of the epitope, have an influence on the efficiency of epitope generation.

a major variable in determining immunogenicity and could thus clearly have a major impact on overall minigene potency in that the magnitude of immune response can be directly proportional to the amount of epitope bound by MHC and displayed for T cell recognition. Several studies have provided evidence that this is indeed the case. For example, induction of virus-specific CTL that is essentially proportional to epitope density (Wherry et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 163(7):3735-45 (1999)) has been observed. Further, recombinant minigenes, which encode a preprocessed optimal epitope, have been used to induce higher levels of epitope expression than naturally observed with full-length protein (Anton et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 158(6):2535-42 (1997)). In general, minigene priming has been shown to be more effective than priming with the whole antigen (Restifo et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 154(9):4414-22 (1995); Ishioka et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 162(7):3915-25 (1999)), even though some exceptions have

been noted (Iwasaki et al., Vaccine, Vol. 17(15-16):2081-8 (1999)).

Early studies concluded that residues within the epitope (Hahn et al., J[0013] Exp Med, Vol. 176(5):1335-41 (1992)) primarily regulate immunogenicity. Similar conclusions were reached by other studies, mostly based on grafting an epitope in an unrelated gene, or in the same gene, but in a different location (Chimini et al., J Exp Med, Vol. 169(1):297-302 (1989); Hahn et al., J Exp Med, Vol. 174(3):733-6 (1991)). Other experiments however (Del Val et al., Cell, Vol. 66(6):1145-53 (1991); Hahn et al., J Exp Med, Vol. 176(5):1335-41 (1992)), suggested that residues localized directly adjacent to the CTL epitope can directly influence recognition (Couillin et al., J Exp Med, Vol. 180(3):1129-34 (1994); Bergmann et al., J Virol. Vol. 68(8):5306-10 (1994)). In the context of minigene vaccines, the controversy has been renewed. Shastri and coworkers (Shastri et al., J Immunol, Vol. 155(9):4339-46 (1995)) found that T cell responses were not significantly affected by varying the N-terminal flanking residue but were inhibited by the addition of a single Cterminal flanking residue. The most dramatic inhibition was observed with isoleucine, leucine, cysteine, and proline as the C-terminal flanking residues. In contrast, Gileadi (Gileadi et al., Eur J Immunol, Vol. 29(7):2213-22 (1999)) reported profound effects as a function of the residues located at the N terminus of mouse influenza virus epitopes. Bergmann and coworkers found that aromatic, basic and alanine residues supported efficient epitope recognition, while G and P residues were strongly inhibitory (Bergmann et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 157(8):3242-9 (1996)). In contrast, Lippolis (Lippolis et al., *J Virol*, Vol. 69(5):3134-46 (1995)) concluded that substituting flanking residues did not effect recognition. However, only rather conservative substitutions that are unlikely to affect proteasome specificity were tested.

It appears that the specificity of these effects, and in general of natural [0014]epitopes, roughly correlates with proteasome specificity. For example, proteasome specificity is partly trypsin-like (Niedermann et al., Immunity, Vol. 2(3):289-99 (1995)), with cleavage following basic amino acids. Nevertheless, efficient cleavage of the carboxyl side of hydrophobic and acidic residues is also possible. Consistent with these specificities are the studies of Sherman and collaborators, which found that an R to H mutation at the position following the C-terminus of a p53 epitope affects proteasomemediated processing of the protein (Theobald et al., J Exp Med, Vol. 188(6):1017-28 (1998)). Several other studies (Hanke et al., J Gen Virol, Vol. 79 (Pt 1):83-90 (1998); Thomson et al., Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, Vol. 92(13):5845-9 (1995)) indicated that minigenes can be constructed utilizing minimal epitopes, and that these flanking sequences appear not be required, although the potential for further optimization by the use of flanking regions was also acknowledged.

In sum, for HLA Class I epitopes, the effects of flanking regions on processing and presentation of CTL epitopes is as yet undefined. A systematic analysis of the effect of modulation of flanking regions has not been performed for minigene vaccines. Thus, analysis utilizing minigene vaccines encoding epitopes restricted by human Class I in general is needed. The present invention provides such an analysis and accordingly, provides multi-epitope vaccine constructs optimized for immunogenicity and antigenicity, and methods of designing such constructs.

[0016] HLA Class II peptide complexes are also generated as a result of a complex series of events that is distinct from HLA Class I processing. The processing pathway involves association with Invariant chain (Ii), its transport to specialized compartments, the degradation of Ii to CLIP, and HLA-DM catalyzed removal of CLIP (see (Blum et al., Crit Rev Immunol, Vol. 17(5-

6):411-7 (1997); Arndt et al., *Immunol Res*, Vol. 16(3):261-72 (1997)) for review. Moreover, there is a potentially crucial role of various cathepsins in general, and cathepsin S and L in particular, in Ii degradation (Nakagawa et al., *Immunity*, Vol. 10(2):207-17 (1999)). In terms of generation of functional epitopes however, the process appears to be somewhat less selective (Chapman H.A., *Curr Opin Immunol*, Vol. 10(1):93-102 (1998)), and peptides of many sizes can bind to MHC Class II (Hunt et al., *Science*, Vol. 256(5065):1817-20 (1992)). Most or all of the possible peptides appear to be generated (Moudgil et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 159(6):2574-9 (1997); and Thomson et al., *J Virol*, Vol. 72(3):2246-52 (1998)). Thus, as compared to the issue of flanking regions, the creation of junctional epitopes can be a more serious concern in particular embodiments.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention provides multi-epitope nucleic acid constructs encoding a plurality of CTL and/or HTL epitopes and polypeptide constructs comprising a plurality of CTL and/or HTL epitopes (preferably encoded by the nucleic acid constructs), as well as cells comprising such nucleic acid constructs and/or polypeptide constructs, compositions comprising such nucleic acid constructs and/or polypeptide constructs and/or such cells, and methods for stimulating an immune response (e.g. therapeutic methods) utilizing such nucleic acid constructs and/or polypeptide constructs and/or compositions and/or cells.

[0018] In some embodiments, the invention provides a polynucleotide comprising or alternatively consisting of:

(a) a multi-epitope construct (e.g., minigene) comprising nucleic acids encoding the hepatitis B virus (HBV) cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) epitopes pol 562, pol 745, env 332, pol 530, pol 388, env 249, env 359, pol 640, env 335, env 183, env 313, core 117, core 19, core 18, core 419, pol 392, pol 531, pol 415, pol 47, pol 455, core 141, pol 429, env 236, pol 166, pol 538, core 101, pol 354 and core 137 (i.e., the HBV CTL epitope each consisting of the relevant

- sequence in Table 7), wherein the nucleic acids are directly or indirectly joined to one another in the same reading frame;
- (b) the multi-epitope construct of (a), which further comprises a nucleic acid encoding the HBV CTL epitope pol 665 (i.e. the pol 665 epitope in Table 7), directly or indirectly joined in the same reading frame to CTL epitope nucleic acids of (a);
- (c) a multi-epitope construct comprising nucleic acids encoding the hepatitus B virus (HBV) cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) epitopes pol 149, core 18, pol 562, pol 538, pol 455, env 183, core 141, pol 665, env 335, env 313, pol 354, pol 629, core 19, pol 150, pol 47, pol 388, pol 531 and pol 642, wherein the nucleic acids are directly or indirectly joined to one another in the same reading frame;
- (d) the multi-epitope construct of (a) or (b) or (c), which further comprises one or a plurality of spacer nucleic acids, directly or indirectly joined in the same reading frame to the CTL epitope nucleic acids;
- (e) the multi-epitope construct of (d), wherein the one or plurality of spacer nucleic acids are positioned between the CTL epitope nucleic acids of (a), between the CTL epitope nucleic acids of (a) and (b), between the CTL epitope nucleic acids of (a) and of (a) and of (c), or between the CTL epitope nucleic acids of (c);
- (f) the multi-epitope construct of (d) or (e), wherein the spacer nucleic acids encode an amino acid sequence 1 to 8 residues in length;
- (g) the multi-epitope construct of any of (d) to (f), wherein two or more of the spacer nucleic acids encode different (i.e., non-identical) amino acid sequences;
- (h) the multi-epitope construct of any of (d) to (g), wherein two or more of the spacer nucleic acids encode an amino acid sequence different from the amino acid sequence encoded by other spacer nucleic acids;
- (i) the multi-epitope construct of any of (d) to (h), wherein two or more of the spacer nucleic acids encodes the identical amino acid sequence;

- (j) the multi-epitope construct of any of (d) to (i), wherein one or more of the spacer nucleic acids encode an amino acid sequence comprising or consisting of three consecutive alanine (Ala) residues;
- (k) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (j), which further comprises one or a plurality of nucleic acids encoding a HTL epitope, directly or indirectly joined in the same reading frame to the CTL epitope nucleic acids and/or the spacer nucleic acids;
- (l) the multi-epitope construct of (k), wherein the HTL epitope is a PADRE® epitope;
- (m)the multi-epitope construct of (k), wherein the HTL epitope is an HBV HTL epitope;
- (n) the multi-epitope construct of (m), wherein the HBV HTL epitope is selected from the group consisting of pol 774, pol 694, pol 145, core 50, pol 385, pol 523, env 339, pol 501, pol 420, pol 412, env 180, core 120, pol 96, pol 618, pol 767, and pol 664 (i.e., the HBV HTL epitope each consisting of the relevant sequence in Table 11);
- (o) the multi-epitope construct of any of (k) to (n), which further comprises one or a plurality of spacer nucleic acids between a CTL epitope and an HTL epitope or between HTL epitopes;
- (p) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (o), which further comprises one or more MHC Class I and/or MHC Class II targeting nucleic acid;
- (q) the multi-epitope construct of (p), wherein the targeting nucleic acid encodes a targeting sequence selected from the group consisting of: Ig kappa signal sequence, tissue plasminogen activator signal sequence, insulin signal sequence, endoplasmic reticulum signal sequence, LAMP-1 lysosomal targeting sequence, LAMP-2 lysosomal targeting sequence, HLA-DM lysosomal targeting sequence, HLA-DM-association sequences of HLA-DO, Ig-α cytoplasmic domain, Ig-β cytoplasmic domain, Ii protein, influenza matrix protein, HBV surface antigen, HBV core antigen, and yeast Ty protein;

- (r) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (q), which is optimized for CTL and/or HTL epitope processing;
- (s) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (r), wherein the CTL nucleic acids are sorted to minimize the number of CTL and/or HTL junctional epitopes;
- (t) the multi-epitope construct of any of (k) to (s), wherein the HTL nucleic acids are sorted to minimize the number of CTL and/or HTL junctional epitopes;
- (u) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (t), which comprises one or more nucleic acids encoding one or more flanking amino acid residues;
- (v) the multi-epitope construct of (u), wherein the one or more flanking amino acid residues is selected from the group consisting of: K, R, N, Q, G, A, S, C, and T at a C+1 position of a CTL epitope nucleic acid;
- (w) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (v), wherein the HBV CTL nucleic acids are joined in the order shown in Figure 27A;
- (x) the multi-epitope construct of any of (n) to (w), wherein the HBV HTL nucleic acids are joined in the order shown in Figure 28A;
- (y) the multi-epitope construct of any of (c) to (v) or (x), wherein the HBV CTL nucleic acids are joined in the order shown in Figure 34.
- (z) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (x), which encodes a peptide comprising or consisting of an amino acid sequence shown in Figure 24B, or Table 13, 14, 18 or 19;
- (aa) the multi-epitope construct of (z), which comprises a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of: nucleotides +1 to 1248 of the nucleotide sequence in Table 13, nucleotides +1 to 1032 of the nucleotide sequence in Table 14, the nucleotide sequence in Figure 24C, nucleotides +1 to 2292 of the nucleotide sequence in Table 18, and nucleotides +1 to 2232 of the nucleotide sequence in Table 19;
- (bb) the multi-epitope construct of any of (c) to (v) or (x) or (y) or(z), which encodes a peptide comprising or consisting of an amino acid sequence shown in Table 23 or 24;

- (cc) the multi-epitope construct of (bb), which comprises a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of: nucleotides +1 to 618 of the nucleotide sequence in Table 23, or nucleotides +1 to 657 of the nucleotide sequence in Table 24;
- (dd) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (cc), and one or more regulatory sequences;
- (ee) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (dd), and one or more IRESs;
- (ff) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (ee), and one or more promoters;
- (gg) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (ff), and one or more CMV promoters;
- (hh) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (gg), and two or more CMV promoters;
- (ii) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (hh), and a vector;
- (jj) the multi-epitope construct of (ii), wherein the vector is an expression vector;
- (kk) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (jj), which has the structure of a multi-epitope construct shown in Figure 29A(i), (ii), or (iii).
- [0019] In some embodiments, the polynucleotide of (a) to (kk) has the structure of a vector shown in Figure 29A(i), (ii), or (iii).
- In some embodiments, the invention provides a polynucleotide comprising two multi-epitope constructs, the first comprising the HBV multi-epitope construct in any of (a) to (kk), above, and the second comprising HBV HTL epitopes such as those in (n), wherein the first and second multi-epitope constructs are not directly joined, and/or are not joined in the same frame. Each first and second multi-epitope construct may be operably linked to a regulatoru sequence such as a promoter or an IRES. The polynucleotide comprising the first and second multi-epitope contructs may comprise, e.g., at least one promoter and at least one IRES, one promoter and one IRES, two promoters, or two or more promoters and/or IRESs. The promoter may be a CMV promoter or other promoter described herein or knownin the art. In preferred embodiments, the two multi-epitope constructs have the structure

shown in Figure 29A(i) or (ii). The second multi-epitope construct may encode a peptide comprising or consisting of an amino acid sequence shown in Figure 24C or Table 14. The second multi-epitope construct may comprises a nucleic acid sequence selected from the nucleotide sequence in Figure 24C, and nucleotides +1 to 1032 of the nucleotide sequence in Table 14.

- [0021] In other embodiments the invention provides peptides encoded by the polynucleotides described above, for example, a peptide comprising or alternatively consisting of:
 - (a) a multi-epitope construct (e.g., minigene) comprising the hepatitis B virus (HBV) cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) epitopes pol 562, pol 745, env 332, pol 530, pol 388, env 249, env 359, pol 640, env 335, env 183, env 313, core 117, core 19, core 18, core 419, pol 392, pol 531, pol 415, pol 47, pol 455, core 141, pol 429, env 236, pol 166, pol 538, core 101, pol 354 and core 137 (i.e., CTL epitopes of Figure 27A, consisting of the sequences in Table 7), directly or indirectly joined to one another;
 - (b) the multi-epitope construct of (a), which further comprises the HBV CTL epitope pol 665, directly or indirectly joined to the CTL epitopes of (a);
 - (c) a multi-epitope construct comprising the hepatitus B virus (HBV) cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) epitopes pol 149, core 18, pol 562, pol 538, pol 455, env 183, core 141, pol 665, env 335, env 313, pol 354, pol 629, core 19, pol 150, pol 47, pol 388, pol 531 and pol 642, directly or indirectly joined to one another;
 - (d) the multi-epitope construct of (a) or (b) or (c), which further comprises one or a plurality of spacers, directly or indirectly joined to the CTL epitopes;
 - (e) the multi-epitope construct of (d), wherein the one or plurality of spacers are positioned between the CTL epitopes of (a), between the CTL epitopes of (a) and (b), between the CTL epitopes of (a) and (b) and of (c), or between the CTL epitopes of (c);

- (f) the multi-epitope construct of (d) or (e), wherein the spacers are 1 to 8 amino acid residues in length;
- (g) the multi-epitope construct of any of (d) to (f), wherein two or more of the spacers comprise or consist of different (i.e., non-identical) amino acid sequences;
- (h) the multi-epitope construct of any of (d) to (g), wherein two or more of the spacers comprise or consist of an amino acid sequence different from the amino acid sequence of the other spacers;
- (i) the multi-epitope construct of any of (d) to (h), wherein two
 or more of the spacers comprise or consist of the identical
 amino acid sequence;
- (j) the multi-epitope construct of any of (d) to (i), wherein one or more of the spacers comprises or consists of three consecutive alanine (Ala) residues;
- (k) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (j), which further comprises one or a plurality of HTL epitopes, directly or indirectly joined to the CTL epitopes and/or the spacers;
- (1) the multi-epitope construct of (k), wherein the one or plurality of HTL epitopes is a PADRE® epitope;
- (m)the multi-epitope construct of (k), wherein the one or plurality of HTL epitopes is an HBV HTL epitope;
- (n) the multi-epitope construct of (m), wherein the one or plurality of HTL epitopes is selected from the group consisting of pol 774, pol 694, pol 145, core 50, pol 385, env 339, pol 501, pol 420, pol 412, env 180, core 120, pol 96, pol 618, pol 767, and pol 664;
- (o) the multi-epitope construct of any of (k) to (n), which further comprises one or a plurality of spacers between a CTL epitope and an HTL epitope or between HTL epitopes;
- (p) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (o), which further comprises one or more MHC Class I and/or MHC Class II targeting sequences;

- (q) the multi-epitope construct of (p), wherein the one or more targeting sequence is selected from the group consisting of: Ig kappa signal sequence, tissue plasminogen activator signal sequence, insulin signal sequence, and endoplasmic reticulum signal sequence, LAMP-1 lysosomal targeting sequence, LAMP-2 lysosomal targeting sequence, HLA-DM lysosomal targeting sequence, HLA-DM-association sequences of HLA-DO, Ig-α cytoplasmic domain, Ig-β cytoplasmic domain, Ii protein, influenza matrix protein, HBV surface antigen, HBV core antigen, and yeast Ty protein;
- (r) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (q), which is optimized for CTL and/or HTL epitope processing;
- (s) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (r), wherein the CTL epitopes are sorted to minimize the number of CTL and/or HTL junctional epitopes;
- (t) the multi-epitope construct of any of (k) to (s), wherein the HTL epitopes are sorted to minimize the number of CTL and/or HTL junctional epitopes;
- (u) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (t), which comprises one or more flanking amino acid residues;
- (v) the multi-epitope construct of (u), wherein one or more the flanking amino acid residues is selected from the group consisting of: K, R, N, Q, G, A, S, C, and T at a C+1 position of a CTL epitope;
- (w) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (v), wherein the HBV CTL epitopes are joined in the order shown in Figure 27A;
- (x) the multi-epitope construct of any of (n) to (w), wherein the HBV HTL epitopes are joined in the order shown in Figure 28A;
- (y) the multi-epitope construct of any of (c) to (v) or (x), wherein the HBV CTL epitopes are joined in the order shown in Figure 34;

- (z) the multi-epitope construct of any of (a) to (x), which comprises or consists of an amino acid sequence shown in Figure 24B, or Table 13, 14, 18 or 19;
- (aa) the multi-epitope construct of (z), which is encoded by a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of: nucleotides +1 to 1248 of the nucleotide sequence in Table 13, nucleotides +1 to 1032 of the nucleotide sequence in Table 14, the nucleotide sequence in Figure 24C, nucleotides +1 to 2292 of the nucleotide sequence in Table 18, and nucleotides +1 to 2232 of the nucleotide sequence in Table 19;
- (bb) the multi-epitope construct of any of (c) to (v), or (x) or(y), which comprises or consists of an amino acid sequence shown in Table 23 or 24;
- (cc) the multi-epitope construct of (bb), which is encoded by a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of: nucleotides +1 to 618 of the nucleotide sequence in Table 23 and nucleotides +1 to 657 of the nucleotide sequence in Table 24.
- In other embodiments, the invention provides cells comprising the polynucleotides and/or polypeptides above; compositions comprising the polynucleotides and/or polypeptides and/or cells; methods for making these polynucleotides, polypeptides, cells and compositions; and methods for stimulating an immune response (e.g. therapeutic and/or prophylactic methods) utilizing these polynucleotides and/or polypeptides and/or cells and/or compositions. The invention is described in further detail below.

DEFINITIONS

[0023] The following definitions are provided to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to understand some of the preferred embodiments of invention disclosed herein. It is understood, however, that these definitions are exemplary only and should not be used to limit the scope of the invention as set forth in the claims. Those of ordinary skill in the art will be able to

construct slight modifications to the definitions below and utilize such modified definitions to understand and practice the invention disclosed herein. Such modifications, which would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as they may be applicable to the claims set forth below, are considered to be within the scope of the present invention.

[0024]

Throughout this disclosure, "binding data" results are often expressed in terms of "IC50's." IC50 is the concentration of peptide in a binding assay at which 50% inhibition of binding of a reference peptide is observed. Given the conditions in which the assays are run (i.e., limiting HLA proteins and labeled peptide concentrations), these values approximate K_D values. Assays for determining binding are described in detail, e.g., in PCT publications WO 94/20127 and WO 94/03205. It should be noted that IC_{50} values can change, often dramatically, if the assay conditions are varied, and depending on the particular reagents used (e.g., HLA preparation, etc.). For example, excessive concentrations of HLA molecules will increase the apparent measured IC50 of a given ligand. Alternatively, binding is expressed relative to a reference peptide. Although as a particular assay becomes more, or less, sensitive, the IC50's of the peptides tested may change somewhat, the binding relative to the reference peptide will not significantly change. For example, in an assay run under conditions such that the IC₅₀ of the reference peptide increases 10-fold, the IC₅₀ values of the test peptides will also shift approximately 10-fold. Therefore, to avoid ambiguities, the assessment of whether a peptide is a good, intermediate, weak, or negative binder is generally based on its IC50, relative to the IC₅₀ of a standard peptide. Binding may also be determined using other assay systems including those using: live cells (e.g., Ceppellini et al., Nature 339:392, 1989; Christnick et al., Nature 352:67, 1991; Busch et al., Int. Immunol. 2:443, 19990; Hill et al., J. Immunol. 147:189, 1991; del Guercio et al., J. Immunol. 154:685, 1995), cell free systems using detergent lysates (e.g., Cerundolo et al., J. Immunol. 21:2069, 1991), immobilized purified MHC (e.g., Hill et al., J. Immunol. 152, 2890, 1994; Marshall et al., J. Immunol. 152:4946, 1994), ELISA systems (e.g., Reay et al., EMBO J. 11:2829, 1992), surface plasmon resonance (e.g., Khilko et al., J. Biol. Chem. 268:15425, 1993); high flux soluble phase assays (Hammer et al., J. Exp. Med. 180:2353, 1994), and measurement of class I MHC stabilization or assembly (e.g., Ljunggren et al., Nature 346:476, 1990; Schumacher et al., Cell 62:563, 1990; Townsend et al., Cell 62:285, 1990; Parker et al., J. Immunol. 149:1896, 1992).

[0025]

The designation of a residue position in an epitope as the "carboxyl terminus" or the "carboxyl terminal position" refers to the residue position at the end of the epitope that is nearest to the carboxyl terminus of a peptide, which is designated using conventional nomenclature as defined below. "C + 1" refers to the residue or position immediately following the C-terminal residue of the epitope, *i.e.*, refers to the residue flanking the C-terminus of the epitope. The "carboxyl terminal position" of the epitope occurring at the carboxyl end of the multi-epitope construct may or may not actually correspond to the carboxyl terminal end of polypeptide. In preferred embodiments, the epitopes employed in the optimized multi-epitope constructs are motif-bearing epitopes and the carboxyl terminus of the epitope is defined with respect to primary anchor residues corresponding to a particular motif.

[0026]

The designation of a residue position in an epitope as "amino terminus" or "amino-terminal position" refers to the residue position at the end of the epitope which is nearest to the amino terminus of a peptide, which is designated using conventional nomenclature as defined below. "N-1" refers to the residue or position immediately adjacent to the epitope at the amino terminal end (position number 1) of an eptiope. The "amino terminal position" of the epitope occurring at the amino terminal end of the multi-epitope construct may or may not actually corresponds to the amino terminal end of the polypeptide. In preferred embodiments, the epitopes employed in the optimized multi-epitope constructs are motif-bearing epitopes and the amino terminus of the epitope is defined with respect to primary anchor residues corresponding to a particular motif.

[0027]

A "computer" or "computer system" generally includes: a processor; at least one information storage/retrieval apparatus such as, for example, a hard drive, a disk drive or a tape drive; at least one input apparatus such as, for example, a keyboard, a mouse, a touch screen, or a microphone; and display structure. Additionally, the computer may include a communication channel in communication with a network such that remote users may communicate with the computer via the network to perform multi-epitope construct

optimization functions disclosed herein. Such a computer may include more or less than what is listed above. The network may be a local area network (LAN), wide area network (WAN) or a global network such as the world wide web (e.g., the internet).

A "construct" as used herein generally denotes a composition that does not occur in nature. A construct may be a "polynucleotide construct" or a "polypeptide construct." A construct can be produced by synthetic technologies, e.g., recombinant DNA preparation and expression or chemical synthetic techniques for nucleic acids and amino acids and peptides and polypeptides. A construct can also be produced by the addition or affiliation of one material with another such that the result is not found in nature in that form.

The term "multi-epitope construct" when refering to nucleic acids and [0029] polynucleotides can be used interchangeably with the terms "minigene" and "multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccine," and other equivalent phrases, and comprises multiple epitope nucleic acids that encode peptide epitopes of any length that can bind to a molecule functioning in the immune system, preferably a class I HLA and a T-cell receptor or a class II HLA and a T-cell receptor. The epitope nucleic acids in a multi-epitope construct can encode class I HLA epitopes and/or class II HLA epitopes. Class I HLA-encoding epitope nucleic acids are referred to as CTL epitope nucleic acids, and class II HLA-encoding epitope nucleic acids are referred to as HTL epitope nucleic acids. Some multi-epitope constructs can have a subset of the multi-epitope nucleic acids encoding class I HLA epitopes and another subset of the multiepitope nucleic acids encoding class II HLA epitopes. The CTL epitope nucleic acids preferably encode an epitope peptide of less than about 15 residues in length, or less than about 13 amino acids in length, or less than about 11 amino acids in length, preferably about 8 to about 13 amino acids in length, more preferably about 8 to about 11 amino acids in length (e.g. 8, 9, 10, or 11), and most preferably about 9 or 10 amino acids in length. The HTL epitope nucleic acids can encode an epitope peptide of less than about 50 residues in length, and usually consist of about 6 to about 30 residues, more usually between about 12 to 25, and often about 15 to 20, and preferably about 7 to about 23, preferably about 7 to about 17, more preferably about 11 to

about 15 (e.g. 11,12,13,14,or 15), and most preferably about 13 amino acids in length. The multi-epitope constructs described herein preferably include 5 or more, 10 or more, 15 or more, 20 or more, or 25 or more epitope nucleic acids. All of the epitope nucleic acids in a multi-epitope construct may be from one organism (e.g., the nucleotide sequence of every epitope nucleic acid may be present in HBV or HIV strains), or the multi-epitope construct may include epitope nucleic acids sequences present in two or more different organisms (e.g., the nucleotide sequence of some epitope encoding nucleic acid sequences from HBV and some from HIV and/or some from HCV). The term "epigene" is used herein to refer to certain multi-epitope constructs. As described hereafter, one or more epitope nucleic acids in the multi-epitope construct may be flanked by a spacer nucleic acid, and/or other nucleic acids also described herein or otherwise known in the art.

[0030]

The term "multi-epitope construct," when refering to polypeptides, can be used interchangeably with the terms "minigene construct," "multi-epitope vaccine," and other equivalent phrases, and comprises multiple peptide epitopes of any length that can bind to a molecule functioning in the immune system, preferably a class I HLA and a T-cell receptor or a class II HLA and a T-cell receptor. The epitopes in a multi-epitope construct can be class I HLA epitopes and/or class II HLA epitopes. Class I HLA epitopes are referred to as CTL epitopes, and class II HLA epitopes are referred to as HTL epitopes. Some multi-epitope constructs can have a subset of class I HLA epitopes and another subset of class II HLA epitopes. The CTL epitopes preferably are less than about 15 residues in length, or less than about 13 residues in length, or less than about 11 residues in length, and preferably encode an epitope peptide of about 8 to about 13 amino acids in length, more preferably about 8 to about 11 amino acids in length (e.g. 8, 9, 10, or 11), and most preferably about 9 amino acids in length. The HTL epitopes are less than about 50 residues in length and usually consist of about 6 to about 30 residues, more usually between about 12 to 25, and often about 15 to 20 residues, and preferably about 7 to about 23, preferably about 7 to about 17, more preferably about 11 to about 15 (e.g. 11, 12, 13, 14, or 15), and most preferably about 13 amino acids in length. The multi-epitope constructs described herein preferably include 5 or more, 10 or more, 15 or more, 20 or more, or 25 or more epitopes.

All of the epitopes in a multi-epitope construct may be from one organism (e.g., every epitope may be present in HBV or HIV strains), or the multi-epitope construct may include epitopes present in two or more different organisms (e.g., some epitopes from HBV and some from HIV and/or some from HCV). The term "epigene" is used herein to refer to certain multi-epitope constructs. As described hereafter, one or more epitopes in the multi-epitope construct may be flanked by a spacer sequences, and/or other sequences also described herein or otherwise known in the art.

- [0031] A "multi-epitope vaccine," which is synonyous with a "polyepitopic vaccine," is a vaccine comprising multiple epitopes.
- [0032] "Cross-reactive binding" indicates that a peptide is bound by more than one HLA molecule; a synonym is "degenerate binding."
- [0033] A "cryptic epitope" elicits a response by immunization with an isolated peptide, but the response is not cross-reactive *in vitro* when intact whole protein that comprises the epitope is used as an antigen.
- [0034] A "dominant epitope" is an epitope that induces an immune response upon immunization with a whole native antigen (see, e.g., Sercarz, et al., Annu. Rev. Immunol. 11:729-766, 1993). Such a response is cross-reactive in vitro with an isolated peptide epitope.
- of amino acid residues that is involved in recognition by a particular immunoglobulin, or in the context of T cells, those residues necessary for recognition by T cell receptor proteins and/or Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) receptors. In an immune system setting, in vitro or in vivo, an epitope is the collective features of a molecule, such as primary, secondary and tertiary peptide structure, and charge, that together form a site recognized by an immunoglobulin, T cell receptor or HLA molecule. Throughout this disclosure epitope and peptide are often used interchangeably. It is to be appreciated, however, that isolated or purified protein or peptide molecules larger than and comprising an epitope of the invention are still within the bounds of the invention.
- [0036] A "flanking residue" is a residue that is positioned next to an epitope.

 A flanking residue can be introduced or inserted at a position adjacent to the N-terminus or the C-terminus of an epitope.

[0037] An "immunogenic peptide" or "peptide epitope" is a peptide that comprises an allele-specific motif or supermotif such that the peptide will bind an HLA molecule and induce a CTL and/or HTL response. Thus, immunogenic peptides of the invention are capable of binding to an appropriate HLA molecule and thereafter inducing a cytotoxic T cell response, or a helper T cell response, to the antigen from which the immunogenic peptide is derived.

potency for a specific T cell, as measured by increased responses to a given dose, or by a requirement of lesser amounts to achieve the same response. Advantages of heteroclitic analogs include that the epitopes can be more potent, or more economical (since a lower amount is required to achieve the same effect). In addition, modified epitopes might overcome antigen-specific T cell unresponsiveness (T cell tolerance).

[0039] "Human Leukocyte Antigen" or "HLA" is a human class I or class II

Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) protein (see, e.g., Stites, et al.,

IMMUNOLOGY, 8TH ED., Lange Publishing, Los Altos, CA (1994)).

[0040] An "HLA supertype or HLA family," as used herein, describes sets of HLA molecules grouped based on shared peptide-binding specificities. HLA class I molecules that share similar binding affinity for peptides bearing certain amino acid motifs are grouped into such HLA supertypes. The terms HLA superfamily, HLA supertype family, HLA family, and HLA xx-like molecules (where xx denotes a particular HLA type), are synonyms.

[0041] As used herein, "high affinity" with respect to HLA class I molecules is defined as binding with an IC_{50} , or K_D value, of 50 nM or less; "intermediate affinity" with respect to HLA class I molecules is defined as binding with an IC_{50} or K_D value of between about 50 and about 500 nM. "High affinity" with respect to binding to HLA class II molecules is defined as binding with an IC_{50} or K_D value of 100 nM or less; "intermediate affinity" with respect to binding to HLA class II molecules is defined as binding with an IC_{50} or K_D value of between about 100 and about 1000 nM.

[0042] An " IC_{50} " is the concentration of peptide in a binding assay at which 50% inhibition of binding of a reference peptide is observed. Depending on

the conditions in which the assays are run (i.e., limiting HLA proteins and labeled peptide concentrations), these values may approximate K_D values.

[0043] The terms "identical" or percent "identity," in the context of two or more peptide sequences, refer to two or more sequences or subsequences that are the same or have a specified percentage of amino acid residues that are the same, when compared and aligned for maximum correspondence over a comparison window, as measured using a sequence comparison algorithm or by manual alignment and visual inspection.

"Introducing" an amino acid residue at a particular position in a multiepitope construct, e.g., adjacent, at the C-terminal side, to the C-terminus of
the epitope, encompasses configuring multiple epitopes such that a desired
residue is at a particular position, e.g., adjacent to the epitope, or such that a
deleterious residue is not adjacent to the C-terminus of the epitope. The term
also includes inserting an amino acid residue, preferably a preferred or
intermediate amino acid residue, at a particular position. An amino acid
residue can also be introduced into a sequence by substituting one amino acid
residue for another. Preferably, such a substitution is made in accordance with
analoging principles set forth, e.g., in co-pending U.S.S.N. 09/260,714 filed
3/1/99 and PCT application number PCT/US00/19774.

[0045] The phrases "isolated" or "biologically pure" refer to material that is substantially or essentially free from components which normally accompany the material as it is found in its native state. Thus, isolated peptides in accordance with the invention preferably do not contain materials normally associated with the peptides in their *in situ* environment.

[0046] "Link" or "join" refers to any method known in the art for functionally connecting peptides, including, without limitation, recombinant fusion, covalent bonding, disulfide bonding, ionic bonding, hydrogen bonding, and electrostatic bonding.

[0047] "Major Histocompatibility Complex" or "MHC" is a cluster of genes that plays a role in control of the cellular interactions responsible for physiologic immune responses. In humans, the MHC complex is also known as the HLA complex. For a detailed description of the MHC and HLA complexes, see, Paul, Fundamental Immunology, 3RD Ed., Raven Press, New York, 1993.

[0048] As used herein, "middle of the peptide" is a position in a peptide that is neither amino or carboxyl terminal.

[0049] A "minimal number of junctional epitopes" as used herein refers to a number of junctional epitopes that is lower than what would be created using a random selection criterium.

[0050] The term "motif" refers to the pattern of residues in a peptide of defined length, usually a peptide of from about 8 to about 13 amino acids for a class I HLA motif and from about 6 to about 25 amino acids for a class II HLA motif, which is recognized by a particular HLA molecule. Peptide motifs are typically different for each protein encoded by each human HLA allele and differ in the pattern of the primary and secondary anchor residues.

[0051] A "negative binding residue" or "deleterious residue" is an amino acid that if present at certain positions (typically not a primary anchor position) in a peptide epitope, results in decreased binding affinity of the peptide for the peptide's corresponding HLA molecule.

The phrase "operably linked" refers to a linkage in which a nucleotide [0052]sequence is connected to another nucleotide sequence (or sequences) in such a way as to be capable of altering the functioning of the sequence (or sequences). For example, a nucleic acid or multi-epitope nucleic acid construct that is operably linked to a regulatory sequence, such as a promoter/operator, places expression of the nucleic acid or construct under the influence or control of the regulatory sequence. Two nucleotide sequences (such as a protein encoding sequence and a promoter region sequence linked to the 5' end of the encoding sequence) are said to be operably linked if induction of promoter function results in the transcription of the protein encoding sequence mRNA and if the nature of the linkage between the two nucleotide sequences does not (1) result in the introduction of a frame-shift mutation nor (2) prevent the expression regulatory sequences to direct the expression of the mRNA or protein. Thus, a promoter region would be operably linked to a nucleotide sequence if the promoter were capable of effecting transcription of that nucleotide sequence.

[0053] "Optimizing" refers to increasing the immunogenicity or antigenicity of a multi-epitope construct having at least one epitope pair by sorting epitopes to minimize the occurrence of junctional epitopes, inserting flanking

residues that flank the C-terminus or N-terminus of an epitope, and inserting spacer residue to further prevent the occurrence of junctional epitopes or to provide a flanking residue. An increase in immunogenicity or antigenicity of an optimized multi-epitope construct is measured relative to a multi-epitope construct that has not been constructed based on the optimization parameters and is using assays known to those of skill in the art, e.g., assessment of immunogenicity in HLA transgenic mice, ELISPOT, inteferon-gamma release assays, tetramer staining, chromium release assays, and presentation on dendritic cells.

[0054] The term "peptide" is used interchangeably with "oligopeptide" in the present specification to designate a series of residues, typically L-amino acids, connected one to the other, typically by peptide bonds between the α-amino and carboxyl groups of adjacent amino acids. The CTL-inducing peptides of the invention are less than about 15 residues in length, preferably 13 residues or less in length and preferably are about 8 to about 13 amino acids in length, more preferably about 8 to about 11 amino acids in length (e.g. 8, 9, 10, or 11), and most preferably about 9 amino acids in length. The preferred HTL-inducing oligopeptides are less than about 50 residues in length and usually consist of about 6 to about 30 residues, more usually between about 12 to 25, and often about 15 to 20 residues, and can encode an epitope peptide of about 7 to about 23, preferably about 7 to about 17, more preferably about 11 to about 15 (e.g. 11,12,13,14,or 15), and most preferably about 13 amino acids in

more, 10 or more, 15 or more, 20 or more, or 25 or more epitope nucleic acids.

[0055] The nomenclature used to describe peptide, polypeptide, and protein compounds follows the conventional practice wherein the amino group is presented to the left (the N-terminus) and the carboxyl group to the right (the C-terminus) of each amino acid residue. When amino acid residue positions are referred to, they are numbered in an amino to carboxyl direction with position one being the position closest to the amino terminal end of the epitope, or the peptide, polypeptide or protein of which it may be a part. In the formulae representing selected specific embodiments of the present invention, the amino- and carboxyl-terminal groups, although not specifically

length. The multi-epitope constructs described herein preferably include 5 or

shown, are in the form they would assume at physiologic pH values, unless otherwise specified. In the amino acid structure formulae, each residue is generally represented by standard three-letter or single-letter designations. The L-form of an amino acid residue is represented by a capital single letter or a capital first letter of a three-letter symbol, and the D-form for those amino acids having D-forms is represented by a lower case single letter or a lower case three letter symbol. Glycine has no asymmetric carbon atom and is simply referred to as "Gly" or G. Symbols for the amino acids are shown below.

Single Letter Symbol	Three Letter Symbol	Amino Acids
Α	Ala	Alanine
C	Cys	Cysteine
D	Asp	Aspartic Acid
${f E}$	Glu	Glutamic Acid
F	Phe	Phenylalanine
G	Gly	Glycine
Н	His	Histidine
I	Ile	Isoleucine
K	Lys	Lysine
L	Leu	Leucine
М	Met	Methionine
N	Asn	Asparagine
P	Pro	Proline
Q	Gln	Glutamine
Ř	Arg	Arginine
S	Ser	Serine
Т	Thr	Threonine
V	Val	Valine
W	Trp	Tryptophan
Y	Tyr	Tyrosine

[0056] Amino acid "chemical characteristics" are defined as: Aromatic (F,W, Y); Aliphatic-hydrophobic (L, I, V, M); Small polar (S, T, C); Large polar (Q, N); Acidic (D, E); Basic (R, H, K); Proline; Alanine; and Glycine.

[0057] The terms "PanDR binding peptide," "PanDR binding epitope," "PADRE® peptide," and "PADRE® epitope," refer to a type of HTL peptide which is a member of a family of molecules that binds more than one HLA class II DR molecule. PADRE® peptides bind to most HLA-DR molecules and stimulate in vitro and in vivo human helper T lymphocyte (HTL)

responses. The pattern that defines the PADRE® family of molecules can be thought of as an HLA Class II supermotif. For example, a PADRE® peptide may comprise the formula: aKXVAAWTLKAAa (SEQ ID NO:1), where "X" is either cyclohexylalanine, phenylalanine or tyrosine, and "a" is either Dalanine or L-alanine, has been found to bind to most HLA-DR alleles, and to stimulate the response of T helper lymphocytes from most individuals, regardless of their HLA type. An alternative of a PADRE® epitope comprises all "L" natural amino acids which can be provided in peptide/polypeptide form and in the form of nucleic acids that encode the epitope, e.g., in multi-epitope constructs. Specific examples of PADRE® peptides are also disclosed herein.

[0058] "Pharmaceutically acceptable" refers to a generally non-toxic, inert, and/or physiologically compatible composition.

[0059] "Presented to an HLA Class I processing pathway" means that the multi-epitope constructs are introduced into a cell such that they are largely processed by an HLA Class I processing pathway. Typically, multi-epitope constructs are introduced into the cells using expression vectors that encode the multi-epitope constructs. HLA Class II epitopes that are encoded by such a multi-epitope construct are also presented on Class II molecules, although the mechanism of entry of the epitopes into the Class II processing pathway is not defined.

[0060] A "primary anchor residue" or a "primary MHC anchor" is an amino acid at a specific position along a peptide sequence that is understood to provide a contact point between the immunogenic peptide and the HLA molecule. One to three, usually two, primary anchor residues within a peptide of defined length generally defines a "motif" for an immunogenic peptide. These residues are understood to fit in close contact with peptide binding grooves of an HLA molecule, with their side chains buried in specific pockets of the binding grooves themselves. In one embodiment, for example, the primary anchor residues of an HLA class I epitope are located at position 2 (from the amino terminal position) and at the carboxyl terminal position of a 9-residue peptide epitope in accordance with the invention. The primary anchor positions for each motif and supermotif are described, for example, in Tables I and III of PCT/US00/27766, or PCT/US00/19774. Preferred amino acids that can serve as in the anchors for most Class II epitopes consist of M

and F in position one and V, M, S, T, A and C in position six. Tolerated amino acids that can occupy these positions for most Class II epitopes consist of L, I, V, W, and Y in position one and P, L and I in position six. The presence of these amino acids in positions one and six in Class II epitopes defines the HLA-DR1, 4, 7 supermotif. The HLA-DR3 binding motif is defined by preferred amino acids from the group of L, I, V, M, F, Y and A in position one and D, E, N, Q, S and T in position four and K, R and H in position six. Other amino acids may be tolerated in these positions but they are not preferred.

[0061] Furthermore, analog peptides can be created by altering the presence or absence of, i.e. replacing, a particular residue in these primary anchor positions. Such analogs are used to modulate the binding affinity of a peptide comprising a particular motif or supermotif.

[0062] "Promiscuous recognition" occurs where a distinct peptide is recognized by the same T cell clone in the context of various HLA molecules. Promiscuous recognition or binding is synonymous with cross-reactive binding.

[0063] A "protective immune response" or "therapeutic immune response" refers to a CTL and/or an HTL response to an antigen derived from an infectious agent or a tumor antigen, which in some way prevents or at least partially arrests disease symptoms, side effects or progression. The immune response may also include an antibody response that has been facilitated by the stimulation of helper T cells.

By "regulatory sequence" is meant a polynucleotide sequence that contributes to or is necessary for the expression of an operably associated nucleic acid or nucleic acid construct in a particular host organism. The regulatory sequences that are suitable for prokaryotes, for example, include a promoter, optionally an operator sequence, and an internal ribosome binding site (IRES). Eukaryotic cells are known to utilize promoters, polyadenylation signals, and enhancers. Promoter may be a CMV promoter or other promoter described herein or knownin the art. Regulatory sequences include IRESs. Other specific examples of regulatory sequences are described herein and otherwise known in the art.

[0065] The term "residue" refers to an amino acid or amino acid mimetic incorporated into a peptide or protein by an amide bond or amide bond mimetic.

[0066] A "secondary anchor residue" is an amino acid at a position other than a primary anchor position in a peptide that may influence peptide binding. A secondary anchor residue occurs at a significantly higher frequency amongst bound peptides than would be expected by random distribution of amino acids at one position. The secondary anchor residues are said to occur at "secondary anchor positions." A secondary anchor residue can be identified as a residue that is present at a higher frequency among high or intermediate affinity binding peptides, or a residue otherwise associated with high or intermediate affinity binding. For example, analog peptides can be created by altering the presence or absence of, i.e. replacing, a particular residue in these secondary anchor positions. Such analogs are used to finely modulate the binding affinity of a peptide comprising a particular motif or supermotif. The terminology "fixed peptide" is sometimes used to refer to an analog peptide.

[0067] "Sorting epitopes" refers to determining or designing an order of the epitopes in a multi-epitope construct.

A "spacer" refers to a sequence that is inserted between two epitopes in a multi-epitope construct to prevent the occurrence of junctional epitopes and/or to increase the efficiency of processing. A multi-epitope construct may have one or more spacer nucleic acids. A spacer nucleic acid may flank each epitope nucleic acid in a construct, or the spacer nucleic acid to epitope nucleic acid ratio may be about 2 to 10, about 5 to 10, about 6 to 10, about 7 to 10, about 8 to 10, or about 9 to 10, where a ratio of about 8 to 10 has been determined to yield favorable results for some constructs.

[0069] The spacer nucleic acid may encode one or more amino acids. A spacer nucleic acid flanking a class I HLA epitope in a multi-epitope construct is preferably between one and about eight amino acids in length. A spacer nucleic acid flanking a class II HLA epitope in a multi-epitope construct is preferably greater than five, six, seven, or more amino acids in length, and more preferably five or six amino acids in length.

[0070] The number of spacers in a construct, the number of amino acids in a spacer, and the amino acid composition of a spacer can be selected to optimize

epitope processing and/or minimize junctional epitopes. It is preferred that spacers are selected by concomitantly optimizing epitope processing and junctional motifs. Suitable amino acids for optimizing epitope processing are described herein. Also, the suitable amino acid spacing for minimizing the number of junctional epitopes in a construct is described herein for class I and For example, spacers flanking class II HLA epitopes class II HLAs. preferably include G, P, and/or N residues as these are not generally known to be primary anchor residues (see, e.g., PCT/US00/19774). A particularly preferred spacer for flanking a class II HLA epitope includes alternating G and P residues, for example, (GP)_n, (PG)_n, (GP)_nG, (PG)_nP, and so forth, where n is an integer between one and ten, preferably two or about two, and where a specific example of such a spacer is GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2). A preferred spacer, particularly for class I HLA epitopes, comprises one, two, three or more consecutive alanine (A) residues (see, for example, Figure 23A, which depicts a spacer having three consecutive alanine residues).

In some multi-epitope constructs, it is sufficient that each spacer nucleic acid encodes the same amino acid sequence. In multi-epitope constructs having two spacer nucleic acids encoding the same amino acid sequence, the spacer nucleic acids encoding those spacers may have the same or different nucleotide sequences, where different nucleotide sequences may be preferred to decrease the likelihood of unintended recombination events when the multi-epitope construct is inserted into cells.

In other multi-epitope constructs, one or more of the spacer nucleic acids may encode different amino acid sequences. While many of the spacer nucleic acids may encode the same amino acid sequence in a multi-epitope construct, one, two, three, four, five or more spacer nucleic acids may encode different amino acid sequences, and it is possible that all of the spacer nucleic acids in a multi-epitope construct encode different amino acid sequences. Spacer nucleic acids may be optimized with respect to the epitope nucleic acids they flank by determining whether a spacer sequence will maximize epitope processing and/or minimize junctional epitopes, as described herein.

[0073] Multi-epitope constructs may be distinguished from one another according to whether the spacers in one construct optimize epitope processing or minimize junctional epitopes over another construct, and preferably,

constructs may be distinguished where one construct is concomitantly optimized for epitope processing and junctional epitopes over the other. Computer assisted methods and *in vitro* and *in vivo* laboratory methods for determining whether a construct is optimized for epitope processing and junctional motifs are described herein.

[0074] A "subdominant epitope" is an epitope that evokes little or no response upon immunization with whole antigens which comprise the epitope, but for which a response can be obtained by immunization with an isolated epitope, and this response (unlike the case of cryptic epitopes) is detected when whole protein is used to recall the response *in vitro* or *in vivo*.

[0075] A "supermotif" is an amino acid sequence for a peptide that provides binding specificity shared by HLA molecules encoded by two or more HLA alleles. Preferably, a supermotif-bearing peptide is recognized with high or intermediate affinity (as defined herein) by two or more HLA antigens.

[0076] "Synthetic peptide" refers to a peptide that is not naturally occurring, but is man-made using such methods as chemical synthesis or recombinant DNA technology.

[0077] A "TCR contact residue" or "T cell receptor contact residue" is an amino acid residue in an epitope that is understood to be bound by a T cell receptor; these are defined herein as not being a primary MHC anchor. T cell receptor contact residues are defined as the position/positions in the peptide where all analogs tested induce T-cell recognition relative to that induced with a wildtype peptide.

The term "homology," as used herein, refers to a degree of complementarity between two nucleotide sequences. The word "identity" may substitute for the word "homology" when a nucleic acid has the same nucleotide sequence as another nucleic acid. Sequence homology and sequence identity can also be determined by hybridization studies under high stringency and/or low stringency, and disclosed herein are nucleic acids that hybridize to the multi-epitope constructs under low stringency or under high stringency. Also, sequence homology and sequence identity can be determined by analyzing sequences using algorithms and computer programs known in the art. Such methods be used to assess whether a nucleic acid is identical or homologous to the multi-epitope constructs disclosed herein. The

invention pertains in part to nucleotide sequences having 80% or more, 85% or more, 90% or more, 95% or more, 97% or more, 98% or more, or 99% or more identity to the nucleotide sequence of a multi-epitope construct disclosed herein.

As used herein, the term "stringent conditions" refers to conditions that [0079] permit hybridization between nucleotide sequences and the nucleotide sequences of the disclosed multi-epitope constructs. Suitable stringent conditions can be defined by, for example, the concentrations of salt or formamide in the prehybridization and hybridization solutions, or by the hybridization temperature, and are well known in the art. In particular, stringency can be increased by: reducing the concentration of salt, increasing the concentration of formamide, or raising the hybridization temperature. For example, hybridization under high stringency conditions could occur in about 50% formamide at about 37°C to 42°C. In particular, hybridization could occur under high stringency conditions at 42°C in 50% formamide, 5x SSPE, 0.3% SDS, and $200~\mu\text{g/ml}$ sheared and denatured salmon sperm DNA or at 42°C in a solution comprising 50% formamide, 5x SSC (750mM NaCl, 75 mM trisodium citrate), 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.6), 5x Denhardt's solution, 10% dextran sulfate, and 20 $\mu g/ml$ denatured, sheared salmon sperm DNA, followed by washing the filters in 0.1x SSC at about 65°C. Hybridization could occur under reduced stringency conditions in about 35% to 25% formamide at about 30°C to 35°C. For example, reduced stringency conditions could occur at 35°C in 35% formamide, 5x SSPE, 0.3% SDS, and 200 µg/ml sheared and denatured salmon sperm DNA. The temperature range corresponding to a particular level of stringency can be further narrowed by calculating the purine:pyrimidine ratio of the nucleic acid of interest, and adjusting the temperature accordingly. Variations on the above ranges and conditions are well known in the art.

[0080] In addition to utilizing hybridization studies to assess sequence identity or sequence homology, known computer programs may be used to determine whether a particular nucleic acid is homologous to a multi-epitope construct disclosed herein. An example of such a program is the Bestfit program (Wisconsin Sequence Analysis Package, Version 8 for Unix, Genetics

Computer Group, University Research Park, 575 Science Drive, Madison, WI 53711), and other sequence alignment programs are known in the art and may be utilized for determining whether two or more nucleotide sequences are homologous. Bestfit uses the local homology algorithm of Smith and Waterman, Advances in Applied Mathematics 2: 482-489 (1981), to find the best segment of homology between two sequences. When using Bestfit or any other sequence alignment program to determine whether a particular sequence is, for instance, 95% identical to a reference sequence, the parameters may be set such that the percentage of identity is calculated over the full length of the reference nucleotide sequence and that gaps in homology of up to 5% of the total number of nucleotides in the reference sequence are allowed.

[0081] [0081]

Acronyms used herein are as follows:

APC:

Antigen presenting cell

CD3:

Pan T cell marker

CD4:

Helper T lymphocyte marker

CD8:

Cytotoxic T lymphocyte marker

CEA:

Carcinoembryonic antigen

CFA:

Complete Freund's Adjuvant

CMV:

Human Cytomegalovirus

CTL:

Cytotoxic T lymphocytes

Cardiotoxin:

A natural 60 amino acid peptide that causes local muscle

destruction (a protein kinase C inhibitor)

DC:

Dendritic cells. DC functioned as potent antigen presenting cells by stimulating cytokine release from CTL lines that were specific for a model peptide derived from hepatitis B virus (HBV). *In vitro* experiments using DC pulsed *ex vivo* with an HBV peptide epitope have stimulated CTL immune responses *in vitro* following delivery to naïve mice.

DMSO:

Dimethylsulfoxide

DNA:

Deoxyribonucleic acid

EBV:

Epstein Barr Virus

ELISA:

Enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay

ELISPOT: ELISA-like procedure that detects individual cells secreting

probed cytokine as a distinct spot on a culture membrane

Epigene: Multi-epitope DNA constructs

E:T: Effector:target ratio

FACS: Flourescence-activated cell sorter

FCS: Fetal calf serum

G-CSF: Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor

GM-CSF: Granulocyte-macrophage (monocyte)-colony stimulating

factor

HBV: Hepatitis B virus

HER2/Neu: c-erbB-2

HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus

HLA: Human leukocyte antigen

HLA-DR: Human leukocyte antigen class II

HPLC: High Performance Liquid Chromatography

HTC: Helper T cells

HTL: Helper T Lymphocyte

ID: Identity

IFA: Incomplete Freund's Adjuvant

IFNγ: Interferon gamma

IL-4: Interleukin-4 cytokine

IRES: Internal ribosome entry site

IV: Intravenous

LU_{30%}: Cytotoxic activity required to achieve 30% lysis at a 100:1

(E:T) ratio

MAb: Monoclonal antibody

MAGE: Melanoma antigen

MHC: Major Histocompatibility Complex

MLR: Mixed lymphocyte reaction

MNC: Mononuclear cells

PADRETM: a PanDR binding peptide

PATR: Pan Troglodytes

PB: Peripheral blood

PBL: Peripheral blood lymphocyte

PBMC: Peripheral blood mononuclear cell

SC: Subcutaneous

SDS: Sodium dodecyl sulfate

S.E.M.: Standard error of the mean

SU: Secretory units

QD: Once a day dosing

TAA: Tumor associated antigen

TCR: T cell receptor

TNF: Tumor necrosis factor

WBC: White blood cells

[0082] This application may be relevant to U.S.S.N. 09/189,702 filed 11/10/98, which is a CIP of U.S.S.N 08/205,713 filed 3/4/94, which is a CIP of 08/159,184 filed 11/29/93 and now abandoned, which is a CIP of 08/073,205 filed 6/4/93 and now abandoned, which is a CIP of 08/027,146 filed 3/5/93 and now abandoned. The present application is also related to U.S.S.N. 09/226,775, which is a CIP of U.S.S.N. 08/815,396, which claims the benefit of U.S.S.N. 60/013,113, now abandoned. Furthermore, the present application is related to U.S.S.N. 09/017,735, which is a CIP of abandoned U.S.S.N. 08/589,108; U.S.S.N. 08/753,622, U.S.S.N. 08/822,382, abandoned U.S.S.N. 60/013,980, U.S.S.N. 08/454,033, U.S.S.N. 09/116,424, and U.S.S.N. 08/349,177. The present application is also related to U.S.S.N. 09/017,524, U.S.S.N. 08/821,739, abandoned U.S.S.N. 60/013,833, U.S.S.N. 08/758,409, U.S.S.N. 08/589,107, U.S.S.N. 08/451,913, U.S.S.N. 08/186,266, U.S.S.N. 09/116,061, and U.S.S.N. 08/347,610, which is a CIP of U.S.S.N. 08/159,339, which is a CIP of abandoned U.S.S.N. 08/103,396, which is a CIP of abandoned U.S.S.N. 08/027,746, which is a CIP of abandoned U.S.S.N. 07/926,666. The present application may also be relevant to U.S.S.N. 09/017,743, U.S.S.N. 08/753,615; U.S.S.N. 08/590,298, U.S.S.N. 09/115,400, and U.S.S.N. 08/452,843, which is a CIP of U.S.S.N. 08/344,824, which is a CIP of abandoned U.S.S.N. 08/278,634. The present application may also be related to provisional U.S.S.N. 60/087,192 and U.S.S.N. 09/009,953, which is a CIP of abandoned U.S.S.N. 60/036,713 and abandoned U.S.S.N. 60/037,432. In addition, the present application may be relevant to U.S.S.N. 09/098,584,

and U.S.S.N. 09/239,043. The present application may also be relevant to copending U.S.S.N. 09/583,200 filed 5/30/00, U.S.S.N. 09/260,714 filed 3/1/99, and U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/239,008, filed 10/6/00, and U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/166,529, filed 11/18/99. In addition, the present application may also be relevant to U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/239,008, filed October 6, 2000, now abandoned; co-pending U.S. Application No. 10/130,548, which is the U.S. Natl. Phase Application of PCT/US00/31856, filed 11/20/00 and published as WO 01/36452 on May 25, 2001; and co-pending U.S. Application No. 10/116,118, filed April 5, 2002. All of the above applications are incorporated herein by reference.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

- [0083] Figure 1 illustrates data on three different multi-epitope constructs, incorporating 20 to 25 different CTL epitopes each.
- Figure 2 illustrates two different synthetic polypeptides (Fig. 2a) where the first construct incorporates four different epitopes linearly cosynthetized, and the second construct incorporates a GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) spacer. Fig. 2b illustrates the capacity of 2 nanomoles of these different constructs to prime for proliferative responses to the various epitopes in IA^b positive mice, compared to the responses induced by equimolar amounts of a pool of the same peptides (3 micrograms of each peptide).
- [0085] Figure 3 depicts the structure of multi-epitope DNA constructs. The HLA restriction is shown above each epitope, the A*0201 epitopes are bolded. The HLA binding affinity (IC₅₀ nM) is provided below each epitope. (a) Schematic of HIV-FT illustrating order of the encoded epitopes. (b) Schematics of the of the HBV-specific constructs. The C+1 amino acid relative to Core 18 is indicated with an arrow. The HBV-specific constructs with single amino acid insertions at the C₁ position of Core 18 are illustrated as HBV.1X.
- [0086] Figure 4 illustrates the immunogenicity of the HLA-A*0201 epitopes in HIV-FT in HLA-A*0201/K^b transgenic mice. (a) Representative CTL responses against epitopes Pol 498 (circles), Vpr 62 (triangle), Gag 386 (squares). Cytotoxicity was assayed in a ⁵¹Cr release assay against Jurkat-

HLA-A*0201/K^b target cells in the presence (filled symbols) or absence (open symbols) of each peptide. (b) Summary of CTL responses of immunogenicity of HIV-FT in HLA-A*0201/K^b transgenic mice. Bars indicate the geometric mean CTL response of positive cultures. The frequency of positive CTL cultures is also indicated.

[0087] Figure 5 shows the influence of the C+1 amino acid on epitope immunogenicity. A database incorporating CTL responses from a variety of multi-epitope constructs representing 94 epitope/C+1 amino acid combinations was analyzed to determine the frequency (%) of instances in which a particular combination was associated with an optimal CTL response. CTL responses were considered optimal if greater than 100 SU or 20 LU in at least 30% of the cultures measured. The number of times a given epitope/C+1 amino acid combination was observed is also provided.

[0088] Figure 6 shows CTL responses to HBV-specific constructs (a) CTL responses to Core 18 epitope following DNA immunization of HLA-A*0201/K^b transgenic mice. (b) CTL responses to HBV Core 18 following DNA immunization of HLA-A*0201/K^b transgenic mice with constructs which vary by a single amino acid insertion at the C+1 position of Core 18.

[0089] Figure 7 shows levels of HBV Core 18 presentation in HBV.1 (shaded bars) and HBV.1K (hatched bars) transfected cell lines. Epitope presentation was quantified using peptide-specific CTL lines. Presentation of HBV Pol 455 is shown for comparative purposes.

[0090] Figure 8 depicts data for 221A2K^b target cells transfected with the HIV-FT epigene construct. These transfected cells were assayed for their capacity to present epitopes to CTL lines derived from HLA transgenic mice and specific for various HIV-derived CTL epitopes. To correct for differences in antigen sensitivity of different CTL lines, peptide dose titrations, using untransfected cells as APC, were run in parallel.

[0091] Figure 9 shows HIV multi-epitope constructs optimized using the methods of the present invention

[0092] Figure 10 illustrates a computer system for performing automatic optimization of multi-epitope constructs in accordance with one embodiment of the invention.

- [0093] Figures 11A-B illustrate an exemplary input text file containing user input parameters used for executing a Junctional Analyzer program, in accordance with one embodiment of the invention.
- [0094] Figure 12 illustrates a flow chart diagram of a software program for identifying optimal multi-epitope constructs, in accordance with one embodiment of the invention.
- [0095] Figures 13A-D illustrate an exemplary output text file containing output results of a Junctional Analyzer program, in accordance with one embodiment of the invention.
- [0096] Figure 14A depicts CTL responses induced by EP-HIV-90 relative to individual peptides in IFA, and Figure 14B depicts CTL responses induced by PfCTL.1, PfCTL.2, and PfCTL.3 relative to individual peptides.
- [0097] Figure 15 shows the effect of GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) spacers in class II epitope constructs HIV 75mer and HIV 60mer on HTL responses to particular epitopes.
- [0098] Figure 16 depicts HTL responses to particular epitopes present in the EP-HIV-1043-PADRE® construct.
- [0099] Figure 17 is a schematic depicting the epitopes present in HIV 75mer, EP-HIV-1043, and the EP-HIV-1043-PADRE® construct.
- [0100] Figures 18A-N show the amino acid sequences and nucleic acid sequences of certain multi-epitope constructs.
- [0101] Figures 19A-D show the amino acid sequences for epitopes present in certain multi-epitope constructs.
- Figures 20A-20F show the HBV CTL epitopes used to construct three related epigene constructs, HBV-2, HBV-2A and HBV-2B, the order of epitopes in the epigene constructs, the immune responses induced in HLA-A2 or HLA-A3/11 transgenic mice and the amino acid and nucleic acid sequences of the epigene constructs. In Figure 20B, the signal sequence in HBV-2, HBV-2A and HBV-2B is the Ig kappa consensus signal sequence, although other signal sequences could be utilized.
- [0103] Figures 21A-21E show the HBV CTL epitopes used to construct two 21 CTL epitope epigene constructs, HBV-21A and HBV-21B, the order of epitopes in the epigene constructs, the immune responses induced in HLA-A2

or HLA-A3/11 transgenic mice and the amino acid and nucleic acid sequences of the epigene constructs.

[0104] Figures 22A-22E show the HBV CTL epitopes used to construct two 30 CTL epitope epigene constructs, HBV-30B and HBV-30C, the order of epitopes in the epigene constructs, the immune responses induced in HLA-A2 or HLA-A3/11 transgenic mice and the amino acid and nucleic acid sequences of the epigene constructs.

Figures 23A-23C show the modifications made to spacers flanking two HLA-A2 restricted CTL epitopes in the HBV-30C epigene construct. Modifications were designed to increase the efficiency of processing and subsequent presentation and thus, increase immunogenicity of the epitopes. Immunogenicity was measured using HLA-A2 or HLA-A3/11 transgenic mice, and the amino acid and nucleic acid sequences of the epigene construct are noted. In Figure 23A, the lysine (K) spacer flanking the Core 18 epitope in HBV-30C were modified to include three alanine residues (AAA) in HBV-30CL. Also, one asparagine (N) spacer flanking env 183 epitope in HBV-30C was modified to include three alanine residues (AAA) in HBV-30CL.

[0106] Figures 24A-24C show HTL epitopes, and their binding affinity to selected HLA-DR alleles, used to construct a multi-epitope vaccine comprising HTL epitopes separated by GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) amino acid spacers. The nucleic acid sequence of the multi-epitope vaccine and the amino acid sequence encoded by the nucleic acid are shown in Figure 24C.

[0107] Figures 25A-B show the population coverage for CTL epitopes contained in GCR-5835. Figure 25A. Percentage of individuals projected to present the indicated number of HLA-A/B-epitope combinations in a composite population derived from gene frequencies in Asian, Black, European Caucasian, and North American Caucasian populations (Black bars). Also shown on the right axis is the cumulative plot of percent population coverage (Open circles). Figure 25B. Summary of the cumulative percent projected population coverage in Asian, Black, European Caucasian, and North American Caucasian populations as a function of the number of epitopes bound by HLA alleles.

[0108] Figures 26A-26B show population coverages for epitopes contained in a list. Figure 26A. Percentage of individuals projected to present the indicated number of HLA-DR-epitope combinations in a composite population derived from gene frequencies in Asian, Black, European Caucasian, and North American Caucasian populations (black bars). Also shown on the right axis is the cumulative plot of percent population coverage (open circles). Figure 26B. Summary of the cumulative percent projected population coverage in Asian, Black, European Caucasian, and North American Caucasian populations as a function of the number of epitopes bound by HLA alleles.

[0109] Figures 27A-27B show (A) a schematic of HBV30K and (B) the HLA supertype restriction of the component epitopes. Immunogenicity of a vaccine 30 epitope epigene construct. HLA-A2 or -A11 transgenic mice were immunized intramuscularly with 100 μg of the vaccine HBV epigene plasmid HBV30K or the prototype HBV vaccine HBV2. Eleven days after the immunization splenocytes were stimulated *in vitro* with the epitopes encoded in the vaccine. After six days in culture the epitope-specific CTL responses were measured using an *in situ* IFN-γ ELISA assay.

[0110] Figures 28A-28B show a schematic of the HBV HTL vaccine construct and its immunogenicity. Figure 26A. GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) spacers introduced between epitopes are indicated. Figure 28B. H2^{bxd} mice were immunized intramuscularly with 100μg of a vaccine HBV HTL epigene construct or the individual peptides emulsified in CFA. Eleven days after the immunization CD4 T cells were purified from splenocytes and HTL responses were measured using a primary IFN-γ ELISPOT assay.

[0111] Figures 29A-29B show HBV vaccine plasmid configurations and their relative immunogenicity. Figure 29A. Schematic (i) dual CMV promoter plasmid; (ii) IRES containing plasmid; (iii) CTL+HTL epigene construct fusion. Figure 29B. Relative immunogenicity of different vaccine configurations. HLA-A2-transgenic mice were immunized intramuscularly with 100μg of HBV30K (CTL epigene construct control), HBV30K.H1 (dual CMV promoter plasmid), HBV30K.H3 (IRES containing plasmid) or HBV30K/HTL (GCR-5835; CTL+HTL epigene construct fusion). Eleven days after the immunization splenocytes were stimulated *in vitro* with the

epitopes encoded in the vaccine. After six days in culture the epitope-specific CTL responses were measured using an *in situ* IFN-y ELISA assay.

- [0112] Figure 30 shows the relative immunogenicity of GCR-5835 and GCR-3697. HLA-A2 transgenic mice were immunized intramuscularly with either 50μg or 5μg of the GCR-5835 or GCR-3697. Eleven days after the immunization CD8+ cells were isolated from splenocytes and epitope-specific CTL responses were measured using an IFN-γ ELISPOT assay.
- [0113] Figure 31 shows a comparison of PVP formulated, naked, and CT GCR-5835. HLA-A2. 1/K^b transgenic mice were immunized a single time with 100 μg of GCR 5835 formulated in PVP, naked, or naked in cardiotoxin (CT) pre-treated animals. After eleven days *in vivo*, splenocytes were restimulated *in vitro* with the indicated peptides. After six days, IFN-γ was measured in response to peptide in an *in situ* ELISA assay. Data are presented as the geometric mean of the secretory units (SU) for positive cultures, x/, standard deviation. The frequency of positive cultures/total cultures tested is indicated above each bar.
- Figure 32 shows a comparison of GCR-5835 and the lipopeptide vaccine. HLA-A2.1/K^b transgenic mice were immunized with either 100 μg of GCR-5835 in cardiotoxin (CT) pre-treated animals or 100 μg of the lipopeptide vaccine. After eleven days *in vivo*, CD8⁺ splenocytes were isolated, and IFN-γ was measured in response to the indicated peptide in an ELISPOT assay (A). Data are presented as the average spot forming cells (SFC) per 10⁶ splenocytes plated. Alternatively, splenocytes were restimulated *in vitro* with the indicated peptides. After six days, IFN-γ was measure in response to peptide in an *in situ* ELISA assay (B). Data are presented as the geometric mean of the secretory units (SU) for positive cultures, ± standard deviation.
- [0115] Figures 33A-33B show a summary of immunogenicity data from individual mice. HLA-A2.1/K^b transgenic mice were either not immunized, or immunized with 100 μg of PVP-formulated GCR-5835 in a single immunization (A), or immunized twice at a 7 day interval (B). Eleven days after the final immunization, splenocytes from each mouse were restimulated in vitro with a pool of the indicated peptides. After six days, IFN- γ was

measured in response to the individual peptides as well as a pool of all peptides in an ELISPOT assay. Data are presented as the average spot forming cells (SFC) per 10⁶ splenocytes plated.

- [0116] Figure 34 shows a schematic of the HBV AOSIb and HBV AOSIb2 constructs. The HBV AOSIb2 construct has additional amino acids added (indicated with arrows above the schematic) to enhance proteasomal processing while the HBV AOSIb construct has no added residues.
- Figures 35A-35E show the results after transient transfection of human 293 cells in the presence or absence of the proteasome inhibitor MG132. The proteasome inhibitor MG132 was added at 5μM 24 hours post-transfection. Flourescence in live cells was detected by flow cytometry and flourescence microscopy 24 hours after addition of the proteasome inhibitor (unless otherwise noted). (A) Flow cytometry (FACS) results for a time-course of cells transfected with plasmid AOSIb. (B) Flow cytometry (FACS) results at 24 hours for cells transfected with plamid HBV AOSIb. (C) Flow cytometry (FACS) results at 24 hours for cells transfected with plamid HBV AOSIb2. (D) Data are presented graphically as a comparison of fluorescence intensity. (E) The relative increase in fluorescence intensity is compared between control plasmid, HBV AOSIb, and HBV AOSIb2 for the above experiments.
- [0118] Figure 36 shows the amount of proteins detectable upon addition of the proteasome inhibitors lactacystin (25uM) or MG132 (5uM). Whole cell lysates were prepared from transfected cells and transferred to a blotting membrane. Proteins were detected using an antibody against the fusion partner protein. Arrows indicate the predicted size of the full-length fusion proteins.
- Figures 37A-37B show epitope-specific T cell responses measured in HLA transgenic mice immunized with GCR-3697 using splenic lymphocytes obtained 11-14 days following immunization. Groups of 6-9 HLA-transgenic mice were immunized bilaterally with 100 μg of DNA in the tibialis anterior muscle. DNA was delivered in either PBS or PVP formulations; in the case of PBS formulations the injection site was pre-treated by cardiotoxin injection.
- [0120] Figure 38 shows a comparison of fluorescence intensity measured by FACS analysis for the 3 plasmids: no epitope construct (flourescent protein only), fluorescent conjugated polyepitope HBV AOSIb, or fluorescent

conjugated polyepitope HBV AOSIb2. Human 293 cells were transfected with plasmid and the proteasome inhibitor MG132 was added at 5μ M 24 hours post-transfection. Flourescence in live cells was detected by FACS 24 hours after addition of the proteasome inhibitor.

Figure 39 shows fluorescence microscopy images for cells cultured with: no epitope construct (fluorescent protein only), fluorescent conjugated polyepitope HBV AOSIb, or fluorescent conjugated polyepitope HBV AOSIb2. Human 293 cells were transfected with plasmid and the proteasome inhibitor MG132 was added at 5μM 24 hours post-transfection. Flourescence in live cells was detected by fluoresence microscopy 24 hours after addition of the proteasome inhibitor.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

- [0122] The invention is described in detail below with reference to the figures wherein like elements are referenced with like numerals throughout.
- [0123] The invention provides a method and system for optimizing the efficacy of multi-epitope vaccines, preferably to minimize the number of junctional epitopes and maximize, or at least increase, the immunogenicity and/or antigenicity of multi-epitope vaccines. The present invention also provides multi-epitope nucleic acid constructs encoding a plurality of CTL and/or HTL epitopes and polypeptides encoded by such constructs, as well as cells comprising such constructs and/or polypeptides, compositions comprising such constructs, polypeptides, and /or cells, and methods for stimulating an immune response (e.g. therapeutic methods) utilizing such constructs and/or polypeptides and cells.
- In one embodiment of the invention, a computerized method for designing a multi-epitope construct having multiple epitopes includes the steps of: storing a plurality of input parameters in a memory of a computer system, the input parameters including a plurality of epitopes, at least one motif for identifying junctional epitopes, a plurality of amino acid insertions and at least one enhancement weight value for each insertion; generating a list of epitope pairs from the plurality of epitopes; determining for each epitope pair at least one optimum combination of amino acid insertions based on the at least one

motif, the plurality of insertions and the at least one enhancement weight value for each insertion; and identifying at least one optimum arrangement of the plurality of epitopes, wherein a respective one of the at least one optimum combination of amino acid insertions is inserted at a respective junction of two epitopes, so as to provide an optimized multi-epitope construct. In a preferred embodiment, the step of identifying at least one optimum arrangement of epitopes may be accomplished by performing either an exhaustive search wherein all permutations of arrangements of the plurality of epitopes are evaluated or a stochastic search wherein only a subset of all permutations of arrangements of the plurality of epitopes are evaluated.

In a further embodiment, the method determines for each epitope pair at least one optimum combination of amino acid insertions by calculating a function value (F) for each possible combination of insertions for each epitope pair, wherein the number of insertions in a combination may range from 0 to a maximum number of insertions (MaxInsertions) value input by a user, and the function value is calculated in accordance with the equation F = (C+N)/J, when J > 0, and F = 2(C+N), when J = 0, wherein C equals the enhancement weight value of a C+1 flanking amino acid, N equals the enhancement weight value of an N-1 flanking amino acid, and J equals the number of junctional epitopes detected for each respective combination of insertions in an epitope pair based on said at least one motif.

In another embodiment of the invention, a computer system for designing a multi-epitope construct having multiple epitopes, includes: a memory for storing a plurality of input parameters such as a plurality of epitopes, at least one motif for identifying junctional epitopes, a plurality of amino acid insertions and at least one enhancement weight value for each insertion; a processor for retrieving the input parameters from memory and generating a list of epitope pairs from the plurality of epitopes; wherein the processor further determines for each epitope pair at least one optimum combination of amino acid insertions, based on the at least one motif, the plurality of insertions and the at least one enhancement weight value for each insertion. The processor further identifies at least one optimum arrangement of the plurality of epitopes, wherein a respective one of the optimum combinations of amino acid insertions are inserted at a respective junction of

two epitopes, to provide an optimized multi-epitope construct; and a display monitor, coupled to the processor, for displaying at least one optimum arrangement of the plurality of epitopes to a user.

[0127] In a further embodiment, the invention provides a data storage device storing a computer program for designing a multi-epitope construct having multiple epitopes, the computer program, when executed by a computer system, performing a process that includes the steps of: retrieving a plurality of input parameters from a memory of a computer system, the input parameters including, for example, a plurality of epitopes, at least one motif for identifying junctional epitopes, a plurality of amino acid insertions and at least one enhancement weight value for each insertion; generating a list of epitope pairs from the plurality of epitopes; determining for each epitope pair at least one optimum combination of amino acid insertions based on the at least one motif, the plurality of insertions and the at least one enhancement weight value for each insertion; and identifying at least one optimum arrangement of the plurality of epitopes, wherein a respective one of the at least one optimum combination of amino acid insertions is inserted at a respective junction of two epitopes, so as to provide an optimized multiepitope construct.

[0128]In another embodiment, the invention provides a method and system for designing a multi-epitope construct that comprises multiple epitopes. The method comprising steps of: (i) sorting the multiple epitopes to minimize the number of junctional epitopes; (ii) introducing a flanking amino acid residue at a C+1 position of an epitope to be included within the multi-epitope construct; (iii) introducing one or more amino acid spacer residues between two epitopes of the multi-epitope construct, wherein the spacer prevents the occurrence of a junctional epitope; and, (iv) selecting one or more multiepitope constructs that have a minimal number of junctional epitopes, a minimal number of amino acid spacer residues, and a maximum number of flanking amino acid residues at a C+1 position relative to each epitope. In some embodiments, the spacer residues are independently selected from residues that are not known HLA Class II primary anchor residues. In particular embodiments, introducing the spacer residues prevents the occurrence of an HTL epitope. Such a spacer often comprises at least 5 amino

acid residues independently selected from the group consisting of G, P, and N. In some embodiments the spacer is GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2).

- In some embodiments, introducing the spacer residues prevents the occurrence of a CTL epitope and further, wherein the spacer is 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, or 8 amino acid residues independently selected from the group consisting of A and G. Often, the flanking residue is introduced at the C+1 position of a CTL epitope and is selected from the group consisting of K, R, N, G, and A. In some embodiments, the flanking residue is adjacent to the spacer sequence. The method of the invention can also include substituting an N-terminal residue of an epitope that is adjacent to a C-terminus of an adjacent epitope within the multi-epitope construct with a residue selected from the group consisting of K, R, N, G, and A.
- In some embodiments, the method of the invention can also comprise a step of predicting a structure of the multi-epitope construct, and further, selecting one or more constructs that have a maximal structure, *i.e.*, that are processed by an HLA processing pathway to produce all of the epitopes comprised by the construct. In some embodiments, the multi-epitope construct encodes EP-HIV-1090 as set out in Figure 9, HIV-CPT as set out in Figure 9, or HIV-TC as set out in Figure 9.
- [0131] In another embodiment of the invention, a system for optimizing multiepitope constructs include a computer system having a processor (e.g., central processing unit) and at least one memory coupled to the processor for storing instructions executed by the processor and data to be manipulated (i.e., processed) by the processor. The computer system further includes an input device (e.g., keyboard) coupled to the processor and the at least one memory for allowing a user to input desired parameters and information to be accessed by the processor. The processor may be a single CPU or a plurality of different processing devices/circuits integrated onto a single integrated circuit chip. Alternatively, the processor may be a collection of discrete processing devices/circuits selectively coupled to one another via either direct wire/conductor connections or via a data bus. Similarly, the at least one memory may be one large memory device (e.g., EPROM), or a collection of a plurality of discrete memory devices (e.g., EEPROM, EPROM, RAM, DRAM, SDRAM, Flash, etc.) selectively coupled to one another for

selectively storing data and/or program information (i.e., instructions executed by the processor). Those of ordinary skill in the art would easily be able to implement the desired computer system architecture to perform the operations and functions disclosed herein.

[0132] In one embodiment, the computer system includes a display monitor for displaying information, instructions, images, graphics, etc. The computer system receives user inputs via a keyboard. These user input parameters may include, for example, the number of insertions (i.e., flanking residues and spacer residues), the peptides to be processed, the C+1 and N-1 weighting values for each amino acid, and the motifs to use for searching for junctional Based on these input values/parameters, the computer system executes a "Junctional Analyzer" software program that automatically determines the number of junctional epitope for each peptide pair and also calculates an "enhancement" value for each combination of flanking residues and spacers that may be inserted at the junction of each peptide pair. The results of the junctional analyzer program are then used in either an exhaustive or stochastic search program which determines the "optimal" combination or linkage of the entire set of peptides to create a multi-epitope polypeptide, or nucleic acids, having a minimal number of junctional epitopes and a maximum functional (e.g., immunogenicity) value.

[0133] In one embodiment, if the number of peptides to be processed by the computer system is less than fourteen, an exhaustive search program is executed by the computer system which examines all permutations of the peptides making up the polypeptide to find the permutation with the "best" or "optimal" function value. In one embodiment, the function value is calculated using the equation (Ce + Ne)/J when J is greater than zero and 2 * (Ce + Ne) when J is equal to zero, where Ce is the enhancement "weight" value of an amino acid at the C+1 position of a peptide, Ne is the enhancement "weight" value of an amino acid at the N-1 position of a peptide, and J is the number of junctional epitopes contained in the polypeptide encoded by multi-epitope nucleic acid sequence. Thus, maximizing this function value will identify the peptide pairs having the least number of junctional epitopes and the maximum enhancement weight value for flanking residues. If the number of peptides to be processed is fourteen or more, the computer system executes a stochastic

search program that uses a "Monte Carlo" technique to examine many regions of the permutation space to find the best estimate of the optimum arrangement of peptides (e.g., having the maximum function value).

[0134] In a further embodiment, the computer system allows a user to input parameter values which format or limit the output results of the exhaustive or stochastic search program. For example, a user may input the maximum of number results having the same function value ("MaxDuplicateFunctionValue = X") to limit the number of permutations that are generated as a result of the search. Since it is possible for the search programs to find many arrangements that give the same function value, it may be desirable to prevent the output file from being filled by a large number of equivalent solutions. Once this limit is reached no more results are reported until a larger or "better" function value is found. As another example, the user may input the maximum number of "hits" per probe during a stochastic search This parameter prevents the stochastic search program from process. generating too much output on a single probe. In a preferred embodiment, the number of permutations examined in a single probe is limited by several factors: the amount of time set for each probe in the input text file; the speed of the computer, and the values of the parameters "MaxHitsPerProbe" and "MaxDuplicateFunctionValues." The algorithms used to generate and select permutations for analysis may be in accordance with well-known recursive algorithms found in many computer science textbooks. For example, six permutations of three things taken three at a time would be generated in the following sequence: ABC; ACB; BAC; BCA; CBA; CAB. As a further example of an input parameter, a user may input how the stochastic search is performed, e.g., randomly, statistically or other methodology; the maximum time allowed for each probe (e.g., 5 minutes); and the number of probes to perform.

[0135] Also disclosed herein are multi-epitope constructs designed by the methods described above and hereafter. The multi-epitope constructs include spacer nucleic acids between a subset of the epitope nucleic acids or all of the epitope nucleic acids. One or more of the spacer nucleic acids may encode amino acid sequences different from amino acid sequences encoded by other

spacer nucleic acids to optimize epitope processing and to minimize the presence of junctional epitopes.

- [0136] The invention relates to a method and system of designing multiepitope vaccines with optimized immunogenicity. In preferred embodiments,
 the vaccine comprises CTL and HTL epitopes. Vaccines in accordance with
 the invention allow for significant, non-ethnically biased population coverage,
 and can preferably focus on epitopes conserved amongst different viral or
 other antigenic isolates. Through the method and system disclosed herein,
 vaccines can be optimized with regard to the magnitude and breadth of
 responses, and can allow for the simplest epitope configuration. Finally,
 general methods are provided to evaluate immunogenicity of a multi-epitope
 vaccine in humans.
- [0137] The method of the invention comprises designing a multi-epitope construct based on principles identified herein. In one aspect, the invention provides for simultaneous induction of responses against specific CTL and HTL epitopes, using single promoter multi-epitope constructs. Such constructs can contain many different epitopes, preferably greater than 10, often greater than 20, 25, 30, 25, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, or more.
- [0138] In a preferred embodiment, a computer system identifies one or more optimal multi-epitope constructs by performing the following functions and/or analyses:
- [0139] (i) the epitopes to be incorporated into the multi-epitope construct are sorted to provide an order that minimizes the number of junctional epitopes formed. A more detailed discussion of this sorting procedure is provided below with reference to Figures 11 and 12. Preferably, as a secondary consideration in ordering epitopes, epitopes are positioned such that residues at the N-terminus of an epitope that promote CTL immunogenicity are juxtaposed to the C-terminus of another CTL epitope.
- [0140] (ii) flanking residues that enhance immunogenicity may be inserted at the flanking positions of epitopes. In particular embodiments, flanking residues are inserted at the C+1 position of CTL epitopes.
- [0141] (iii) spacer sequences may be inserted between epitopes to prevent occurance of junctional epitopes. In particular embodiments, the spacer sequences can also include a residue that promotes immunogenicity at the N-

terminus of the linker such that the residue flanks the C-terminus of a CTL epitope.

- [0142] In particular embodiments to prevent HTL junctional epitopes, a spacer composed of amino acid residues that do not correspond to any known HLA Class II anchor residue, are used, e.g, alternating G and P residues (a GP spacer) is included between two HTL epitopes.
- [0143] Another aspect of the invention, (consideration (ii) above) involves the introduction or substitution of particular amino acid residues at positions that flank epitopes, e.g., a position immediately adjacent to the C-terminus of the epitope, thereby generating multi-epitope constructs with enhanced antigenicity and immunogenicity compared to constructs that do not contain the particular residue introduced or substituted at that site, i.e., non-optimized multi-epitope constructs. The methods of optimizing multi-epitope constructs comprise a step of introducing a flanking residue, preferably K, N, G, R, or A at the C+1 position of the epitope, i.e., the position immediately adjacent to the C-terminus of the epitope. In an alternative embodiment, residues that contribute to decreased immunogenicity, i.e., negatively charged residues, e.g., D, aliphatic residues (I, L, M, V) or aromatic non-trytophan residues, are replaced. The flanking residue can be introduced by positioning appropriate epitopes to provide the favorable flanking residue, or by inserting a specific residue.
- [0144] As noted in the background section, multi-epitope constructs (minigenes) encoding up to 10 epitopes have been used to induce responses against a number of different epitopes. The data relating to an experimental multi-epitope construct, pMin .1 has been published (Ishioka et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 162(7):3915-25 (1999)). Disclosed herein, are parameters for designing and evaluating multi-epitope constructs with optimized immunogenicity that address myriad disease indications of interest.
- [0145] Design parameters were identified based on a number of studies. In a preliminary evaluation of multi-epitope constructs, data on three different multi-epitope constructs, incorporating 20 to 25 different CTL epitopes each, are presented (Fig. 1). One construct is based on HIV-derived epitopes, (HIV-1), while the other two incorporate HCV-derived epitopes (HCV1 and HCV2, respectively). The immunogenicity of these different multi-epitope constructs

has been measured in either A2 or A11 HLA transgenic mice (A1, A24 and B7 restricted epitopes were not evaluated).

[0146] Thus, eleven days after a single i.m. DNA vaccine injection, responses against 8 to 14 different representative epitopes were evaluated following a single six day in vitro restimulation, utilizing assays to measure CTL activity (either chromium release or in situ IFN production, as described herein). Priming of epitope specific CTL could be demonstrated for 6/8 (75%), 10/14 (72%) and 13/14 (93%) of the epitopes tested in the case of HIV-1, HCV1 and HCV2, respectively. Thus, multi-epitope constructs, capable of simultaneously priming CTL responses against a large number of epitopes, can be readily designed. However, it should be emphasized that CTL priming for some epitopes was not detected and, in several of the 36 cases considered, responses were infrequent, or varied significantly in magnitude over at least three orders of magnitude (1000-fold). These results strongly suggested that a more careful analysis and optimization of the multi-epitope constructs was required.

[0147] The possibility that the suboptimal performance of priming for certain epitopes might be related to multi-epitope construct size was also examined. In fact, most of the published reports describe multi-epitope construct of up to ten epitopes, and in the few instances in which 20-epitope constructs have been reported, activity directed against only two or three epitopes was measured. To address this possibility, two smaller epigene constructs (HIV-1.1 and HIV-1.2) each encompassing ten epitopes, and corresponding to one half of the HIV-1 epigene construct, were synthesized and tested. Responses against four representative epitopes were measured.

Table 1. Immunogenicity appears to be independent of epigene construct size.

CTL response to different epigene constructs						
	HIV 1		HIV 1.1		HIV 1.2	
CTL	(20 mer)		(10 mer)		(10 mer)	
Epitope	Frequency ¹⁾	Magnitude ²⁾	Frequency	Magnitude	Frequency	Magnitude
Pol 774	0/8	*	0/4	*	NA ³⁾	NA
Pol 498	18/19	46.7	4/4	16.4	NA	NA
Gag 271	4/13	4.0	NA	NA	0/4	*
Env 134	5/8	16.1	NA	NA	4/4	14.8

- 1) Represents the fraction of independent cultures yielding positive responses
- 2) Lytic Units (LU)
- 3) Not Applicable

[0148] It was found that the responses induced by the smaller epigene constructs were comparable, and if anything, lower than those induced by the twenty-epitope construct (Table 1). Accordingly, factors relating to epigene construct size are unlikely explanations for the observed suboptimal priming to certain epitopes and thus other parameters, disclosed herein, are used to design efficacious multi-epitope constructs.

The minimization of junctional motifs

[00100] One of the considerations in designing multi-epitope constructs is the inadvertent creation of junctional epitopes when placing epitopes adjacent to each other. The presence of such epitopes in a multi-epitope construct could significantly affect performance. Strategies to guard against this undesired effect are disclosed herein for application to the development of multi-epitope vaccines. Junctional epitopes can first be minimized by sorting the epitopes to identify an order in which the numbers of junctional epitopes is minimized. Such a sorting procedure can be performed using a computer or by eye, if necessary, or depending on the number of epitopes to be included in the multi-epitope construct.

[00101] For example, a computer program that finds patterns, e.g., Panorama, manufactured by ProVUE Development, Huntington Beach, California, U.S.A., can be used in accordance with one embodiment of the invention. A very large number of different epitope arrangements can be considered in designing a particular multi-epitope construct. A computer program accepts

as input, the particular set of epitopes considered, and the motifs to be scanned in order to evaluate whether there are any junctional epitopes bearing these motifs. For example, a program can simulate building a multi-epitope construct, and in an heuristic computational algorithm, examine epitope pairs to avoid or minimize the occurrance of junctional motifs. The program can for example, evaluate 6 X 10⁵ (about half a million) multi-epitope construct configurations/second.

[00102] A complete analysis of a 10-epitope construct using a computer program as described in the preceding paragraph requires examining 10 factorial ≈ 3.6X10⁶ combinations and can be completed in six seconds. A fourteen-epitope construct can be completely analyzed in a couple of days. Thus, analysis time goes up very rapidly as larger constructs are considered. However, a complete analysis is not always required and the program can be run for any desired length of time. In either case, the computer system of the present invention identifies and provides at least one configuration having a minimum number of junctional epitopes.

[00103] An example of the results of this type of approach is presented in Table 2. The number of junctional motifs in ten different random assortments of the same epitopes contained in the HCV1 epigene, which incorporates 25 epitopes, and is the result of a two-day computer analysis, is presented in this Table. In the non-optimized assortments, a large number of HLA-A2, A11 and K^b motifs were found, approximately 25 to 38, with an average of 31. By comparison, only two such junctional motifs are present in the HCV1 epigene construct assortment. In conclusion, a computer program can be utilized to effectively minimize the number of junctional motifs present in multi-epitope constructs.

Table 2. Occurrence of junctional epitopes.

epigene construct	selection criteria	junctional motifs
HCV.a	random	33
HCV.b	random	26
HCV.c	random	28
HCV.d	random	27
HCV.e	random	30
HCV.f	random	26
HCV.g	random	38
HCV.h	random	33
HCV.i	random	33
HCV.j	random	34
HCV.1	minimized	2

Eliminating Class II junctional epitopes and testing for Class II restricted responses in vivo

[0149] As a further element in eliminating junctional epitopes, spacer sequences can be inserted between two epitopes that create a junctional epitope when juxtaposed.

In one embodiment, to correct the problem of junctional epitopes for HTL epitopes, a spacer of, for example, five amino acids in length is inserted between the two epitopes. The amino acid residues incorporated into such a spacer are preferably those amino acid residues that are not known to be primary anchor residues for any of the HLA Class II binding motifs. Such residues include G, P, and N. In a preferred embodiment, a spacer with the sequence GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) is inserted between two epitopes. Previous work has demonstrated that the GP spacer is particularly effective in disrupting Class II binding interactions (Sette et al., *J. Immunol.*, 143:1268-73 (1989)). All known human Class II binding motifs and the mouse IA^b (the Class II expressed by HLA transgenic mice) do not tolerate either G or P at the main anchor positions, which are spaced four residues apart. This approach

virtually guarantees that no Class II restricted epitopes can be formed as junctional epitopes.

- [0151] In an example validating this design consideration, we synthesized polypeptides incorporating HIV-derived HTL epitopes. These epitopes are broadly cross-reactive HLA DR binding epitopes. It was then determined that these epitopes also efficiently bind the murine IA^b Class II molecule. A diagram illustrating the two different synthetic polypeptides considered is shown in Fig. 2a.
- [0152] The first construct incorporates four different epitopes linearly arranged, while the second construct incorporates the GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) spacer. Synthetic peptides corresponding to the three potential junctional epitopes were also synthesized.
- [0153] The capacity of 2 nanomoles of these different constructs to prime for proliferative responses to the various epitopes in IA^b positive mice was tested, and compared to the responses induced by equimolar amounts of a pool of the same peptides (3 micrograms of each peptide). Specifically, groups of 3 mice were injected with peptides in CFA emulsions, 11 days after injection their lymph node cells were cultured in vitro for an additional 3 days, and thymidine incorporation was measured in the last 24 hours of culture. It was found (Fig. 2b) that, as predicted on the basis of their high affinity IA^b binding capacity, all four epitopes induced good proliferation responses. Stimulation index (SI) values in the range of 4.9 to 17.9 were observed when these peptides were injected in a pool. However, when the linear polypeptide incorporating the same epitopes was tested, the response directed against Pol 335 was lost. This was associated with appearance of a response directed against a junctional epitope spanning Gag 171 and Pol 335. The use of the GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) spacer eliminated this problem, presumably by destroying the junctional epitope, and the Pol 335 response was regained. The responses observed were of magnitude similar to those observed with the pool of isolated peptides.
- [0154] These results demonstrate that responses against multiple HIV-derived Class II epitopes can be simultaneously induced, and also illustrate how IA^b/DR crossreactivity can be utilized to investigate the immunogenicity of various constructs incorporating HTL epitopes. Finally, they demonstrate that

appropriate spacers can be employed to effectively disrupt Class II junctional epitopes that would otherwise interfere with effective vaccine immunogenicity.

- In the case of Class I restricted responses, one case of a naturally occurring junctional epitope and the consequent inhibition of epitope specific responses has been presented by McMichael and coworkers (Tussey et al., *Immunity*, Vol. 3(1):65-77 (1995)). To address the problem of junctional epitopes for Class I, similar analyses can be performed. For example, a specific computer program is employed to identify potential Class I restricted junctional epitopes, by screening for selected murine motifs and for the most common human Class I HLA A and B motifs.
- [0156] Spacer sequences can also similarly be employed to prevent CTL junctional epitopes. Often, very small residues such as A or G are preferred spacer residues. G also occurs relatively infrequently as a preferred primary anchor residue (see, e.g., PCT/US00/24802) of an HLA Class I binding motif. These spacers can vary in length, e.g., spacer sequences can typically be 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, or 8 amino acid residues in length and are sometimes longer. Smaller lengths are often preferred because of physical constraints in producing the multi-epitope construct.

The influence of flanking regions on CTL multi-epitope construct immunogenicity

- [0157] Another factor to be considered in designing multi-epitope constructs is to insert residues that favor immunogenicity at the position flanking the C-terminus of a CTL epitope.
- [0158] Disclosed herein are studies that identify residues that increase immunogenicity and, accordingly, residues that are inserted in multi-epitope constructs to optimize immunogenicity.
- [0159] The molecular context in which an epitope was expressed often dramatically influenced the frequency and/or magnitude of priming of CTL specific for that epitope in HLA transgenic mice. Two examples are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Differences in effectiveness of T cell priming for specific epitopes in different epigene constructs.

			Flanking Sequence	Epitope	Flanking Sequence	Immune Response	Immune Response
Epitope Identity	Epigene Construct	SEQ ID NO:	(N terminus)	Sequence	(C- terminus)	Frequency	Magnitude ¹⁾
Core 18	HBV.1	3	TLKAAA	FLPSDFFPSV	FLLSLG	6/6	5.5
	pMinl	4	TLKAAA	FLPSDFFPSV	KLTPLC	6/6	1074.5
Core 132	HCV1	5	ILGGWV	DLMGYIPLV	YLVAYQ	2/12	107.7
	HCV2	6	VPGSRG	DLMGYIPLV	AKFVA	17/18	929.2

1) IFNy secretory units

[0160] The immunogenicity of the HBV Core 18 epitope expressed in the pMin5 epigene construct was approximately 200-fold lower in magnitude than that observed in the case of the pMin1 epigene construct. Similarly, the immunogenicity of the HCV Core 132 epitope expressed in the context of the HCV1 epigene construct was marginal, with significant T cell priming demonstrable in only 2 of 12 different independent CTL experiments/cultures performed. These two positive experiments yielded responses of approximately 100SU of IFNγ. However, when the same epitope was expressed in the context of the HCV2 epigene construct, positive responses were observed in 17/18 cases, and with average magnitudes approximately five-fold higher.

Immunogenicity of HIV-FT in HLA-A*0201/Kb transgenic mice

[0161] An HIV multi-epitope DNA vaccine, HIV-FT (Fig. 3a) encodes 20 HIV-derived CTL epitopes. Of these 20 epitopes, eight are restricted by HLA-A*0201, nine by HLA-A*1101 and three by HLA-B*0702. All epitopes bound their relevant restriction element with high or moderate affinity. All of the HLA-A*0201 restricted epitopes bound purified HLA-A*0201 molecules with roughly similar affinities, with IC₅₀ values in the 19-192 nM range (Fig. 3a). The HLA-A*0201 epitopes chosen for inclusion in HIV-FT are recognized in HIV-1 infected individuals and were also highly effective in

priming for recall CTL responses when emulsified with IFA and utilized to prime HLA-A*0201/K^b transgenic mice. The construct was designed to encode the epitopes sequentially without any intervening spacer sequences between them and a consensus Igk signal sequence was fused to the 5' end of the construct to facilitate transport of the encoded antigen into the endoplasmic reticulum (Ishioka et al., *J. Immunol.* 162:3915-3925, 1999).

[0162]The ability of HIV-FT to prime recall CTL responses in vivo was evaluated by intramuscular immunization of HLA-A*0201/K^b transgenic mice. Splenocytes from animals immunized with 100µg of HIV-FT plasmid DNA were stimulated with each of the HLA-A*0201 epitopes encoded in HIV-FT and assayed for peptide-specific CTL activity after six days of culture. Representative CTL responses against three of the epitopes in HIV-FT are shown in Fig. 4a. To more conveniently compile results from different experiments the percent cytotoxicity values for each splenocyte culture were expressed in lytic units (Vitiello, et al., J. Clin. Invest 95:341-349, 1995). Of the eight HLA-A*0201 restricted epitopes encoded in HIV-FT, Pol 498, Env 134, Pol 448, Vpr 62, Nef 221, and Gag 271, primed for CTL responses following DNA immunization, (Fig. 4b). The magnitude of the CTL responses varied over greater than a 10-fold range, from as high as nearly 50 LU against Pol 498, too as little as 4 LU against Nef 221 and Gag 271. Similarly, the frequency of recall CTL responses varied between epitopes, with the Pol 498 epitope inducing responses in 94% of the experiments while CTL responses to Gag 271 were detected in only 31% of the experiments. In conclusion, DNA immunization with HIV-FT, which sequentially encodes the epitopes without any spacer amino acids, induced recall CTL responses against the majority of the epitopes analyzed. However, the magnitude and the frequency of the responses varied greatly between epitopes.

Correlation Between Epitope Immunogenicity and Levels of HIV-FT Epitope Presentation In Transfected Cell Lines

[0163] The differential immunogenicity of the HLA-A*0201 epitopes in HIV-FT was then assessed. Differential MHC binding affinity could be excluded as all of the epitopes bind HLA-A*0201 with high affinity (Fig. 3a). In

addition, lack of a suitable repertoire of TCR specificities in HLA-A*0201/K^b transgenic mice could be excluded since all epitopes yielded comparable CTL responses following immunization of HLA transgenic mice with the optimal preprocessed peptide emulsified in IFA. Variations in the relative amounts of each epitope presented for T cell recognition may account for the differences in epitope immunogenicity.

[0164] To test this, Jurkat cells, a human T cell line, expressing the HLA-A*0201/K^b gene (Vitiello et al., *J. Exp. Med.* 173, 1007-1015, 1991) were transfected with the HIV-FT expressed in an episomal vector. A human cell line was selected for use to eliminate any possible artifacts that may be associated with potential differences in the processing capabilities between humans and mice. This transfected cell line matches the human MHC presentation with human antigen processing capabilities and provides support for the subsequent development of CTL epitope-based DNA vaccines for use in humans.

[0165] Peptide-specific CTL lines detected presentation in the transfected targets of four of the HLA-A*0201 epitopes encoded in the HIV-FT, Pol 498, Env 134, Pol 448 and Nef 221. To quantitate the level at which each of these epitopes was produced and presented, the CTL lines specific for the various epitopes were incubated with untransfected targets and variable amounts of each epitope or peptides. These CTL dose response curves were utilized as standard curves to determine the peptide concentration inducing levels of IFNγ secretion equivalent to those observed in response to the HIV-FT transfected target cells. This value is referred to as a "peptide equivalent dose" and taken as a relative measure of the amount of epitope presented on the transfected cell.

Table 4 summarizes the findings of this analysis for eight of the HLA-A*0201 epitopes encoded in the HIV-FT. Peptide equivalent doses varied from a high of 33.3 ng/ml for Nef 221 to less than 0.4 ng/ml peptide equivalents for epitopes Gag 271, Gag 386 and Pol 774. Cumulatively these results indicate that in human cells lines transfected with HIV-FT there is at least a 100-fold variation exists in the levels of presentation of the different HLA-A*0201 restricted epitopes. All of the epitopes that were presented at

detectable levels in antigenicity assays were also immunogenic *in vivo*. The only epitope that was immunogenic and not antigenic was Gag 271. In this case, immunization of HLA-A*0201/Kb transgenic mice with HIV-FT induced a weak CTL response in less than a third of the cultures tested. The other two epitopes, which were presented below the limit of sensitivity for the antigenicity analysis, Gag 386 and Pol 774, were non-immunogenic. In conclusion these results suggest that the heterogeneity in CTL responses induced by HIV-FT immunization can at least in part be attributed to suboptimal epitope presentation.

Table 4: Comparison of HIV-FT immunogenicity and antigenicity

Epitope	HIV-FT Im	munogenicity	HIV-FT Antigenicity		
	magnitude ¹	frequency ²	Peptide	n ⁴	
			Equivalents ³		
Pol 498	58.8 (2.2)	94% (16/17)	23.8 (2.0)	4	
Env 134	16.1 (5.0)	63% (5/8)	6.2 (1.2)	3	
Pol 448	15.7 (2.6)	54% (7/13)	24.7 (3.9)	3	
Vpr 62	9.9 (1.9)	83% (10.12)	ND	-	
Nef 221	4.4 (1.3)	78% (7/9)	33.3 (6.0)	3	
Gag 271	4.0 (1.4)	31% (4/13)	<0.4	6	
Gag 386	0	0% (0/17)	<0.4	3	
Pol 774	0	0% (0/8)	<0.4	1	

¹ magnitude expressed as LU (ref); the correlation coefficient relative to peptide equivalents R+0.44

Flanking amino acids influence CTL epitope immunogenicity in vivo following vaccination

[0167] As described herein, the particular amino acids flanking individual CTL epitopes is one factor that influences or enhances the efficiency with which an epitope is processed by altering the susceptibility of the antigen to proteolytic cleavage. To examine the influence of flanking amino acids on epitope immunogenicity, immunogenicity data was obtained from HLA-A*0201, -A*1101 and -B*0701 transgenic mice immunized with a number of

² frequency of positive cultures (number cultures >2LU/total tested); the correlation coefficient relative to peptide equivalents R+0.8.

³ magnitude expressed in ng/ml

⁴ number of independent experiments

unrelated experimental multi-epitope DNA constructs encoding minimal CTL epitopes without intervening sequences. A database representing 94 different epitope/flanking residue combinations was compiled to determine the possible influence the immediately flanking amino acids on epitope immunogenicity. A given epitope and flanking amino acid combination was included only once to prevent artificial skewing of the analysis because of redundancies. Epitope immunogenicity in HLA transgenic was considered optimal if greater than 100 SU or 20 LU in at least 30% of the cultures measured. CTL responses were typically scored in one of four categories: (+++), outstanding-more than 200 LU or 1000 SU; (++), good-20-200 LU or 100-1000 SU; (+), intermediate-2 to 20 LU or 10 to 100 SU; and (+/-), weak or negative-less than 2 LU or 10 SU. The numbers of optimal versus sub-optimal responses were categorized based on the chemical type of amino acid in the flanking positions and the significance of differences were determined using a chi-square test.

[0168]

This analysis did not find any associations between the type of amino acids present at the amino-terminus of an epitope and immunogenicity. However, significant effects of the carboxyl-terminus flanking residue, the C+1 residue, were identified. Positively charged amino acids, K or R were most frequently associated with optimal CTL responses, a frequency of 68% (Fig 5). The presence of amino acids N and Q at the C+1 residue was also associated with strong CTL responses in 55.5% of the cases examined; when epitopes were flanked at the C+1 position by N, they induced optimal CTL responses in 3/4 cases. In general, small residues such as C, G, A, T, and S promoted intermediate CTL responses inducing strong responses in 54% of the combinations available for analysis. Conversely, epitopes flanked by aromatic and aliphatic amino acids induced optimal in vivo responses in only 36% and 17% of the cases, respectively. The negatively charged residue, D, yielded a suboptimal CTL response. The influence of C+1 amino acid on epitope immunogenicity was found to be statistically significant using a chisquare test (P<0.03). No significant influence on epitope immunogenicity was noted when similar analysis was performed for C-terminal residues more distal than the C+1 position.

Direct Evaluation of the Effect of the C1 Residue On Epitope Immunogenicity

- [0169] To directly evaluate the effect of preferred versus deleterious types of amino acids in the C+1 flanking position, two multi-epitope constructs, referred to as HBV.1 and HBV.2 (Fig 3b) were evaluated. As with HIV-FT, these HBV constructs encode the epitopes sequentially without intervening spacers. The HBV.1 and HBV.2 epigenes were generated by replacing the HIV-1 epitopes in pMin1 (an experimental multi-epitope construct previously characterized (Ishioka, *supra*) with similar HBV-derived epitopes).
- [0170] For HBV.1, the HIV-1 epitope directly following the highly immunogenic HBV Core 18 epitope was replaced with the HBV Pol 562 epitope. This altered the C+1 residue from a K to an F. The second construct, HBV.2, was produced by the insertion of an additional epitope, HBV Pol 629, between the HBV Core 18 and Pol 562 epitopes; a change that replaced the C+1 amino acid with a K residue. When the immunogenicity of the Core 18 epitope presented in these different contexts was evaluated in HLA-A*0201/K^b transgenic mice, it was determined that the Core 18 epitope was virtually non-immunogenic in HBV.1 but strongly immunogenic in HBV.2 (Fig. 6a). The reduction of *in vivo* immunogenicity for this epitope was as would be predicted by our previous analysis.
- epitope immunogenicity, a set of constructs that differ from HBV.1 by the insertion of single amino acids at the C+1 position relative to the Core 18 epitope (Fig. 3b) was evaluated. Little or no CTL response was observed against the Core 18 epitope when flanked at the C+1 position by W, Y, or L (Fig 6b). In contrast, insertion of a single K residue dramatically increased the CTL response to Core 18. The responses were comparable to those observed in HBV.2, where the Core 18 epitope is flanked by Pol 629 epitope (Pol 629 has a K at the N-terminus). Enhancement of the Core 18 CTL response was also observed to insertion of R, C, N, or G. The effect of these insertions is specific, as the immunogenicity of other epitopes within these constructs did not exhibit significant changes in CTL responses (data not shown). In conclusion, these data indicate that the C+1 amino acid can dramatically influence epitope immunogenicity.

Variations in CTL Epitope Immunogenicity Are Correlated With The Amount Presented

If the variation of the immunogenicity of Core 18 associated with [0172]different C+1 residues was the result of differential sensitivity to proteolytic cleavage then large differences in the levels of epitope presentation should be detectable in different constructs. To test this, Jurkat cells, expressing the same HLA-A*0201/K^b gene expressed in the transgenic mice, were transfected with an episomal vector expressing either HBV.1 or HBV.1K. The Core 18 epitope was presented at >10⁵ higher levels when a K was in the C+1 position, compared to the presence of an F in the same position (Fig. 7). It is unlikely that this difference in Core 18 presentation is attributed to differences in gene expression between target cell lines since presentation of Pol 455 varied by less than ten-fold. These data demonstrate the striking effect that amino acids at the C+1 position can exert on efficiency of epitope presentation in multiepitope DNA vaccines. Thus, these data show that the immunogenicity of CTL epitopes in a DNA vaccine can be optimized through design considerations that affect the level of epitope presentation. This type of optimization is applicable to epitope-based vaccines delivered using other formats, such as viral vectors as well as other expression vectors known to those of skill in the art, since the effects are exerted after the antigen is transcribed and translated.

In summary, for flanking residues, it was found that either very small residues such as A, C or G, or large residues such as Q, W, K, or R were generally associated with good or outstanding responses. The absence of a C+1 residue because of a stop codon in the multi-epitope construct, or the presence of intermediate size residues such as S or T was associated with a more intermediate response pattern. Finally, in the case of a negatively charged residue, D; aliphatic (V, I, L, M) or aromatic non-tryptophan residues (Y, F), relatively poor responses were observed. These results show that the particular residue flanking the epitope's C-terminus can dramatically influence the response frequency and magnitude. Flanking residues at the C+1 position

can also be introduced in combination with spacer sequences. Thus, a residue that favors immunogenicity, preferably, K, R, N, A, or G, is included as a flanking residue of a spacer.

Sorting and Optimization of Multi-epitope Constructs

- [0174] To develop multi-epitope constructs using the invention, the epitopes for inclusion in the multi-epitope construct are sorted and optimized using the parameters defined herein. Sorting and optimization can be performed using a computer or, for fewer numbers of epitopes, not using a computer.
- [0175] Computerized optimization can typically be performed as follows. The following provides an example of a computerized system that identifies and optimizes, e.g., provides for a minimal number of junctional epitopes and a maximal number of flanking residues, epitope combinations. Figure 10 illustrates a computer system 100 for performing the optimization of multiepitope constructs, in accordance with one embodiment of the invention. The computer system 100 may be a conventional-type computer which includes processing circuitry, e.g., a central processing unit (CPU), memory, e.g., a hard disk drive (ROM), a random access memory (RAM), cache, and other components, devices and circuitry (not shown) typically found in computers today. In a preferred embodiment, the computer system 100 includes, among other components and devices, a Macintosh G3 333 MHz processor, a six Gigabyte (GB) hard drive, 96 Megabytes of RAM, and 512 Kilabytes (KB) of cache memory, capable of searching 600,000 to 700,000 permutations per second. The computer system 100 further includes a monitor 102 for displaying text, graphics and other information to a user and a keyboard 104 for allowing a user to input data, commands, and other information to the computer system 100.
- [0176] As shown in Figure 10, in one embodiment, the computer system 100 may communicate with one or more remote computers 150 through a computer network 160 such that registered users at remote locations can perform the junctional analyses and multi-epitope construct optimization procedures described herein by logging on at the remote computers 150 and supplying a required password or user identification. The computer network

160 may be a local area network (LAN), a wide area network (WAN), or the world-wide web (i.e., Internet). These types of networks are well-known in the art and, therefore, a discussion of these networks and their communication protocols is not provided herein.

program, e.g., object code, in the hard drive memory of the computer system 100. This object code is executed by the CPU for performing the functions described herein. One component, or module, of the software program carries out the function of analyzing and identifying junctional epitopes at the peptide junctions of the polypeptide encoded by a multi-epitope nucleic acid construct as well as evaluating combinations of spacer and flanking residues at these junctions. This software module is referred to herein as the "Junctional Analyzer" module or program. In a preferred embodiment, the Junctional Analyzer analyzes and processes peptides entered by a user in accordance with other criteria, data and operating parameters described below.

Figures 11A-B (hereinafter Figure 11) illustrate an exemplary input [0178]text file 200 containing user input data and parameters which is used by the Junctional Analyzer program, in accordance with one embodiment of the invention. As shown in Figure 11, various types of input data are provided to the program. First, a user may enter a set of peptides or epitopes 202 for processing. A set of weights 204 for each amino acid, when it appears in a C+1 and N-1 position, is also entered into the text file by the user. In one embodiment, the weight values are determined by statistical or empirical analysis of experimental results reflecting the immunogenicity or antigenicity "enhancement" effects of each amino acid when it is placed at the C+1 or N-1 positions of a polypeptide. However, the assignment of weight values for each amino acid may be performed by any number of methodologies, including in vitro and in vivo studies, which would be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art, depending on the desired criteria used to determine the weight values. Some examples of such experiments or studies are described in further detail below.

[0179] In a preferred embodiment, a database containing different epitope/flanking residue combinations is stratified on the basis of epitope immunogenicity and the number of optimal versus suboptimal responses is

sorted to rank the amino acids and assign enhancement weight values. The text file also contains a set of motifs 206 to use in detecting junctional epitopes. In a preferred embodiment, the user may also enter a maximum number of amino acids (spacers and flanking) to insert between each pair of peptides (MaxInsertions) 208 to function as spacers and/or flanking residues. Other parameters, values or commands (collectively referred to herein as "parameters") to control the operation of the program may also be entered such as, for example: "OutputToScreen (Y/N)" 210; "OutputToFile (Y/N)" function value to accept as a valid result 212; the minimum ("MinimumAccepted") 214; the maximum number of results having the same function value ("MaxDuplicateFunctionValue") 216; the maximum time allowed for a search in minutes ("SearchTime") 218; whether an Exhaustive Search is desired ("Exhaustive = Y/N") 220; the number of Stochastic search probes ("NumStochasticProbes") 222; the maximum number of hits allowed per single probe during a stochastic search ("MaxHitsPerProbe") 224; and whether the start of each probe should be random or other ("RandomProbeStart(Y/N)") 226. These parameters are provided for purposes of illustration only. Other parameters to control the operation and output format of the program may be entered as would be obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art.

model for identifying junctional epitopes. For example the first motif 206a shown in Figure 11, XXXX(F or Y)XX(L, I, M or V), defines an epitope that is eight amino acids in length. The value "X" indicates that any amino acid may be at that position of the epitope. The value "(F or Y)" indicates that either an F amino acid or a Y amino acid may be in the fifth position of the epitope. Similarly, "(L, I, M or V)" indicates that any one of the listed amino acids, L, I, M or V, may be in the eighth position of the epitope. Therefore if a sequence of eight amino acids spanning a junction of two peptides satisfies the above motif criteria, it is identified as a junctional epitope.

[0181] Figure 12 illustrates a flow chart diagram of one embodiment of the Junctional Analyzer program. At step 301, the program receives user inputs and instructions for performing the junctional analysis operation. In a preferred embodiment, the program uses an input text file 200 as shown in

Figure 11 to input parameters 202-226. As is well-known in the art, such a text file may be derived, for example, from a Microsoft Excel™ spreadsheet file or document, to specify desired input parameters (e.g., epitopes, motifs, flanking residue weight values, maximum number of hits, maximum search time, etc.) for its operation. At step 303, the Junctional Analyzer program generates a list of all epitope pairs. For example, if ten epitope sequences are entered by the user, there will be a total of ninety (10x9) epitope (peptide) pairs. Next, at step 305, for each pair of peptides or epitopes, the program determines the set of insertions that results in the minimum number of junctional epitopes and/or the maximum effect from the C+1 and N-1 contribution of spacing residues. To make this determination, the program calculates a function value for each possible combination of spacers for each peptide pair, where the number of spacers can range from 0 to MaxInsertions 208 (Fig. 11) and any arrangement of known or prespecified amino acids may be considered. In a preferred embodiment, the function value is calculated using the following equation: F = (C + N)/J, where C is the enhancement weight value for a flanking amino acid located at the C+1 position of an epitope, N is the enhancement weight value for a flanking amino acid located at the N-1 position of an epitope, and J is the number of junctional epitopes present. Since multiple motifs may be satisfied at one junction of a peptide pair, J may be a number greater than one. When J=0, F=2(C+N). This second equation was chosen because for a fixed value of (C+N), the function value F will double when J changes from two to one, and will double again when J changes from one to zero. It is understood, however, that the above equations are exemplary only and that other equations for evaluating peptide pairs can be easily added to the program at any time. Modifications or changes to the above equations, depending on the desired criteria for emphasis or evaluation, would be readily apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art. At step 307, the program outputs the optimum combination of insertions (spacing and/or flanking residues) for each pair of peptides and the maximum function value for each pair of peptides. In a preferred embodiment, at step 307, the output from this program is generated as an output text file that lists, for each pair of peptides, the insertion that yields the maximum function result.

Figures 13A-D (hereinafter Figure 13) illustrate an exemplary output [0182]text file 400 that lists, for each peptide pair, the spacer combination having the maximum function value. In the example shown in Figure 13, eleven peptides, labeled A-K 202 (Fig. 11), were processed, the Motifs 206 were used to detect junctional epitopes, the enhancement weight values for each potential flanking residue 204 were used, and MaxInsertions 208 was set to four. Other parameters for controlling the operation and format of the Junctional Analyzer program were set as illustrated by the parameter settings 402. For purposes of convenience, in a preferred embodiment, these input parameters are repeated in the output text file 400. The output text file 400 includes an output table 404 which contain the results of steps 305 (Fig. 12). The first column (Col. 1) of the output table 404 indicates the first peptide of a pair. The second column (Col. 2) of the output table lists the first amino acid insertions that function both as a spacer and the C+1 flanking amino acid. The third column lists a second spacer amino acid. The fourth column lists a third spacer amino acid. The fifth column lists a fourth spacer amino acid that is also the N-1 flanking amino acid for the second peptide of the pair which is listed in column six. The seventh column lists the enhancement weight value of the C+1 flanking amino acid listed in column two. The eighth column lists the enhancement weight value of the N-1 flanking amino acid listed in column six 412. The ninth column lists the sum of the C+1 and N-1 enhancement weight values. The tenth column lists the number of junctional epitopes found in the peptide pair and the eleventh column lists the maximum function value for the peptide pair based on the equations listed above. For example, the first row of the output table 404 shows that for the peptide pair A-B, corresponding to the peptides VLAEAMSQV (SEQ ID NO:7) - ILKEPVHGV (SEQ ID NO:8), the spacer combination of three amino acids, CAL, eliminates all junctional epitopes and provides a maximum function value of 8.80. It is understood, however, that other output options may be implemented in accordance with the invention. For example, the output table 404 may show the top 32 results for each pair of peptides, or show every result for all possible insertions in the order evaluated, or trace the motif search process to generate large output files, depending on the level of detail and/or analysis desired by the user.

In a preferred embodiment, the information contained in the output table 404 is used to perform either an "Exhaustive J Search" or a "Stochastic J Search" to identify a polypeptide construct linking all eleven peptides, including optimum spacer combinations. For eleven peptides, for example, there will be ten junctions. Therefore, the permutation that yields the largest sum of function values taking into account all ten junctions is identified as the "optimum" permutation(s) of the multi-epitope constructs. In one embodiment, for the convenience of the user, the output text file 400 will also contain the original list of peptides/epitopes 202, the weight values used 204, the motifs used 206, and MaxInsertion value 208, and other parameter settings 402 entered into the input text file 200 of Figure 11.

The "Exhaustive J Search" looks at all permutations of the peptides [0184] and selects the ones that have the largest function sum. However, due to the factorial nature of permutations, as the number of peptides to be processed increases, the time required to complete an "Exhaustive J Search" increases almost exponentially. For example, using a standard Macintosh 333 MHz computer, the estimated running time for 13 peptides is approximately 2.9 hours and would be approximately 40 hours for 14 peptides. The "Stochastic J Search" is designed to search many areas of the permutation sequence, rather than the entire permutation space, and report the best function sum that it finds. By reporting only permutations that meet or exceed the current maximum function total, it is possible to search a much broader area of the permutation sequence. This technique has been successful with as many as 20 peptides. The time to perform an exhaustive search of 20 peptides is estimated to be on the order of 1.3×10^5 years.

Referring again to Figure 12, at step 309, the program determines whether to perform an "Exhaustive J Search" or a "Stochastic J Search" of the possible permutations of polypeptides from the output text file 400. In a preferred embodiment, the determination at step 309 is made by the user who inputs whether the search will be Exhaustive or Stochastic as indicated by the input parameter, Exhaustive (Y/N) 220 (Fig. 11). In other embodiments, the program may automatically select either a Stochastic or Exhaustive search depending on the number of peptides to be processed. For example, if less than 14 epitopes are to be included, an "Exhaustive J Search" routine is

automatically selected by the program. The Exhaustive search program examines all permutations of the epitopes making up the multi-epitope construct to find the one(s) with the best value for the sum of the optimizing function for all pairs of epitopes. This is guaranteed to find the "best" permutation(s) since all are examined. If 14 or more epitopes are to be included in the multi-epitope construct, a "Stochastic J Search" is used. In a preferred embodiment, the "Stochastic J Search" uses a Monte Carlo technique, known to those of skill in the art, to examine many regions of the permutation space to find the best estimate of the optimum arrangement of the However, other methods of Stochastic searching may be peptides. implemented in accordance with the invention. For example, rather than randomly picking a starting permutation for each stochastic probe, the program may require that each probe begin with a permutation beginning with a different one of the peptides entered by the user. For example, if there were just three peptides, A, B and C, the three probes would begin with, for example, ABC, BAC and CBA. This method provides a fairly uniform coverage of the possible permutations.

If a "Stochastic J Search" has been selected, next, at step 311, the [0186] program begins the Stochastic search by initiating a probe. Next, at step 313, the program determines if the maximum search time per probe has been If the maximum search time has not been reached, next, at step 315, the program determines whether a single probe has reached or exceeded the maximum number of "hits" per probe. In one embodiment, a probe hit is registered when a permutation's function value sum is the same as or greater than the largest function sum previously registered for one or more previously analyzed permutations. If the maximum number of hits per probe has not been reached, then, at step 317, the current stochastic probe evaluates the next permutation or set of permutations and the process returns step 313. If at step 315 it is determined that the maximum number of hits per probe has been reached or exceeded, then, the program proceeds to step 319, where the program determines whether a maximum number of probes have already been executed. Also, if at step 313, it is determined that the maximum time limit per probe has been reached or exceeded, the program proceeds to step 319 to determine if the maximum number of probes have been completed. If, at step

319, it is determined that the maximum number of probes has not been reached, the program returns to step 311 and a new search probe is initiated. If at step 319 it is determined that the maximum number of probes have been executed, the program then proceeds to step 323 where it outputs the best set of optimum permutations identified up to that point. This "best set" may consist of only those permutations having the highest function sum or, alternatively, may consist of the permutations having the top three highest function sums, for example, or any other output criteria desired by the user.

[0187] In one preferred embodiment, if a probe has received a maximum number of hits specified per probe, any unused time for that probe is divided by the remaining probes to decide how much time should be allocated to each of the remaining probes. In other words, if a probe terminates early because of finding too many hits then the remaining probes are allocated more time. This functionality can be easily implemented by those of ordinary skill in the computer programming arts.

If at step 309, an Exhaustive search has been selected, then, at step 321, an exhaustive search is initiated which analyzes every permutation, as described above. At the completion of the Exhaustive analysis, the program proceeds to step 323 where it outputs the "best set" of optimum permutations found. As mentioned above, this "best set" may include those permutations with the highest sum function values, or the top three highest sum function values, or permutations meeting any desired criteria specified by the user (e.g., top 30 permutations with the highest function values).

[0189] For each of the decision steps or determination steps discussed above (e.g., steps 313, 315 and 319), the program may be set to perform a query at periodic intervals (e.g., every five seconds) or, alternatively, the program may be set to perform a query after a specified number of permutations (e.g., five) have been analyzed or after every permutation has been analyzed. Any one of these operation and timing protocols is easily implemented and adjusted by those of ordinary skill in the art.

[0190] The Program output provides a list of the best arrangements of the epitopes. Since many permutations may have the same value of the evaluation function, several are generated so that other factors can be considered in choosing the optimum arrangement. Examples of multi-epitope constructs

generated using the above-described computerized techniques are illustrated in Figure 9. An exemplary process flow implemented by the method and system of the invention is provided above. As would be readily apparent to those of ordinary skill. other factors such as charge distribution, hydrophobic/hydrophilic region analysis, or folding prediction could also be incorporated into the evaluation function to further optimize the multi-epitope constructs. In addition, the multi-epitope construct may be further optimized by processing a multi-epitope construct already optimized by the process through the same or similar process one or more additional times. In the subsequent rounds of processing one or more parameters may be modified as compared to the parameters used in the first round of optimization. An example of a multi -epitope construct that was optimized in two rounds is the HBV-30CL construct.

- [0191] Multi-epitope constructs can also be optimized by considering the resulting macromolecular structure. Macromolecular structures such as polypeptide structures can be described in terms of various levels of organization. For a general discussion of this organization, see, e.g., Alberts et al., Molecular Biology of the Cell (3rd ed., 1994) and Cantor and Schimmel, Biophysical Chemistry Part I: The Conformation of Biological Macromolecules (1980). "Primary structure" refers to the amino acid sequence of a particular peptide. "Secondary structure" refers to locally ordered, three dimensional structures, within a polypeptide. These structures are commonly known as domains. Domains are portions of a polypeptide that form a compact functional unit of the polypeptide. Typical domains are formed by combinations of secondary structure (e.g., β -sheets and α -helices). "Tertiary structure" refers to the complete three-dimensional structure of a polypeptide monomer. "Quaternary structure" refers to the three dimensional structure formed by the noncovalent association of independent tertiary units.
- [0192] Structural predictions such as charge distribution, hydrophobic/hydrophilic region analysis, or folding predictions can be performed using sequence analysis programs known to those of skill in the art, for example, hydrophobic and hydrophilic domains can be identified (see, e.g., Kyte & Doolittle, J. Mol. Biol. 157:105-132 (1982) and Stryer, Biochemistry

(3rd ed. 1988); see also any of a number of Internet based sequence analysis programs, such as those found at dot.imgen.bcm.tmc.edu.

[0193] A three-dimensional structural model of a multi-epitope construct can also be generated. This is generally performed by entering amino acid sequence to be analyzed into a predictive computer system that can generate a model. The amino acid sequence represents the primary sequence or subsequence of the protein, which encodes the structural information of the protein. The three-dimensional structural model of the protein is then generated by the interaction of the computer system, using software known to those of skill in the art.

[0194] The amino acid sequence represents a primary structure that encodes the information necessary to form the secondary, tertiary and quaternary structure of the protein of interest. The software looks at certain parameters encoded by the primary sequence to generate the structural model. These parameters are referred to as "energy terms," and primarily include electrostatic potentials, hydrophobic potentials, solvent accessible surfaces, and hydrogen bonding. Secondary energy terms include van der Waals potentials. Biological molecules form the structures that minimize the energy terms in a cumulative fashion. The computer program is therefore using these terms encoded by the primary structure or amino acid sequence to create the secondary structural model. The tertiary structure of the protein encoded by the secondary structure is then formed on the basis of the energy terms of the secondary structure. The user can enter additional variables such as whether the protein is membrane bound or soluble, its location in the body, and its cellular location, e.g., cytoplasmic, surface, or nuclear. These variables along with the energy terms of the secondary structure are used to form the model of the tertiary structure. In modeling the tertiary structure, the computer program matches hydrophobic faces of secondary structure with like, and hydrophilic faces of secondary structure with like. Those multi-epitope constructs that are most readily accessible to the HLA processing apparatus are then selected.

Assessment Of Immunogenicity Of Multi-epitope Vaccines

challenge, because the species-specificity of the peptide binding to MHC. Different MHC types from different species tend to bind different sets of peptides (Rammensee et al., *Immunogenetics*, Vol. 41(4):178-228 (1995)). As a result, it is not possible to test in regular laboratory animals a construct composed of human epitopes. Alternatives to overcome this limitation are generally available. They include: 1) testing analogous constructs incorporating epitopes restricted by non-human MHC; 2) reliance on control epitopes restricted by non human MHC; 3) reliance on crossreactivity between human and non-human MHC; 4) the use of HLA transgenic animals; and 5) antigenicity assays utilizing human cells *in vivo*. The following is a brief overview of the development of the technology for analyzing antigenicity and immunogenicity.

Class I HLA Transgenic Mice

- [0196] The utility of HLA transgenic mice for the purpose of epitope identification (Sette et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 153(12):5586-92 (1994); Wentworth et al., *Int Immunol*, Vol. 8(5):651-9 (1996); Engelhard et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 146(4):1226-32 (1991); Man et al., *Int Immunol*, Vol. 7(4):597-605 (1995); Shirai et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 154(6):2733-42 (1995)), and vaccine development (Ishioka et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 162(7):3915-25 (1999)) has been established. Most of the published reports have investigated the use of HLA A2.1/K^b mice but it should be noted that B*27, and B*3501 mice are also available. Furthermore, HLA A*11/K^b mice (Alexander et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 159(10):4753-61 (1997)), and HLA B7/K^b and HLA A1/K^b mice have also been generated.
- Data from 38 different potential epitopes was analyzed to determine the level of overlap between the A2.1-restricted CTL repertoire of A2.1/K^b-transgenic mice and A2.1+ humans (Wentworth et al., *Eur J Immunol*, Vol. 26(1):97-101 (1996)). In both humans and mice, an MHC peptide binding affinity threshold of approximately 500 nM correlates with the capacity of a peptide to elicit a CTL response *in vivo*. A high level of concordance between

the human data *in vivo* and mouse data *in vivo* was observed for 85% of the high-binding peptides, 58% of the intermediate binders, and 83% of the low/negative binders. Similar results were also obtained with HLA A11 and HLA B7 transgenic mice (Alexander et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 159(10):4753-61 (1997)). Thus, because of the extensive overlap that exists between T cell receptor repertoires of HLA transgenic mouse and human CTLs, transgenic mice are valuable for assessing immunogenicity of the multi-epitope constructs described herein.

[0198]The different specificities of TAP transport as it relates to HLA A11 mice does not prevent the use of HLA-A11 transgenic mice of evaluation of immunogenicity. While both murine and human TAP efficiently transport peptides with an hydrophobic end, only human TAP has been reported to efficiently transport peptides with positively charged C terminal ends, such as the ones bound by A3, A11 and other members of the A3 supertype. This concern does not apply to A2, A1 or B7 because both murine and human TAP should be equally capable of transporting peptides bound by A2, B7 or A1. Consistent with this understanding, Vitiello (Vitiello et al., J Exp Med, Vol. 173(4):1007-15 (1991)) and Rotzschke (Rotzschke O, Falk K., Curr Opin Immunol, Vol. 6(1):45-51 (1994)) suggested that processing is similar in mouse and human cells, while Cerundolo (Rotzschke O, Falk K., Curr Opin Immunol, Vol. 6(1):45-51 (1994)) suggested differences in murine versus human cells, both expressing HLA A3 molecules. However, using HLA A11 transgenics, expression of HLA molecules on T and B cells in vivo has been observed, suggesting that the reported unfavorable specificity of murine TAP did not prevent stabilization and transport of A11/K^b molecules in vivo (Alexander et al., J Immunol, Vol. 159(10):4753-61 (1997)). These data are in agreement with the previous observation that peptides with a charged Ctermini could be eluted from murine cells transfected with A11 molecules (Maier et al., Immunogenetics; Vol. 40(4):306-8 (1994)). Responses in HLA All mice to complex antigens, such as influenza, and most importantly to All restricted epitopes encoded by multi-epitope constructs (Ishioka et al., J. Immunol, Vol. 162(7):3915-25 (1999)) has also been detected. Thus, the TAP issue appears to be of minor concern with transgenic mice.

[0199]Another issue of potential relevance in the use of HLA transgenic mice is the possible influence of $\beta 2$ microglobulin on HLA expression and binding specificity. It is well known that human β2 binds both human and mouse MHC with higher affinity and stability than mouse \$2 microglobulin (Shields et al., Mol Immunol Vol. 35(14-15):919-28 (1998)). It is also well known that more stable complexes of MHC heavy chain and β2 facilitate exogenous loading of MHC Class I (Vitiello et al., Science, Vol. 250(4986):1423-6 (1990)). We have examined the potential effect of this variable by generating mice that are double transgenics for HLA/K^b and human β2. Expression of human β2 was beneficial in the case HLA B7/K^b mice, and was absolutely essential to achieve good expression levels in the case of HLA A1 transgenic mice. Accordingly, HLA/K^b and β2 double transgenic mice are currently and routinely bred and utilized by the present inventors. Thus, HLA transgenic mice can be used to model HLA-restricted recognition of four major HLA specificities (namely A2, A11, B7 and A1) and transgenic mice for other HLA specificities can be developed as suitable models for evaluation of immunogenicity.

Antigenicity testing for Class I epitopes

of Class I/peptide complexes on the cell surface may correlate with the level of T cell priming. Thus, measuring the levels at which an epitope is generated and presented on an APC's surface provides an avenue to indirectly evaluate the potency of multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccines in human cells *in vitro*. As a complement to the use of HLA Class I transgenic mice, this approach has the advantage of examining processing in human cells. (Ishioka et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 162(7):3915-25 (1999))

[0201] Several possible approaches to experimentally quantitate processed peptides are available. The amount of peptide on the cell surface can be quantitated by measuring the amount of peptide eluted from the APC surface (Sijts et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 156(2):683-92 (1996); Demotz et al., *Nature*, Vol. 342(6250):682-4 (1989)). Alternatively, the number of peptide-MHC complexes can be estimated by measuring the amount of lysis or lymphokine

release induced by infected or transfected target cells, and then determining the concentration of peptide necessary to obtain equivalent levels of lysis or lymphokine release (Kageyama et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 154(2):567-76 (1995)).

[0202] A similar approach has also been used to measure epitope presentation in multi-epitope nucleic acid-transfected cell lines. Specifically, multi-epitope constructs that are immunogenic in HLA transgenic mice are also processed into optimal epitopes by human cells transfected with the same constructs, and the magnitude of the response observed in transgenic mice correlates with the antigenicity observed with the transfected human target cells (Ishioka et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 162(7):3915-25 (1999)).

Using antigenicity assays, a number of related constructs differing in epitope order or flanking residues can be transfected into APCs, and the impact of the aforementioned variables on epitope presentation can be evaluated. This can be a preferred system for testing where a relatively large number of different constructs need to be evaluated. Although it requires large numbers of epitope-specific CTLs, protocols that allow for the generation of highly sensitive CTL lines (Alexander-Miller et al., *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, Vol. 93(9):4102-7 (1996)) and also for their expansion to large numbers (Greenberg P.D., Riddell S.R., *Science*, Vol. 285(5427):546-51 (1999)) have been developed to address this potential problem.

It should also be kept in mind that, if the cell selected for the transfection is not reflective of the cell performing APC function *in vivo*, misleading results could be obtained. Cells of the B cell lineage, which are known "professional" APCs, are typically employed as transfection recipients. The use of transfected B cells of this type is an accepted practice in the field. Furthermore, a good correlation has already been noted between *in vitro* data utilizing transfected human B cells and *in vivo* results utilizing HLA transgenic mice, as described in more detail herein.

Measuring HTL responses

[0205] In preferred embodiments, vaccine constructs are optimized to induce Class II restricted immune responses. One method of evaluating multi-epitope constructs including Class II epitopes, is to use HLA-DR transgenic mice.

Several groups have produced and characterized HLA-DR transgenic mice (Taneja V., David C.S., *Immunol Rev*, Vol. 169:67-79 (1999)).

An alternative also exists which relies on crossreactivity between [0206] certain human MHC molecules and particular MHC molecules expressed by laboratory animals. Bertoni and colleagues (Bertoni et al., J Immunol, Vol. 161(8):4447-55 (1998)) have noted that appreciable crossreactivity can be demonstrated between certain HLA Class I supertypes and certain PATR molecules expressed by chimpanzees. Crossreactivity between human and macaques at the level of Class II (Geluk et al., J Exp Med, Vol. 177(4):979-87 (1993)) and Class I molecules (Dzuris, et al., J. Immunol., July 1999) has also been noted. Finally, it can also be noted that the motif recognized by human HLA B7 supertype is essentially the same as the one recognized by the murine Class I L^d (Rammensee et al., Immunogenetics, Vol. 41(4):178-228 (1995)). Of relevance to testing HLA DR restricted epitopes in mice, it has been shown by Wall, et al (Wall et al., J. Immunol., 152:4526-36 (1994)) that similarities exist in the motif of DR1 and IAb. We routinely breed our transgenic mice to take advantage of this fortuitous similarity. Furthermore, we have also shown that most of our peptides bind to IAb, so that we use these mice for the study of CTL and HTL immunogenicity.

Measuring and Quantitating Immune Responses from Clinical Samples

- [0207] A crucial element to assess vaccine performance is to evaluate its capacity to induce immune responses *in vivo*. Analyses of CTL and HTL responses against the immunogen, as well as against common recall antigens are commonly used and are known in the art. Assays employed included chromium release, lymphokine secretion and lymphoproliferation assays.
- [0208] More sensitive techniques such as the ELISPOT assay, intracellular cytokine staining, and tetramer staining have become available in the art. It is estimated that these newer methods are 10- to 100-fold more sensitive than the common CTL and HTL assays (Murali-Krishna et al., *Immunity*, Vol. 8(2):177-87 (1998)), because the traditional methods measure only the subset of T cells that can proliferate *in vitro*, and may, in fact, be representative of only a fraction of the memory T cell compartment (Ogg G.S., McMichael A.J.,

Curr Opin Immunol, Vol. 10(4):393-6 (1998)). Specifically in the case of HIV, these techniques have been used to measure antigen-specific CTL responses from patients that would have been undetectable with previous techniques (Ogg et al., Science, Vol. 279(5359):2103-6 (1998); Gray et al., J Immunol, Vol. 162(3):1780-8 (1999); Ogg et al., J Virol, Vol. 73(11):9153-60 (1999); Kalams et al., J Virol, Vol. 73(8):6721-8 (1999); Larsson et al., AIDS, Vol. 13(7):767-77 (1999); Corne et al., J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol, Vol. 20(5):442-7 (1999)).

With relatively few exceptions, direct activity of freshly isolated cells [0209] has been difficult to demonstrate by the means of traditional assays (Ogg G.S., McMichael A.J., Curr Opin Immunol, Vol. 10(4):393-6 (1998)). However, the increased sensitivity of the newer techniques has allowed investigators to detect responses from cells freshly isolated from infected humans or experimental animals (Murali-Krishna et al., Immunity, Vol. 8(2):177-87 (1998); Ogg G.S., McMichael A.J., Curr Opin Immunol, Vol. 10(4):393-6 (1998)). The availability of these sensitive assays, which do not depend on an in vitro restimulation step, has greatly facilitated the study of CTL function in natural infection and cancer. In contrast, assays utilized as an endpoint to judge effectiveness of experimental vaccines are usually performed in conjunction with one or more in vitro restimulation steps (Ogg G.S., McMichael A.J., Curr Opin Immunol, Vol. 10(4):393-6 (1998)). In fact, with few exceptions (Hanke et al., Vaccine, Vol. 16(4):426-35 (1998)), freshly isolated Class I-restricted CD8+ T cells have been difficult to demonstrate in response to immunization with experimental vaccines designed to elicit CTL responses. The use of sensitive assays, such as ELISPOT or in situ IFN γ ELISA, have been combined with a restimulation step to achieve maximum sensitivity; MHC tetramers are also used for this purpose.

[0210] MHC tetramers were first described in 1996 by Altman and colleagues. They produced soluble HLA-A2 Class I molecules that were folded with HIV-specific peptides containing a CTL epitope complexed together into tetramers tagged with fluorescent markers. These are used to label populations of T cells from HIV-infected individuals that recognize the epitope (Ogg G.S., McMichael A.J., Curr Opin Immunol, Vol. 10(4):393-6 (1998)). These cells

were then quantified by flow cytometry, providing a frequency measurement for the T cells that are specific for the epitope. This technique has become very popular in HIV research as well as in other infectious diseases (Ogg G.S., McMichael A.J., Curr Opin Immunol, Vol. 10(4):393-6 (1998); Ogg et al., Science, Vol. 279(5359):2103-6 (1998); Gray et al., J Immunol, Vol. 162(3):1780-8 (1999); Ogg et al., J Virol, Vol. 73(11):9153-60 (1999); Kalams et al., J Virol, Vol. 73(8):6721-8 (1999)). However, HLA polymorphism can limit the general applicability of this technique, in that the tetramer technology relies on defined HLA/peptide combinations. However, it has been shown that a variety of peptides, including HIV-derived peptides, are recognized by peptide-specific CTL lines in the context of different members of the A2, A3 and B7 supertypes (Threlkeld et al., J Immunol, Vol. 159(4):1648-57 (1997); Bertoni et al., J Clin Invest, Vol. 100(3):503-13 (1997)). Taken together these observations demonstrate that a T cell receptor (TCR) for a given MHC/peptide combination can have detectable affinity for the same peptide presented by a different MHC molecule from the same supertype.

[0211] In circumstances in which efficacy of a prophylactic vaccine is primarily correlated with the induction of a long-lasting memory response, restimulation assays can be the most appropriate and sensitive measures to monitor vaccine-induced immunological responses. Conversely, in the case of therapeutic vaccines, the main immunological correlate of activity can be the induction of effector T cell function, most aptly measured by primary assays. Thus, the use of sensitive assays allows for the most appropriate testing strategy for immunological monitoring of vaccine efficacy.

Antigenicity of Multi-epitope Constructs in Transfected Human APC's

- [0212] Antigenicity assays are performed to evaluate epitope processing and presentation in human cells. An episomal vector to efficiently transfect human target cells with multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccines is used to perform such an analysis.
- [0213] For example, 221 A2K^b target cells were transfected with an HIV-1 epigene vaccine. The 221 A2K^b target cell expresses the A2K^b gene that is

expressed in HLA transgenic mice, but expresses no endogenous Class I (Shimizu Y, DeMars R., *J Immunol*, Vol. 142(9):3320-8 (1989)). These transfected cells were assayed for their capacity to present antigen to CTL lines derived from HLA transgenic mice and specific for various HIV-derived CTL epitopes. To correct for differences in antigen sensitivity of different CTL lines, peptide dose titrations, using untransfected cells as APC, were run in parallel. Representative data is presented in Fig. 8. In the case of HIV Pol 498-specific CTL, the transfected target cells induced the release of 378 pg/ml of IFNy. Inspection of the peptide dose responses reveals that, 48 ng/ml of exogenously added peptide was necessary to achieve similar levels of IFNy release. These results demonstrate that relatively large amounts of Pol 498 epitope are presented by the transfected cells, equivalent to 48 ng/ml of exogenously added peptide.

mice of the HIV-1 minigene				
	Antigenicity		Immunogenicity	
Epitope	Peptide Equivalents ¹⁾	n ²⁾	% response ³⁾	Magnitude ⁴⁾
HIV Pol 498	30.5	(6)	95%	46.7
HIV Env 134	6.2	(3)	62%	16.1
HIV Nef 221	2.1	(5)	82%	3.8
HIV Gag 271	<0.2	(6)	31%	4

By comparison, less than 25 pg/ml IFNγ was detected utilizing the CTL specific for the Gag 271 epitope. The control peptide titration with untransfected target cells revealed that this negative result could not be ascribed to poor sensitivity of the particular CTL line utilized, because as little as 0.2 pg/ml of "peptide equivalents" (PE) could be detected. Thus, it appears that the Gag 271 epitope is not efficiently processed and presented in the HIV-1 transfected target cells. Utilizing the "peptide equivalents" figure as an approximate quantitation of the efficiency of processing, it can be estimated that at least 200-fold less Gag 271 is presented by the transfected targets, compared to the Pol 498 epitope.

[0215] The results of various independent determinations for four different epitopes contained within HIV-FT are compiled in Table 5. The amount of

each epitope produced from the HIV-FT transfected cells ranged from 30.5 PE for Pol 498, to a low of less than 0.2 PE for Gag 271. The two epitopes Env 134 and Nef 221 were associated with intermediate values, of 6.1 and 2.1 PE, respectively.

- These results were next correlated with the *in vivo* immunogenicity values observed for each epitope after immunization with the HIV-FT construct. The Pol 498 epitope was also the most immunogenic, as would be predicted. The Env 134 and Nef 221 epitopes, for which intermediate immunogenicity was observed *in vivo*, were also processed *in vitro* with intermediate efficiency by the transfected human cells. Finally, the Gag 271, for which no detectable *in vitro* processing was observed, was also associated with *in vivo* immunogenicity suboptimal in both frequency and magnitude.
- [0217] These data have several important implications. First, they suggest that different epitopes contained within a given construct may be processed and presented with differential efficiency. Second, they suggest that immunogenicity is proportional to the amount of processed epitope generated. Finally, these results provide an important validation of the use of transgenic mice for the purpose of optimization of multi-epitope vaccines destined for human use.

III. Preparation of Multi-epitope Constructs

- [0218] Epitopes for inclusion in the multi-epitope constructs typically bear HLA Class I or Class II binding motifs, as described for example in PCT applications PCT/US00/27766, or PCT/US00/19774. Multi-epitope constructs can be prepared according to the methods set forth in Ishioka, *et al.*, *J. Immunol.* (1999) 162(7):3915-3925, for example.
- [0219] Multiple HLA class II or class I epitopes present in a multi-epitope construct can be derived from the same antigen, or from different antigens. For example, a multi-epitope construct can contain one or more HLA epitopes that can be derived from two different antigens of the same virus or from two different antigens of different viruses. Epitopes for inclusion in a multi-epitope construct can be selected by one of skill in the art, e.g., by using a computer to select epitopes that contain HLA allele-specific motifs or

supermotifs. The multi-epitope constructs of the invention can also encode one or more broadly cross-reactive binding, or universal, HLA class II epitopes, e.g., PADRE[®] epitope (Epimmune, San Diego, CA), (described, for example, in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,736,142; 6,413,935; and 5,679,640) or a PADRE[®] family molecule.

- Universal HLA Class II epitopes can be advantageously combined with other HLA Class I and Class II epitopes to increase the number of cells that are activated in response to a given antigen and provide broader population coverage of HLA-reactive alleles. Thus, the multi-epitope constructs of the invention can include HLA epitopes specific for an antigen, universal HLA class II epitopes, or a combination of specific HLA epitopes and at least one universal HLA class II epitope.
- [0221] HLA Class I epitopes are generally less than about 15 residues in length, preferably 13 residues or less in length and preferably are about 8 to about 13 amino acids in length, more preferably about 8 to about 11 amino acids in length (e.g. 8, 9, 10, or 11), and most preferably about 9 amino acids in length. HLA Class II epitopes are generally less than about 50 residues in length and usually consist of about 6 to about 30 residues, more usually between about 12 to 25, and often about 15 to 20 residues, and can encode an epitope peptide of about 7 to about 23, preferably about 7 to about 17, more preferably about 11 to about 15 (e.g. 11,12,13,14,or 15), and most preferably about 13 amino acids in length. An HLA Class I or II epitope can be derived from any desired antigen of interest. The antigen of interest can be a viral antigen, surface receptor, tumor antigen, oncogene, enzyme, or any pathogen, cell or molecule for which an immune response is desired. Epitopes can be selected based on their ability to bind one or multiple HLA alleles. Epitopes that are analogs of naturally occuring sequences can also be included in the multi-epitope constructs described herein. Such analog peptides are described, for example, in PCT applications PCT/US97/03778, PCT/US00/19774, and co-pending U.S.S.N. 09/260,714 filed 3/1/99.
- [0222] Given the methods described herein for optimizing epitope configuration and spacers between the epitopes, the skilled artisan may include any HLA epitopes into the multi-epitope constructs described herein. Figures 2, 3, 9, 17, 18A-18N, 27A, 28A, 29A, and Tables 13, 14, 18, and 19

depict exemplary multi-epitope constructs using epitopes listed in Figures 19A-19E. Exemplary constructs are also set forth in Figures 20B, 20D, 20E, and 20F (epitopes are listed in Figure 20A); Figures 21B, 21D, and 21E (epitopes are listed in Figure 21A); Figures 22B, 22D, and 22E (epitopes are listed in 22A); Figure 23C; and Figures 24B and 24C (epitopes are listed in Figure 24A). Multi-epitope constructs may include five or more, or six, seven, eight, nine, ten, eleven, twelve, thirteen, fourteen, fifteen, twenty, twenty-five, or thirty or more of the epitopes set forth in Figures 19A-19E, 20A, 21A, 22A, and 24A. Multi-epitope constructs that include any combinations of these epitopes can be optimized using the procedures set forth herein, and spacers can be optimized as well.

[0223] Multi-epitope constructs can be generated using methodology well known in the art. For example, polypeptides comprising the multi-epitope constructs can be synthesized and linked. Typically, multi-epitope constructs are constructed using recombinant DNA technology.

IV. Expression Vectors and Construction of a Multi-Epitope Constructs

- [0224] The multi-epitope constructs of the invention are typically provided as an expression vector comprising a nucleic acid encoding the multi-epitope polypeptide. Construction of such expression vectors is described, for example in PCT/US99/10646. The expression vectors contain at least one promoter element that is capable of expressing a transcription unit encoding the nucleic acid in the appropriate cells of an organism so that the antigen is expressed and targeted to the appropriate HLA molecule. For example, for administration to a human, a promoter element that functions in a human cell is incorporated into the expression vector.
- In preferred embodiments, the invention utilizes routine techniques in the field of recombinant genetics. Basic texts disclosing the general methods of use in this invention include Sambrook et al., Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual (2nd ed. 1989); Kriegler, Gene Transfer and Expression: A Laboratory Manual (1990); and Current Protocols in Molecular Biology (Ausubel et al., eds., 1994); Oligonucleotide Synthesis: A Practical Approach (Gait, ed., 1984); Kuijpers, Nucleic Acids Research 18(17):5197 (1994);

Dueholm, J. Org. Chem. 59:5767-5773 (1994); Methods in Molecular Biology, volume 20 (Agrawal, ed.); and Tijssen, Laboratory Techniques in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology--Hybridization with Nucleic Acid Probes, e.g., Part I, chapter 2 "Overview of principles of hybridization and the strategy of nucleic acid probe assays" (1993)).

The nucleic acids encoding the epitopes are assembled in a construct according to standard techniques. In general, the nucleic acid sequences encoding multi-epitope polypeptides are isolated using amplification techniques with oligonucleotide primers, or are chemically synthesized. Recombinant cloning techniques can also be used when appropriate. Oligonucleotide sequences are selected which either amplify (when using PCR to assemble the construct) or encode (when using synthetic oligonucleotides to assemble the construct) the desired epitopes.

[0227] Amplification techniques using primers are typically used to amplify and isolate sequences encoding the epitopes of choice from DNA or RNA (see U.S. Patents 4,683,195 and 4,683,202; PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications (Innis et al., eds, 1990)). Methods such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and ligase chain reaction (LCR) can be used to amplify epitope nucleic acid sequences directly from mRNA, from cDNA, from genomic libraries or cDNA libraries. Restriction endonuclease sites can be incorporated into the primers. Multi-epitope constructs amplified by the PCR reaction can be purified from agarose gels and cloned into an appropriate vector.

[0228] Synthetic oligonucleotides can also be used to construct multi-epitope constructs. This method is performed using a series of overlapping oligonucleotides, representing both the sense and non-sense strands of the gene. These DNA fragments are then annealed, ligated and cloned. Oligonucleotides that are not commercially available can be chemically synthesized according to the solid phase phosphoramidite triester method first described by Beaucage & Caruthers, *Tetrahedron Letts*. 22:1859-1862 (1981), using an automated synthesizer, as described in Van Devanter *et. al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res*. 12:6159-6168 (1984). Purification of oligonucleotides is by either native acrylamide gel electrophoresis or by anion-exchange HPLC as described in Pearson & Reanier, *J. Chrom.* 255:137-149 (1983).

The epitopes of the multi-epitope constructs are typically subcloned into an expression vector that contains a strong promoter to direct transcription, as well as other regulatory sequences such as enhancers and polyadenylation sites. Suitable promoters are well known in the art and described, e.g., in Sambrook et al. and Ausubel et al. Eukaryotic expression systems for mammalian cells are well known in the art and are commercially available. Such promoter elements include, for example, cytomegalovirus (CMV), Rous sarcoma virus long terminal repeats (RSV LTR) and Simmian Virus 40 (SV40).

[0230] The expression vector typically contains a transcription unit or expression cassette that contains all the additional elements required for the expression of the multi-epitope construct in host cells. A typical expression cassette thus contains a promoter operably linked to the multi-epitope construct and signals required for efficient polyadenylation of the transcript. Additional elements of the cassette may include enhancers and introns with functional splice donor and acceptor sites.

[0231] In addition to a promoter sequence, the expression cassette can also contain a transcription termination region downstream of the structural gene to provide for efficient termination. The termination region may be obtained from the same gene as the promoter sequence or may be obtained from different genes.

[0232] The particular expression vector used to transport the genetic information into the cell is not particularly critical. Any of the conventional vectors used for expression in eukaryotic cells may be used. Expression vectors containing regulatory elements from eukaryotic viruses are typically used as eukaryotic expression vectors, e.g., SV40 vectors, CMV vectors, papilloma virus vectors, and vectors derived from Epstein Bar virus.

The multi-epitope constructs of the invention can be expressed from a variety of vectors including plasmid vectors as well as viral or bacterial vectors. Examples of viral expression vectors include attenuated viral hosts, such as vaccinia or fowlpox. As an example of this approach, vaccinia virus is used as a vector to express nucleotide sequences that encode the peptides of the invention. Upon introduction into a host bearing a tumor, the recombinant vaccinia virus expresses the immunogenic peptide, and thereby elicits a host

CTL and/or HTL response. Vaccinia vectors and methods useful in immunization protocols are described in, e.g., U.S. Patent No. 4,722,848.

[0234] A wide variety of other vectors useful for therapeutic administration or immunization, e.g. adeno and adeno-associated virus vectors, retroviral vectors, non-viral vectors such as BCG (Bacille Calmette Guerin), Salmonella typhi vectors, detoxified anthrax toxin vectors, and the like, will be apparent to those skilled in the art.

[0235] Immunogenicity and antigenicity of the multi-epitope constructs are evaluated as described herein.

Targeting Sequences

[0236] The expression vectors of the invention may encode one or more MHC epitopes operably linked to a MHC targeting sequence, and are referred to herein as "targeting nucleic acids" or "targeting sequences." The use of a MHC targeting sequence enhances the immune response to an antigen, relative to delivery of antigen alone, by directing the peptide epitope to the site of MHC molecule assembly and transport to the cell surface, thereby providing an increased number of MHC molecule-peptide epitope complexes available for binding to and activation of T cells.

[0237] MHC Class I targeting sequences can be used in the present invention, e.g., those sequences that target an MHC Class I epitope peptide to a cytosolic pathway or to the endoplasmic reticulum (see, e.g., Rammensee et al., Immunogenetics 41:178-228 (1995)). For example, the cytosolic pathway processes endogenous antigens that are expressed inside the cell. Although not wishing to be bound by any particular theory, cytosolic proteins are thought to be at least partially degraded by an endopeptidase activity of a proteasome and then transported to the endoplasmic reticulum by the TAP molecule (transporter associated with processing). In the endoplasmic reticulum, the antigen binds to MHC Class I molecules. Endoplasmic reticulum signal sequences bypass the cytosolic processing pathway and directly target endogenous antigens to the endoplasmic reticulum, where proteolytic degradation into peptide fragments occurs. Such MHC Class I targeting sequences are well known in the art, and include, e.g., signal sequences such as those from Ig kappa, tissue plasminogen activator or insulin. A preferred signal peptide is the human Ig kappa chain sequence. Endoplasmic reticulum signal sequences can also be used to target MHC Class II epitopes to the endoplasmic reticulum, the site of MHC Class I molecule MHC Class II targeting sequences can also be used in the invention, e.g., those that target a peptide to the endocytic pathway. These targeting sequences typically direct extracellular antigens to enter the endocytic pathway, which results in the antigen being transferred to the lysosomal compartment where the antigen is proteolytically cleaved into antigen peptides for binding to MHC Class II molecules. As with the normal processing of exogenous antigen, a sequence that directs a MHC Class II epitope to the endosomes of the endocytic pathway and/or subsequently to lysosomes, where the MHC Class II epitope can bind to a MHC Class II molecule, is a MHC Class II targeting sequence. For example, a group of MHC Class II targeting sequences useful in the invention are lysosomal targeting sequences, which localize polypeptides to lysosomes. Since MHC Class II molecules typically bind to antigen peptides derived from proteolytic processing of endocytosed antigens in lysosomes, a lysosomal targeting sequence can function as a MHC Class II targeting sequence. Lysosomal targeting sequences are well known in the art and include sequences found in the lysosomal proteins LAMP-1 and LAMP-2 as described by August et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,633,234, issued May 27, 1997), which is incorporated herein by reference.

[0238] Other lysosomal proteins that contain lysosomal targeting sequences include HLA-DM. HLA-DM is an endosomal/lysosomal protein that functions in facilitating binding of antigen peptides to MHC Class II molecules. Since it is located in the lysosome, HLA-DM has a lysosomal targeting sequence that can function as a MHC Class II molecule targeting sequence (Copier et al., J. Immunol. 157:1017-1027 (1996), which is incorporated herein by reference).

[0239] The resident lysosomal protein HLA-DO can also function as a lysosomal targeting sequence. In contrast to the resident lysosomal proteins LAMP-1 and HLA-DM, which encode specific Tyr-containing motifs that target proteins to lysosomes, HLA-DO is targeted to lysosomes by association

with HLA-DM (Liljedahl et al., EMBO J. 15:4817-4824 (1996)), which is incorporated herein by reference. Therefore, the sequences of HLA-DO that cause association with HLA-DM and, consequently, translocation of HLA-DO to lysosomes can be used as MHC Class II targeting sequences. Similarly, the murine homolog of HLA-DO, H2-DO, can be used to derive a MHC Class II targeting sequence. A MHC Class II epitope can be fused to HLA-DO or H2-DO and targeted to lysosomes.

In another example, the cytoplasmic domains of B cell receptor subunits Ig- α and Ig- β mediate antigen internalization and increase the efficiency of antigen presentation as described in, for example, Bonnerot *et al.*, *Immunity* 3:335-347 (1995). Therefore, the cytoplasmic domains of the Ig- α and Ig- β proteins can function as MHC Class II targeting sequences that target a MHC Class II epitope to the endocytic pathway for processing and binding to MHC Class II molecules.

MHC Class II epitopes to the endocytic pathway is a sequence that directs polypeptides to be secreted, where the polypeptide can enter the endosomal pathway. These MHC Class II targeting sequences that direct polypeptides to be secreted mimic the normal pathway by which exogenous, extracellular antigens are processed into peptides that bind to MHC Class II molecules. Any signal sequence that functions to direct a polypeptide through the endoplasmic reticulum and ultimately to be secreted can function as a MHC Class II targeting sequence so long as the secreted polypeptide can enter the endosomal/lysosomal pathway and be cleaved into peptides that can bind to MHC Class II molecules.

In another example, the Ii protein binds to MHC Class II molecules in the endoplasmic reticulum, where it functions to prevent peptides present in the endoplasmic reticulum from binding to the MHC Class II molecules. Therefore, fusion of a MHC Class II epitope to the Ii protein targets the MHC Class II epitope to the endoplasmic reticulum and a MHC Class II molecule. For example, the CLIP sequence of the Ii protein can be removed and replaced with a MHC Class II epitope sequence so that the MHC Class II epitope is directed to the endoplasmic reticulum, where the epitope binds to a MHC Class II molecule.

In some cases, antigens themselves can serve as MHC Class II or I targeting sequences and can be fused to a universal MHC Class II epitope to stimulate an immune response. Although cytoplasmic viral antigens are generally processed and presented as complexes with MHC Class I molecules, long-lived cytoplasmic proteins such as the influenza matrix protein can enter the MHC Class II molecule processing pathway as described in, for example, Guéguen & Long, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93:14692-14697 (1996). Therefore, long-lived cytoplasmic proteins can function as a MHC Class I and/or MHC Class II targeting sequence. For example, an expression vector encoding influenza matrix protein fused to a universal MHC Class II epitope can be advantageously used to target influenza antigen and the universal MHC Class II epitope to the MHC Class I and MHC Class II pathway for stimulating an immune response to influenza.

Other examples of antigens functioning as MHC Class II targeting sequences include polypeptides that spontaneously form particles. The polypeptides are secreted from the cell that produces them and spontaneously form particles, which are taken up into an antigen-presenting cell by endocytosis such as receptor-mediated endocytosis or are engulfed by phagocytosis. The particles are proteolytically cleaved into antigen peptides after entering the endosomal/lysosomal pathway.

Surface antigen (HBV-S) as described in, for example, Diminsky et al., Vaccine 15:637-647 (1997) or Le Borgne et al., Virology 240:304-315 (1998). Another polypeptide that spontaneously forms particles is HBV core antigen as described in, for example, Kuhröber et al., International Immunol. 9:1203-1212 (1997). Still another polypeptide that spontaneously forms particles is the yeast Ty protein as described in, for example, Weber et al., Vaccine 13:831-834 (1995). For example, an expression vector containing HBV-S antigen fused to a universal MHC Class II epitope can be advantageously used to target HBV-S antigen and the universal MHC Class II epitope to the MHC Class II pathway for stimulating an immune response to HBV.

Administration In Vivo

The invention also provides methods for stimulating an immune response by administering an expression vector of the invention to an individual. Administration of an expression vector of the invention for stimulating an immune response is advantageous because the expression vectors of the invention target MHC epitopes to MHC molecules, thus increasing the number of CTL and HTL activated by the antigens encoded by the expression vector.

Initially, the expression vectors of the invention are screened in mouse [0247] to determine the expression vectors having optimal activity in stimulating a desired immune response. Initial studies are therefore carried out, where possible, with mouse genes of the MHC targeting sequences. Methods of determining the activity of the expression vectors of the invention are well known in the art and include, for example, the uptake of ³H-thymidine to measure T cell activation and the release of 51Cr to measure CTL activity as Experiments similar to those described below in Examples II and III. described in Example IV are performed to determine the expression vectors having activity at stimulating an immune response. The expression vectors having activity are further tested in human. To circumvent potential adverse immunological responses to encoded mouse sequences, the expression vectors having activity are modified so that the MHC Class I or MHC Class II targeting sequences are derived from human genes. For example, substitution of the analogous regions of the human homologs of genes containing various MHC Class I or MHC Class II targeting sequences are substituted into the expression vectors of the invention. Expression vectors containing human MHC Class I or MHC Class II targeting sequences, such as those described in Example I below, are tested for activity at stimulating an immune response in human.

[0248] The invention also relates to pharmaceutical compositions comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier and an expression vector of the invention. Pharmaceutically acceptable carriers are well known in the art and include aqueous or non-aqueous solutions, suspensions and emulsions, including physiologically buffered saline, alcohol/aqueous solutions or other

solvents or vehicles such as glycols, glycerol, oils such as olive oil or injectable organic esters.

[0249] A pharmaceutically acceptable carrier can contain physiologically acceptable compounds that act, for example, to stabilize the expression vector or increase the absorption of the expression vector. Such physiologically acceptable compounds include, for example, carbohydrates, such as glucose, sucrose or dextrans, antioxidants such as ascorbic acid or glutathione, chelating agents, low molecular weight polypeptides, antimicrobial agents, inert gases or other stabilizers or excipients. Expression vectors can additionally be complexed with other components such as peptides, polypeptides and carbohydrates. Expression vectors can also be complexed to particles or beads that can be administered to an individual, for example, using a vaccine gun. One skilled in the art would know that the choice of a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, including a physiologically acceptable compound, depends, for example, on the route of administration of the expression vector.

[0250] The invention further relates to methods of administering a pharmaceutical composition comprising an expression vector of the invention to stimulate an immune response. The expression vectors are administered by methods well known in the art as described in, for example, Donnelly *et al.* (*Ann. Rev. Immunol.* 15:617-648 (1997)); Felgner *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,580,859, issued December 3, 1996); Felgner (U.S. Patent No. 5,703,055, issued December 30, 1997); and Carson *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,679,647, issued October 21, 1997). In one embodiment, the multi-epitope construct is administered as naked nucleic acid.

[0251] A pharmaceutical composition comprising an expression vector of the invention can be administered to stimulate an immune response in a subject by various routes including, for example, orally, intravaginally, rectally, or parenterally, such as intravenously, intramuscularly, subcutaneously, intraorbitally, intracapsularly, intraperitoneally, intracisternally or by passive or facilitated absorption through the skin using, for example, a skin patch or transdermal iontophoresis, respectively. Furthermore, the composition can be administered by injection, intubation or topically, the latter of which can be passive, for example, by direct application of an ointment or powder, or active,

for example, using a nasal spray or inhalant. An expression vector also can be administered as a topical spray, in which case one component of the composition is an appropriate propellant. The pharmaceutical composition also can be incorporated, if desired, into liposomes, microspheres or other polymer matrices as described in, for example, Felgner *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 5,703,055; Gregoriadis, *Liposome Technology*, Vols. I to III (2nd ed. 1993). Liposomes, for example, which consist of phospholipids or other lipids, are nontoxic, physiologically acceptable and metabolizable carriers that are relatively simple to make and administer.

- [0252] The expression vectors of the invention can be delivered to the interstitial spaces of tissues of an animal body as described in, for example, Felgner et al., U.S. Patent Nos. 5,580,859 and 5,703,055. Administration of expression vectors of the invention to muscle is a particularly effective method of administration, including intradermal and subcutaneous injections and transdermal administration. Transdermal administration, such as by iontophoresis, is also an effective method to deliver expression vectors of the invention to muscle. Epidermal administration of expression vectors of the invention can also be employed. Epidermal administration involves mechanically or chemically irritating the outermost layer of epidermis to stimulate an immune response to the irritant (Carson et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,679,647).
- [0253] Other effective methods of administering an expression vector of the invention to stimulate an immune response include mucosal administration as described in, for example, Carson et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,679,647. For mucosal administration, the most effective method of administration includes intranasal administration of an appropriate aerosol containing the expression vector and a pharmaceutical composition. Suppositories and topical preparations are also effective for delivery of expression vectors to mucosal tissues of genital, vaginal and ocular sites. Additionally, expression vectors can be complexed to particles and administered by a vaccine gun.
- [0254] The dosage to be administered is dependent on the method of administration and will generally be between about 0.1 μg up to about 200 μg. For example, the dosage can be from about 0.05 μg/kg to about 50 mg/kg, in particular about 0.005-5 mg/kg. An effective dose can be determined, for

example, by measuring the immune response after administration of an expression vector. For example, the production of antibodies specific for the MHC Class II epitopes or MHC Class I epitopes encoded by the expression vector can be measured by methods well known in the art, including ELISA or other immunological assays. In addition, the activation of T helper cells or a CTL response can be measured by methods well known in the art including, for example, the uptake of ³H-thymidine to measure T cell activation and the release of ⁵¹Cr to measure CTL activity (see Examples II and III below).

[0255] The pharmaceutical compositions comprising an expression vector of the invention can be administered to mammals, particularly humans, for prophylactic or therapeutic purposes. Examples of diseases that can be treated or prevented using the expression vectors of the invention include infection with HBV, HCV, HIV and CMV as well as prostate cancer, renal carcinoma, cervical carcinoma, lymphoma, condyloma acuminatum and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS).

[0256] In therapeutic applications, the expression vectors of the invention are administered to an individual already suffering from cancer, autoimmune disease or infected with a virus. Those in the incubation phase or acute phase of the disease can be treated with expression vectors of the invention, including those expressing all universal MHC Class II epitopes, separately or in conjunction with other treatments, as appropriate.

[0257] In therapeutic and prophylactic applications, pharmaceutical compositions comprising expression vectors of the invention are administered to a patient in an amount sufficient to elicit an effective immune response to an antigen and to ameliorate the signs or symptoms of a disease. The amount of expression vector to administer that is sufficient to ameliorate the signs or symptoms of a disease is termed a therapeutically effective dose. The amount of expression vector sufficient to achieve a therapeutically effective dose will depend on the pharmaceutical composition comprising an expression vector of the invention, the manner of administration, the state and severity of the disease being treated, the weight and general state of health of the patient and the judgment of the prescribing physician.

EXAMPLES

[0258] The following examples are offered to illustrate, but not to limit the claimed invention. It is understood that the examples and embodiments described herein are for illustrative purposes only and that various modifications or changes in light thereof are suggested to persons skilled in the art and are to be included within the spirit and purview of this application and scope of the appended claims.

[0259] Examples 1-9 provide examples of assays for evaluating the immunogenicity and antigenicity of multi-epitope constructs.

EXAMPLE 1

Antigenicity Assays

High-affinity peptide-specific CTL lines can be generated from splenocytes of transgenic mice that have been primed with DNA, peptide/IFA, or lipopeptide. Briefly, splenocytes from transgenic mice are stimulated 0.1 μg/ml peptide and LPS blasts. Ten days after the initial stimulation, and weekly thereafter, cells are restimulated with LPS blasts pulsed for 1 hour with 0.1 μg/ml peptide. CTL lines are assayed 5 days following restimulation in an *in situ* IFNγ ELISA as described above. Alternatively, CTL lines that are derived from, *e.g.*, patients infected with the targeted pathogen or who have the targeted disease, *e.g.*, cancer, can be used. Specific CTL lines that are not available either from transgenic mice or from patients are generated from PBMC of normal donors, drawing on the expertise in the art.

transfected with A2.1/K^b, A11/K^b, A1/K^b, or B7/K^b for CTL lines derived from transgenic mice. All these cell lines are currently available to us (Epimmune Inc., San Diego, CA). In the case of human CTL lines, .221 cells transfected with the appropriate human HLA allele are utilized. We currently have .221 cells transfected with A2 and A1, and are generating A11, A24 and B7 transfectants. In an alternative embodiment, if unforeseen problems arise in respect to target cells, LPS blasts and EBV-transformed lines are utilized for murine and human CTL lines, respectively.

- [0262] To assay for antigenicity, serially diluted CTLs are incubated with 10⁵ target cells and multiple peptide concentrations ranging from 1 to 10⁻⁶ μg/ml. In addition, CTLs are also incubated with target cells transfected with an episomal vector containing a multi-epitope construct of interest. Episomal vectors are known in the art.
- [0263] The relative amount of peptide generated by natural processing within the multi-epitope nucleic acid-transfected APCs is quantitated as follows. The amount of IFN γ generated by the CTL lines upon recognition of the transfected target cells are recorded. The amount of synthetic peptide necessary to yield the same amount of IFN γ are interpolated from a standard curve generated when the same CTL line is incubated in parallel with known concentrations of peptide.

EXAMPLE 2

Mice, Immunizations and Cell Cultures

- [0264] The derivation of the HLA-A2.1/K^b (Vitiello et al., *J Exp Med*, Vol. 173(4):1007-15 (1991)) and A11/K^b (Alexander et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 159(10):4753-61 (1997)) transgenic mice used in this study has been described. HLA B7 K^b transgenic mice are available in house (Epimmune Inc., San Diego, CA). HLA DR2, DR3 and DR4 transgenic mice are obtained from C. David (Mayo Clinic). Non-transgenic H-2^b mice are purchased from Charles River Laboratories or other commercial vendors. Immunizations are performed as described in (Ishioka et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 162(7):3915-25 (1999)). All cells are grown in culture medium consisting of RPMI 1640 medium with HEPES (Gibco Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% FBS, 4 mM L-glutamine, 50 μM 2-ME, 0.5 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 μg/ml streptomycin and 100 U/ml penicillin.
- [0265] HLA transgenic mice and antigenicity assays are used for the purpose of testing and optimization CTL responses. The natural crossreactivity between HLA-DR and IA^b can also be exploited to test HTL responses. This evaluation provides an assessment of the antigenicity and immunogenicity of multi-epitope constructs.

EXAMPLE 3

Proliferation Assays

[0266] To assess the ability of HTL epitopes to induce an immune response, assays such as proliferation assays are often performed. For example, mouse CD4 T lymphocytes are immunomagnetically isolated from splenic single cell suspensions using DynaBeads Mouse CD4 (L3T4) (Dynal). Briefly, 2 x 10⁷ spleen cells are incubated with 5.6 x 10⁷ magnetic beads for 40 minutes at 4° C, and then washed 3 times. Magnetic beads are detached using DetachaBead Mouse CD4 (Dynal). Isolated CD4 T lymphocytes (2 x 10⁵ cells/well) are cultured with 5 x 10⁵ irradiated (3500 rad) syngeneic spleen cells in triplicate in flat-bottom 96-well microtiter plates. Purified peptides are added to wells at a final concentration of 20, 1, 0.05 and 0µ g/ml and cells are cultured for a total of 4 days. Approximately 14 hour before harvesting, 1 µCi of ³Hthymidine (ICN) is added to each well. The wells are harvested onto Unifilter GF/B plates (Packard) using the Filtermate Harvester (Packard). Thymidine incorporation is determined by liquid scintillation counting using the TopCountTM microplate scintillation counter (Packard).

EXAMPLE 4

⁵¹Chromium Release Assay

This assay to measure CTL activity is well known in the art. The assay quantifies the lytic activity of the T cell population by measuring the percent ⁵¹Cr released from a ⁵¹Cr-labeled target population (Brunner et al., *Immunology*, Vol. 14(2):181-96 (1968)). Data derived from the chromium release assay is usually expressed either as a CTL frequency/10⁶ cell (limiting dilution analysis, LDA; (*Current Protocols in Immunology*, Vol 1, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., USA 1991 Chapter 3; *Manual of Clinical Laboratory Immunology*, Fifth edition, ASM Press, 1997 Section R), or by a less cumbersome quantitative assessment of bulk CTL activity (lytic Units; LU assay). In a LU assay, the standard E:T ratio versus percent cytotoxicity data curves generated in a ⁵¹Cr-release assay are converted into lytic units (LU) per

10⁶ effector cells, with 1 LU defined as the lytic activity required to achieve 30% lysis of target cells (Wunderlick, J., Shearer, G., and Livingston, A. In: J. Coligan, A. Kruisbeek, D. Margulies, E. Shevach, and W. Strober (Eds.), *Current Protocols in Immunology*, Vol 1, "Assays for T cell function: induction and measurement of cytotoxic T lymphocyte activity." John Wiley & Sons, Inc., USA, p. 3.11.18). The LU calculation allows quantifying responses and thus readily comparing different experimental values.

EXAMPLE 5

In situ IFNy ELISA

[0268] An *in situ* IFNγ ELISA assay has been developed and optimized for both freshly isolated and peptide-restimulated splenocytes (*see*, *e.g.*, McKinney *et al.*, *J. Immunol. Meth.* 237 (1-2):105-117 (2000)). This assay is based on the ELISPOT assay, but utilizes a soluble chromagen, making it readily adaptable to high-throughput analysis. In both the primary and restimulation assays, this technique is more sensitive than either a traditional supernatant ELISA or the ⁵¹Cr-release assay, in that responses are observed in the *in situ* ELISA that are not detectable in these other assays. On a per cell basis, the sensitivity of the *in situ* ELISA is approximately one IFNγ secreting cell/10⁴ plated cells.

[0269] 96-well ELISA plates are coated with anti-IFNγ (rat anti-mouse IFNα MAb, Clone R4-6A2, Pharmingen) overnight at 4°C, and then blocked for 2 hours at room temperature with 10% FBS in PBS. Serially diluted primary splenocytes or CTLs are cultured for 20 hours with peptide and 10⁵ Jurkat A2.1/K^b cells/well at 37°C with 5% CO₂. The following day, the cells are washed out and the amount of IFNγ that had been secreted into the wells is detected in a sandwich ELISA, using biotinylated α-IFNγ (rat anti-mouse IFNγ mAb, Clone XMG1.2, Pharmingen) to detect the secreted IFNγ. HRP-coupled strepavidin (Zymed) and TMB (ImmunoPure® TMB Substrate Kit, Pierce) are used according to the manufacturer's directions for color development. The absorbance is read at 450 nm on a Labsystems Multiskan

RC ELISA plate reader. In situ IFNy ELISA data is evaluated in secretory units (SU), based on the number of cells that secrete 100 pg of IFNy in response to a particular peptide, corrected for the background amount of IFN in the absence of peptide.

EXAMPLE 6

ELISPOT Assay

- The ELISPOT assay quantifies the frequency of T cells specific for a given peptide by measuring the capacity of individual cells to be induced to produce and release specific lymphokines, usually IFNγ. The increased sensitivity of the ELISPOT assay has allowed investigators to detect responses from cells freshly isolated from infected humans or experimental animals (Murali-Krishna et al., *Immunity*, Vol. 8(2):177-87 (1998); Ogg et al., *Science*, Vol. 279(5359):2103-6 (1998)). The ELISPOT assays are conducted as described above for the IFNγ ELISA until the final steps, where ExtrAvidin-AP (Sigma, 1:500 dilution) is used in place HRP-strepavidin. Color is developed using the substrate 5-BCIP (BioRad) according to the manufacturer's directions. Spots are counted using a phase contrast microscope. Alternatively, spots are counted utilizing the Zeiss KS ELISPOT reader. In this case the BCIP/NBT (Zymed) substrate is used.
- [0271] The ELISPOT assay is routinely utilized to quantitate immune responses. The spots can be manually counted, however, in a preferred mode, a KS ELISPOT reader from Zeiss, a microscope-based system with software specifically designed to recognize and count spots is used.

EXAMPLE 7

Tetramer Staining

[0272] Tetramer staining is a flow cytometric technique that detects epitopespecific human CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes based on the interaction between the peptide epitope, class I antigen and the T-cell receptor specific for the epitope. This assay allows for the rapid quantitation of epitope specific human CD8⁺ T- lymphocytes in freshly isolated blood samples. MHC tetramers for various HIV peptide/HLA combinations can be obtained from, e.g., the NIH repository (Tetramer Core Facility: http://www.miaid.nih.gov/reposit/tetramer/index.html). To label epitope-specific cells, 1 x10⁶ PBMC in a 100 µl volume are incubated in the dark for 40 minutes with 5 µg/ml of the appropriate tetramer plus monoclonal antibodies that recognize human CD3 and CD8 (available in different fluorochrome-conjugated forms from commercial sources including PharMingen, San Diego, CA or BioSource, Camarillo, CA). The cells are washed and paraformaldehyde fixed prior to analysis using a FACSan or FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, CA). Sample data are analyzed using CellQuest software.

EXAMPLE 8

Assays from Clinical Samples

- [0273] Various assays to evaluate the specific CD8⁺ CTL activity in frozen PBMC samples from patients or volunteers can be used. ELISPOT, chromium release, *in situ* IFNγ release, proliferation and tetramer assays are all useful to evaluate responses from various experimental models, *e.g.*, those of murine and/or primate origin.
- [0274] Experimental methods for the murine version of these assays are described above, and these are adapted to human systems as described (Livingston et al, *J Immunol*, Vol. 159(3):1383-92 (1997); Heathcote et al., *Hepatology*, Vol. 30(2):531-6 (1999); Livingston et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 162(5):3088-95 (1999)) and can be further adapted a recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art. Calculations on the amounts of frozen PBMC samples necessary to complete the assays are also described greater detail in Example 14.

EXAMPLE 9

Transgenic Animals

In addition, spleens from non-immunized mice and females of 8-12 weeks of age are used.

EXAMPLE 10

Demonstration of Simultaneous Induction of Responses Against Multiple CTL and HTL Epitopes

Construction and testing of CTL epitope strings:

- [0276] This example provides an example of testing mutliple CTL and HTL epitopes. For example, epitope strings encompassing 10-12 different CTL epitopes under the control of a single promoter are synthesized and incorporated in a standard plasmid, pcDNA 3.1 (Invitrogen, San Diego). These constructs include a standard signal sequence and a universal HTL epitope, PADRE® epitope. Each set of epitopes is chosen to allow balanced population coverage. To facilitate testing and optimization, a balanced representation of epitopes that have been shown to be immunogenic in transgenic mice, and/or antigenic in humans are included.
- [0277] The specific order of these CTL epitopes is chosen to minimize Class I junctional motifs by the use of the computer program, as described herein. If, despite best efforts regarding order optimization, potential junctional epitopes are still present in a construct in accordance with the invention, corresponding peptides are synthesized to monitor for CTL responses against such epitopes

١.

in HLA transgenic mice. Generally, minimization of junctional motifs is successful and adequate. However, if responses against any junctional epitopes are detected, these junctional epitopes are disrupted by the use of short one to two residue spacers, such as K, AK, KA, KK, or A, compatible with expected proteolytic cleavage preferences discussed in the previous sections.

[0278] Since the ultimate use of optimized constructs is a human vaccine, optimized human codons are utilized. Similarly, if such constructs were to be expressed in bacteria or S19 cells, the codon utilization could be modified to provide expression in these systems. However, to facilitate the optimization process in HLA transgenic mice, care is applied to select, whenever possible, human codons that are also optimal for mice. Human and murine codon usage is very similar. See, for example, Tables 21 and 22.

[0279] Human cells transfected with the various multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccine constructs can be used in antigenicity assays, conducted in parallel with *in vivo* testing in HLA transgenic mice. Any potential discrepancy between multi-epitope vaccine efficacy, due to the differential codon usage, is addressed by the availability of these two different assay systems.

[0280] Typically, antigenicity and immunogenicity testing of plasmid constructs is conducted in parallel. In vivo testing in transgenic mice are performed for A2, A11, and B7 HLA transgenic mice. Following a protocol well established in our laboratory, cardiotoxin pretreated mice are injected i.m. with 100 µg of each plasmid and responses evaluated eleven days later (Ishioka et al., J Immunol, Vol. 162(7):3915-25 (1999)). Assays will include ELISPOT from freshly isolated cells, as well as interferon gamma release and cytotoxicity chromium release assays from restimulated cell cultures. All of the above mentioned techniques are well established in the art. simultaneous measurement of responses against epitopes is not problematic, as large colonies of transgenic mice are already established "in house" for these HLA types. Groups of four to six mice are adequate to measure responses against six to ten different epitopes, in multiple readout assays. Testing of HLA A2-restricted, HIV-derived epitopes in HLA A2 transgenic mice is typically employed. However, should problems be encountered, antigenicity

testing using human APC can be used as an alternative strategy, or, can be used to complement the transgenic mice studies.

in transgenic animals and antigenicity, as noted in the studies reported herein, antigenicity testing is utilized to evaluate responses against epitopes such as Pol 498, Env 134, Nef 221, Gag 271, for which high affinity CTL lines are already available in house. For the purpose of generating additional suitable CTL lines, direct immunization of HLA transgenic mice with peptides emulsified in adjuvant, or lipopeptides are utilized, as described herein, and routinely applied in our laboratory, to generate lines for use in antigenicity assays.

[0282] Antigenicity assays are also used, as a primary readout for epitopes for which *in vivo* optimization experiments are not feasible. These epitopes include A24 and possibly A1 restricted epitopes, as well as any epitope which is non-immunogenic in HLA transgenic mice. In any such cases, we use human CTL lines, generated from pathogen-exposed individuals. Alternatively, we generate CTL lines for *in vitro* CTL induction, using GMCSF/IL4-induced dendritic cells and peripheral blood lymphocytes (Celis et al., *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, Vol. 91(6):2105-9 (1994)).

[0283] Episomal vectors encoding the multi-epitope constructs are generated and transfected into appropriate human cell lines to generate target cells. For example, the human T cell line Jurkat can be used, but lymphoblastoid cell lines have also been successfully utilized. For experiments utilizing CTL lines of human origin, well-characterized HLA-matched, homozygous, EBV cell lines are commonly used as a source of purified-MHC and as target cells and are used as recipients of the multi-epitope nucleic acid transfections. For experiments utilizing CTL lines derived from HLA transgenic mice, a collection of Class I negative, EBV-transformed, mutant cell lines .221 (Shimizu Y, DeMars R., J Immunol, Vol. 142(9):3320-8 (1989)) transfected with matching HLA/K^b chimeric constructs are used as the recipient of the multi-epitope nucleic acid transfections. Such cells effectively present peptide antigens to CTL lines (Celis et al., Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, Vol. 91(6):2105-9 (1994)).

Construction and testing of HTL epitope strings

[0284] Epitope strings encompassing 3-20 different HTL epitopes under the control of a single promoter are synthesized and incorporated into a standard plasmid, pcDNA 3.1 (Invitrogen, San Diego). To facilitate testing and optimization, each set of epitopes for a given construct is chosen to provide a balanced representation of epitopes which are already known to be immunogenic in IA^b mice. In addition, all the peptides corresponding to junctions are synthesized and tested for binding to IA^b and, most importantly, for binding to a panel of fourteen different DR molecules, representative of the most common DR alleles worldwide (Southwood et al., J Immunol, Vol. 160(7):3363-73 (1998)). Thus, HTL epitopes that are not directed to an antigen of interest are not created within these plasmids. However, should junctional regions with good DR binding potential (and hence, potential DR restricted immunogenicity in vivo) be detected, a spacer such as GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) is introduced to eliminate them. In all constructs, the number of Class I junctional motifs will also be minimized, as described herein.

[0285] Experimental vaccine plasmids are tested for immunogenicity using HLA DR transgenic mice and/or mice of the H2b haplotype. Proliferation and/or cytokine production are measured (IL5, IFNγ). In a typical protocol, cardiotoxin treated mice are injected i.m. with 100 μg of each plasmid, and immune responses evaluated eleven days later (Ishioka et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 162(7):3915-25 (1999)).

Testing for interactions between CTL and HTL epitopes

[0286] The activities described above yield small, functional blocks of epitopes, which are utilized to demonstrate simultaneous responses / antigenicity against all epitopes analyzable. These blocks are the subject to further optimization, as described in the next example. Using these same constructs, immunodominance is assessed. Specifically, all the CTL epitope constructs are mixed together, or all the HTL epitope constructs are mixed together. The results obtained with the pool of constructs are then compared with the results obtained with the same construct, injected separately.

These constructs are also used to determine the effects of HTL epitopes on responses to CTL epitopes. Specifically, HTL and CTL containing plasmids are pooled and injected in mice, and CTL and HTL responses to selected epitopes are measured as described herein. Often, it is determined whether the presence, e.g., of HTL epitopes derived from the target antigen enhances CTL responses beyond the level of response attained using a plasmid-containing a pan DR binding epitope, e.g., PADRE® peptide or a PADRE® family molecule, in the CTL epitope constructs. Typically, it is also determined whether PADRE® peptide inhibits or augments responses to target antigen-derived HTL epitopes or conversely, if HTL epitopes derived from the antigen of interest inhibit or augment responses to PADRE® peptide.

[0288] Responses to a large number of epitopes are attainable using this methodology. It is possible that the pooling of constructs may inhibit responses against some of the weaker epitopes. In this case, the pooling experiments are repeated after optimization.

EXAMPLE 11

Optimization of CTL and HTL Multi-epitope Constructs

[0289] This example describes the optimization the CTL and HTL constructs described in Example 10. The potential influence of flanking residues on antigenicity and immunogenicity is also assessed in optimizing minigen constructs. These studies involve the inclusion of flanking residues, a synonym for which is "spacers," which have been designed to facilitate effective processing.

[0290] Such an analysis can be performed as follows. First, the results of testing of the CTL multi-epitope constructs described in Example 10 are analyzed for trends and correlations between activity and the presence of specific residues at the 3 residues flanking the epitope's N- and C-termini. Epitopes for which suboptimal CTL priming is noted, that are suboptimal with respect to magnitude of response, are the targets for flanking region optimization. For each of the CTL multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccines, encoding 10-12 different CTL epitopes, 'second generation' multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccines, with optimized configuration, are produced.

[0291] In one embodiment, the first optimization design is to introduce either an Alanine (A) or Lysine (K) residue at position C+1 for all epitopes associated with suboptimal performance. A second optimization design is to introduce in the C+1 position, the residue naturally occurring in the target antigen, e.g., HIV, that are associated with antigenicity.

[0292] For selected epitopes, additional modifications are also introduced. Specifically, the effect of introducing other residue spacers at the epitope C-and N- termini are also investigated. Depending on the results of the analysis of the multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccines described in Example 10, residues investigated may further include, for example, G, Q, W, S and T. If junctional epitopes are created by these modifications, then alternative epitope orders are rationally designed as described herein on order to eliminate the junctional epitopes. All second-generation constructs are tested for antigenicity and immunogenicity, as described herein.

[0293] As a result of these modifications, variations in activity that correspond to specific modifications of the multi-epitope constructs are identified. Certain modifications are found that have general, beneficial effects. To confirm this, generation and testing of additional multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccines in which all epitopes (also the ones which displayed acceptable antigenicity and immunogenicity) are subject to the same modification are conducted. In some instances, increased activity is noted for some epitopes but not others, or less desirably that certain modifications increase the activity of some, but decrease the activity of other epitopes. In such cases, additional multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccines are designed and tested, to retain the beneficial modifications, while excluding those alterations that proved to be detrimental or have no effect.

[0294] These multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccines are designated so that: a) a minimum of predicted junctional epitopes are present; and b) the epitopes which were not functional in the previous multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccines are now in a more efficacious context.

[0295] For HTL multi-epitope constructs, the data obtained from the "first generation" constructs are inspected for trends, in terms of junctional epitopes, and epitope position within the constructs, and proximity to spacers, e.g. GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) spacers. If specific trends are detected, second

generation constructs are designed based on these trends. Alternatively, in case of multi-epitope constructs yielding suboptimal activity, the potential effectiveness of other targeting strategies, such as the ones based on Ii and LAMP are reevaluated, and compared to no targeting and simple, leader sequence targeting.

[0296] When large variations in activity of either the CTL or HTL multi-epitope constructs described in this section are detected, the results are consistent with influences such as conformational or "long-range" effects impacting construct activity. These variables can be analyzed by means of current molecular and cellular biology techniques. For example, cell lines transfected with the various multi-epitope constructs could be analyzed for mRNA expression levels, and stability by Northern analysis or primer extension assays (*Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, Vol 3, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. USA 1999).

[0297] In all multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccines, an antibody tag such as MYC/his can also be included. This tag allows for testing of protein expression levels. The inclusion of MYC/his tag (Manstein et al., *Gene*, Vol. 162(1):129-34 (1995)) also allows determination of the stability of the expressed products, by pulse-chase experiments. The results of these assays can then be compared with the results of the antigenicity and immunogenicity experiments. The results are inspected for the presence of trends and general rules, and correlation between the different variables examined.

EXAMPLE 12

Determination of the Simplest Plasmid Configuration Capable of Effective Delivery of Selected Epitopes

[0298] The experiments described in Examples 11 and 12 are designed to address variables concerning multi-epitope nucleic acid vaccine design. Ideally, a vector that can be used in humans is used through the entire program, but one DNA vaccine plasmid for the vaccine epitope optimization studies can be used and then switched to a vector suitable for human use. Actual vector selection is dependent on several variables. For example, the

availability of vectors, suitable for human use, through a reliable source, such as the National Gene Vector Laboratory (University of Michigan) is a factor.

[0299] In this example, the optimized constructs are also ligated to form larger blocks of epitopes. All constructs are preferably designed to incorporate PADRE® peptides and leader sequence targeting in the case of CTL multiepitope constructs. Specifically, two pairs of the 10-12 CTL epitope constructs are ligated to generate two 20-24 CTL epitope constructs. In a situation where ligation of epitopes yields suboptimal (decreased) activity compared to the smaller constructs, alternative combinations and orders of ligation are investigated. The specific pair of 20-24 CTL epitope constructs yielding optimal activity are then ligated and the resulting construct encompassing all CTL epitopes evaluated for activity. Once again up to two alternative orientations are investigated. Because of the relatively large size of this construct, the specific effect of targeting sequences are confirmed, since it is possible that leader sequence targeting are more effective on small size constructs, while larger size constructs may be most effectively targeted by ubiquitin signals. Specifically, one construct without any specific targeting sequences is generated and compared to a construct that is targeted for degradation by the addition of a ubiquitin molecule.

[0300] A similar strategy is used for HTL. Two pairs of the 3-5 HTL epitope constructs are ligated to generate two 7-9 HTL epitope constructs. Once again, in a situation where ligation of these epitopes yields suboptimal (decreased) activity, alternative combinations and order of ligation are investigated. The specific pair of 7-9 CTL epitope constructs yielding optimal activity are ligated and the resulting construct, encompassing all HTL epitopes, is evaluated for activity. Once again, up to two alternative orientations are investigated.

[0301] Based on these results an optimized plasmid configuration capable of effective delivery of a panel, e.g., of HIV epitopes, is selected for clinical trial evaluation. Of course, epitopes from any antigen of interest (infectious or disease-associated) can be used alone or in combination. This configuration will entail one or more HTL epitope constructs and one or more CTL epitope constructs. A combination of one long CTL and one long HTL epitope construct capable of effectively delivering all encoded epitopes, is most

preferable, as it simplifies further clinical development of the vaccine. In case undesirable interactions between the two constructs are observed when coinjected, injection of the different plasmids in the same animals, but in different injection sites, or at different points in time can be examined. Alternatively, if a single CTL construct and HTL construct encoding all the desired epitopes is not identified, pools of constructs are considered for further development.

EXAMPLE 13

Evaluation and Characterization of CD8 + Lymphocyte Responses Induce Following Immunization With Multi-Epitope Vaccine

[0302] CD8+ lymphocyte responses were measured mostly relying on the ELISPOT technique. The ELISPOT assay is known in the art, and is regularly used in our laboratory. An automated Zeiss ELISPOT reader is also used as set forth herein. The assays utilized to measure CD8+ responses are primarily the IFNγ ELISPOT assay on freshly isolated cells as well as cells restimulated *in vitro* with peptide. In addition, in selected instances, chromium release assays are utilized. The results were correlated with the ones observed in the case of the ELISPOT assays. Tetramer staining on selected peptide/MHC combinations was also performed.

[0303] The clinical assay was developed and validated. The timing of this activity coincides with the period of time that follows selection of a clinical vaccine epigene construct, and precedes the availability of actual samples from individuals enrolled in the clinical trial. Assays for CTL evaluation can be established based on experience in the art, for example, experience in establishing assays for CTL evaluations in the Phase I and II trials of an experimental HBV vaccine (Livingston et al, *J Immunol*, Vol. 159(3):1383-92 (1997); Heathcote et al., *Hepatology*, Vol. 30(2):531-6 (1999); Livingston et al., *J Immunol*, Vol. 162(5):3088-95 (1999)). Specifically, Ficoll-purified PBMC derived from normal subjects, as well from, *e.g.*, unvaccinated volunteers can be used. As noted previously, other antigenic target(s) can be used in accordance with the invention.

EXAMPLE 14

Design of Optimized Multi-Epitope DNA-based Vaccine Constructs

[0304] Optimized constructs were designed with the aid of the computerassisted methods described above which simultaneously minimize the formation of junctional epitopes and optimize C+1 processing efficiency. The following motifs were utilized for junctional minimization: murine K^b (XXXX(F or Y)X₂₋₃(L, I, M or V)); D^b (XXXXNX₂₋₃L, I, M or V)); human A2 (X(L or M) $X_{6-7}V$); human A3/A11 (X(L, I, M or V) $X_{6-7}(K, R \text{ or Y})$); and human B7 (XPX₆₋₇(L, I, M, V or F)). The C+1 propensity values were calculated from the data presented in Figure 6 and are as follows: K = 2.2; N =2; G = 1.8; T = 1.5; A,F,S = 1.33; W,Q = 1.2; R = 1.7; M,Y = 1; I = 0.86; L = 1.80.76; V,D,H,E,P = 0. Insertion of up to four amino acids was permitted. Examples of constructs designed by this procedure and other procedures set forth herein are depicted in Figure 18. A number of these constructs were characterized in vitro and in vivo immunogenicity studies, which are set forth hereafter. Figure 19 lists amino acid epitope sequences encoded by certain nucleic acid sequences in the multi-epitope constructs.

EXAMPLE 15

Immunogenicity Testing of Multi-epitope CTL Constructs and Influence of Flanking Amino Acids

[0305] HLA transgenic mice were used for immunogenicity testing of different multi-epitope constructs. One group of mice were pretreated by injecting 50 μl of 10 μM cardiotoxin bilaterally into the tibialis anterior muscle, and then four or five days later, 100 μg of a DNA construct diluted in PBS was administered to the same muscle. In another group, each mouse was injected with a peptide emulsified in CFA, wherein the peptide corresponds to an epitope within the DNA construct administered to mice in the DNA injection group. Eleven to fourteen days after immunization, splenocytes from DNA vaccinated animals and peptide vaccinated animals were recovered and CTL activity was measured in one of several assays, including a standard ⁵¹Cr-release assay, an ELISPOT assay that measured γ-IFN production by purified

CD8+ T-lymphocytes without peptide epitope-specific restimulation, and an *in situ* ELISA, which included an *in vitro* epitope-specific restimulation step with a peptide epitope. Examples of CTL activity induced by the EP-HIV-1090 construct upon stimulation with peptide epitopes are shown in Figure 14A, and CTL activity induced by the PfCTL.1, PfCTL.2, and PFCTL.3 constructs upon stimulation with peptide epitopes are shown in Figure 14B.

[0306] The effect of different amino acids in the C+1 flanking position was directly evaluated by inserting different amino acids at the C+1 position relative to the Core 18 epitope in the HBV.1 construct. The immunogenicity data clearly demonstrate reduced immunogenicity of the Core 18 epitope when it was flanked at the C+1 position by W, Y, or L (Figure 6b). In contrast, insertion of a single K residue dramatically increased the CTL response to Core 18. Enhancement of CTL responses was also observed using R, C, N, or G at the C+1 position. These data clearly demonstrate that C+1 processing optimization can improve multi-epitope construct design.

EXAMPLE 16

Immunogenicity Testing of Multi-epitope HTL Constructs and Influence of Spacer Sequences

[0307] A universal spacer consisting of GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) was developed to separate HTL epitopes, thus disrupting junctional epitopes. The logic behind the design of this spacer is that neither G nor P are used as primary anchors, positions 1 and 6 in the core region of an HTL peptide epitope, by any known murine or human MHC Class I or MHC Class II molecule. The gap of five amino acids introduced by this spacer separates adjacent epitopes so the amino acids of two epitopes cannot physically serve as anchors in the 1 and 6 positions. The utility of the GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) spacer was tested using synthetic peptides composed of four HIV-1 epitopes, one having three spacers and the other lacking spacers, known to bind mouse IA^b. HIV 75mer was the construct having three GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) spacers and HIV 60mer was the construct lacking the three spacers. Immunization of CB6F1 mice with the peptide in CFA induced HTL responses against 3 of 4 of the epitopes in the absence of the spacer but all

epitopes were immunogenic when the spacer was present (Figure 15). This evidence demonstrates that spacers can improve the performance of multi-epitope constructs.

[0308] The ability of multi-epitope HTL DNA-based constructs to induce an HTL response *in vivo* was evaluated by intramuscular immunization of H2^{bxd} mice with an EP-HIV-1043-PADRE® construct. The EP-HIV-1043-PADRE® construct is set forth in Figure 18, and the difference between the EP-HIV-1043-PADRE® construct and EP-HIV-1043 is that the former includes a C-terminal GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) spacer followed by the PADRE® sequence AKXVAAWTLKAAA (SEQ ID NO:1). Eleven days after immunization, no booster immunizations were administered, CD4 T cells were purified from the spleen, and peptide specific HTL responses were measured in a primary γ-IFN ELISPOT assay. Examples of HTL activity induced by constructs encoding HIV epitopes are shown in Figure 16. Overall, the HTL responses induced by DNA immunization with the multi-epitope HIV HTL construct were generally of equal or greater magnitude than the responses induced by peptide immunization.

EXAMPLE 17

Development of an Epitope-Based HBV Immunotherapeutic Vaccine

1. Introduction

Natural correlates of viral clearance

[0309] The cellular immune response associated with the natural clearance of acute HBV infection is broad and multi-specific. This response includes both CTL and HTL directed against epitopes from multiple viral gene products (Chisari, F.V. and Ferrari, C. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 13:29-60 (1995)). Chronic HBV infection is rarely resolved by the immune system, but when this happens, viral clearance is associated with increases in CTL activity, ALT flares and reductions in viral load (Guidotti, L.G. and Chisari, F.V., Annu. Rev. Immunol. 19:65-91 (2001)). Viral clearance can also be induced in a significant fraction (10-15%) of individuals receiving IFN-α treatment and,

similar to spontaneous clearance, the effect is correlated with increased cellular immune responses.

[0310]The magnitude of cellular immune responses associated with control of HBV infection was investigated in several studies. For comparative purposes, the following values (mean and range) represent the number of antigenspecific cells per million CD8+ cells. Lohr and coworkers utilized ELISPOT assays to quantitate HBV-specific responses detected in peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL) during the acute phase of infection (Lohr, H. F. et al., Liver 18:405-413 (1998)). They reported a range of 400-2800 Spot Forming Cells (SFC) (mean 1400) responding to HBV core 18-27. Maini et al. used tetramer staining, which is reported to be approximately four-fold more sensitive than ELISPOT assays (Tan, L. C. et al., J. Immunol. 162:1827-1835 (1999)), and determined a range of 80-14,000 tetramer-positive cells for the core 18-27 epitope, with a mean of 4,000 (Maini, M. K. et al., Gastroenterology 117:1386-1396 (1999)). Taking into account the differential sensitivity of the assays, this translates to an estimated range of 20 to 3500 ELISPOT-positive cells, with a mean of a 1000 specific cells.

[0311] Using the same assay, Webster et al., reported 7000 tetramer-positive cells for the core 18-27 epitope (1750 ELISPOT-positive cells), 200 cells for the env 335 (50 ELISPOT-positive cells) and 1200 for the pol 562 epitope (300 ELISPOT-positive cells) (Webster, G. J. et al., Hepatology. 32:1117-1124 (2000)). In the case of two other epitopes analyzed, a mean of 200 tetramer-positive cells (80-6000 range) for env 335, and a mean of 220 cells for the pol 562 epitope (80-3200 range) were observed (Maini, M. K. et al., Gastroenterology 117:1386-1396 (1999)). Rough estimates of these responses in terms of ELISPOT cells are a mean of 50 SFC for env 335 (20-1500 range) and a mean of 55 SFC for pol 562 (20-800 range). These data are comparable to data obtained utilizing the LDA assay, which is approximately 40-50- fold less sensitive than the ELISPOT assay (Murali-Krishna, K. et al., Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 452:123-142 (1998)). For example, Rehermann and colleagues estimated 15 cells were specific for env 335, and 18 cells were specific for pol 445 (Rehermann, B. et al., J. Clin. Invest. 97:1655-1665 (1996)). Assuming a 45-fold differential sensitivity of the assays, these values correspond to 675 and 810 epitope-specific ELISPOT positive cells, respectively. Additional data comes from Lohr *et al.* who used ELISPOT assays to quantitate HBV-specific responses in patients that responded to IFN-α treatment that resulted in viral clearance (Lohr H.F. *et al.*, *Liver 18*:4-5-413 (1998)). In this study, a mean of 600 SFC (range 200-1300) specific for HBV core 18-27 was reported.

- [0312] In summary, CTL specific for various HBV epitopes are detected in PBL during clearance of the HBV virus. The frequency of functional cells detected by ELISPOT ranged from 20-400 cells/million CD8+ cells (low) to 820-3500 SFC/million CD8+ cells (high), with an average response between 50-1000 SFC/million CD8+ cells.
- [0313] The importance of HBV-specific CTL was demonstrated directly using HBV-transgenic mice. Specifically, adoptive transfer of cloned CTL specific for different viral antigens, including the env, core and pol antigens, and restricted by murine MHC molecules, led to the elimination of the expression of viral antigens (Tsui, L.V. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 92:12398-12402 (1995); Guidotti, L.G. et al., Immunity. 4:25-36 (1996)). These data clearly document the importance of CTL responses to the control of HBV infection
- lower than for CTL. Utilizing whole antigens and ELISPOT assays, Lohr et al. observed overall frequencies of 47 ± 5.2 SFC per million CD4+ cells in patients responding to IFN-α treatment and 42 ± 12 SFC per million CD4+ cells during acute infection. (Lohr, H. F. et al., Liver 18:405-413 (1998)) Webster et al. reported that 2,900 tetramer-positive cells per million CD4+ cells were detected against core antigen in a patient 10 weeks post-infection (Webster, G. J. et al., Hepatology. 32:1117-1124 (2000)).
- [0315] In conclusion, these data provide a means of establishing a level of immunogenicity for therapeutic HBV vaccines designed to induce CTL responses.

B. Immune tolerance is associated with chronic HBV infection

[0316] HBV epitope-specific immune tolerance is associated with chronic HBV infection (Chisari, F.V. and Ferrari, C. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 13:29-60 (1995); Alexander, J. et al., Immunol. Res. 18:79-92 (1998); Milich, D.R.,

Can. J. Gastroenterol. 14:781-787 (2000); Hilleman, M.R. et al., Vaccine. 19:1837-1848 (2001); Jung, M.C. et al., Lancet Infect. Dis. 2:43-50 (2002)). In the infected individual, high levels of viremia are believed to be responsible for this immune tolerant status. Although this effect can be so pronounced that it leads to a generalized Th1/Th2 imbalance and general peripheral tolerance, it does not result in deletion of HBV-specific CTL precursors (Rossol, S. et al., B.J. Clin. Invest. 99:3025-3033 (1997); Chen et al, Immunity 12: 83-93 (2000); Sette, A.D. et al., J. Immunol. 166:1389-1397 (2001)). Indeed, studies in HBV-transgenic mice were used to demonstrate that tolerance can be "broken" by the use of epitope-based vaccines and non-pathogen derived, optimized HTL epitopes (Livingston, B.D. et al., J. Immunol. 159:1383-1392 (1997); Alexander, J. et al., Immunol. Res. 18:79-92 (1998); Sette, A.D. et al., J. Immunol. *166*:1389-1397 (2001)). The data generated using patient samples obtained during spontaneous resolution of HBV infection and during response to IFN-α treatment also suggests that this defect is reversible. Additional data to support this hypothesis was derived in studies utilizing the antiviral drug, lamivudine, as discussed below.

Previous HBV immunotherapy clinical trials

[0317] Clinical studies using a lipopeptide vaccine composed of a promiscuous HTL epitope and the HBV core 18 CTL epitope, provided data to document immunogenicity of individual epitopes in normal volunteers (Livingston, B.D. et al., Hum. Immunol. 60:1013-1017 (1999); Livingston, B.D. et al., J. Immunol. 159:1383-1392 (1997); Vitiello, A. et al., J. Clin. Invest. 95:341-349 (1995)). The levels of CTL induced in healthy subjects were comparable to those measured in acutely infected individuals who clear the virus, either spontaneously or as a result of IFN-α treatment. Subsequent trials in chronic HBV patients were, however, disappointing: The levels of CTL induced in these patients were significantly lower than the levels observed in normal subjects and no reductions in viral loads were observed. Importantly, at the time of these clinical trials, antiviral drug therapy was not available. Thus, there was no way to reduce the viremia associated with immune tolerance.

D. Effects of antiviral drug therapy on HBV replication, integration and immune system tolerance

Chronic HBV infection is associated with high levels of viremia [0318] averaging about 2.2 x 10¹¹ viral particles per 3 liters of serum, which is equivalent to the average total body burden (Nowak, M.A. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 93:4398-4402 (1996)). The presence of high numbers of viral particles in the serum is thought to be responsible, at least in part, for the immune tolerance detected in chronic HBV patients (Schlaak, J.F. et al., J. Hepatology 30:353 - 358 (1999)). The nucleoside analog lamivudine (Epivir-HBV) (GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709) is a reverse transcriptase inhibitor originally developed for the treatment of HIV. It was also approved for the treatment of chronic HBV infection, is known to have potent inhibitory effects on HBV replication, and rapidly reduces the production of new infectious virus particles in patients (Nowak, M.A. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 93:4398-4402 (1996)). In multiple studies, HBV DNA becomes undetectable during lamivudine treatment in the majority of patients (Dienstag, J.L. et al., Hepatology 30:1082 - 1087 (1999); Boni, C. et al., Hepatology. 33:963-971 (2001)). Within the first six months of treatment there is a major decline in the level of viremia, which continues with longerterm treatment. HBsAg and HBeAg levels decline over time in most patients although the rate and magnitude are less than that observed for viral particles. Liver enzymes also fall to near normal levels in the majority of patients with 6 months or more of lamivudine therapy (Dienstag, J.L. et al., Hepatology 30:1082-1087 (1999); Boni, C. et al., Hepatology. 33:963-971 (2001)). Lamivudine does not totally suppress viral protein production because covalently closed-circular DNA (cccDNA) and integrated HBV DNA will support the production of some viral proteins over a prolonged period of time.

[0319] In addition, the hypo-responsiveness of HBV-specific CTL and HTL, typical of chronic HBV infection, appears to be overcome or at least decreased by lamivudine treatment (Boni, C. et al., B. J. Clin. Invest. 102:968-975 (1998); Boni, C. et al., Hepatology. 33:963-971 (2001)). Interestingly, the rebound in T-cell activity appears as early as one month after initiation of lamivudine therapy following the initial sharp decline in viremia. However,

when lamivudine treatment is suspended, viral replication rebounds in as little as one week, depending on the duration of treatment (Dienstag, J.L. et al., N. Eng. J. Med. 333:1657-1661 (1995); Dienstag, J.L. et al., Hepatology 30:1082–1087 (1999)). Also, there has been reported a rapid emergence of drug-resistant HBV mutants. Thus, lamivudine alone is limited in usefulness as a therapy for chronic HBV infection.

E. Immunotherapeutic vaccine design

[0320] The design and evaluation of therapeutic vaccines capable of inducing cellular immune responses of the magnitude needed to control HBV replication and ultimately, mediate viral clearance is of great clinical importance. Vaccines are designed to induce both HBV-specific CTL and HTL responses, and are tested clinically in both healthy volunteers and chronically-infected patients. In the latter group, patients are restricted to those treated successfully with lamivudine or similar antiviral for a minimum of six months.

Epitope Selection

CTL epitopes from the HLA-A2, -A3 and -B7 supertype families

[0321] The majority of HLA class I molecules can be classified into relatively few major HLA class I supertypes when grouped by the characteristics of their overlapping, yet independent, peptide binding repertoires (Table 6A-B). By selecting epitopes capable of binding most, or all, of the HLA molecules in a given supertype, it is possible to limit the numbers of epitopes needed to produce an effective multi-epitope vaccine. Selection of the most common HLA supertypes facilitates design of a vaccine for treatment of individuals with HBV infection (Bertoni, R., J. et al., J. Clin. Invest. 100:503-513 (1997); Sette, A. et al., Immunogenetics. 50:201-212 (1999); Sette, A. et al., Curr. Opin. Immunol. 10:478-482 (1998)).

Table 6A. Phenotypic fr quenci s of HLA Class I

		_	Pho	enotypic	Frequenc	y (%)
S	upertype	HLA allele	Asian	Black		NA Cauc
		A*0201	15.8	19.6	45.1	32.0
		A*0202	0.2	8.7	1.5	3.7
	A2	A*0203	8.7	0.2	0.2	4.1
		A*0206	10.8	0.6	0.2	7.8
		A*6802	0.2	9.6	1.3	2.2
•		A*0301	1.3	14.6	26.8	25.9
		A*1101	35.3	1.1	11.5	12.4
	A3	A*3101	8.2	1.3	5.1	4.5
		A*3301	5.2	4.0	1.8	1.6
		A*6801	0.5	7.0	6.0	5.0
•		A*0101	1.5	7.0	30.7	29.4
	A 1	A*2902	0.5	5.1	6.3	5.7
		A*3002	2.2	30.7	4.7	4.9
	30 Marie W. 1	A*2402	49.5	4.2	16.5	15.6
	A24	A*2301	0.2	17.9	3.2	4.5
	/ \Z-T	A*2902	0.5	5.1	6.3	5.7
		A*3002	2.2	30.7	4.7	4.9
		B*0702	5.6	13.8	24.9	25.6
		B*3501	9.3	9.0	16.0	17.4
	B7	B*5101	12.2	4.6	10.7	9.3
		B*5301	0.2	19.4	0.6	1.2
	· <u></u>	B*5401	8.6	0.1	0.1	0.1

Table 6B. Phenotypic frequencies of HLA Class II

	-			
•	Ph	enotypic	frequency	/ (%)
Antigen	Asian	Black	E Cauc	NA Cauc
DR1	6.0	13.1	19.3	22.5
DR2w2 B1	34.7	29.2	27.6	27.3
DR3	5.2	22.4	24.7	21.0
DR4w4	0.9	3.3	14.3	14.8
DR4w14	1.7	0.7	4.3	7.5
DR4w15	16.0	1.0	1.5	1.7
DR5w11	7.7	23.1	18.2	18.5
DR6w19	10.5	39.9	21.6	22.0
DR7	4.2	14.8	25.5	23.4
DR8w2	18.6	10.7	5.4	6.7
DR9	23.5	3.9	2.0	2.0
DR5w12	15.3	9.6	3.4	2.2

[0322] A set of HBV-derived CTL epitopes that bind to multiple HLA supertype alleles has been identified (Table 7).

Table 7. HBV Vaccine HLA-A2, -A3 & -B7 CTL Epitopes

HLA			SEQ ID		Prototype Allele		Immuno	genicity
Supertype	Epitope	Sequence	NO:	Conservation (%)	Binding (IC ₅₀ nM) ²	XRN ³	Human⁴	Mice
A2	core 18	FLPSDFFPSV	9	45	3.5	5	+	+
A2	env 183	FLLTRILTI	10	80	9.8	4	+	+
A2	env 335	WLSLLVPFV	11	100	5.4	4	+	+
A2	env 338	LLVPFVQWFV	12	95	5.7	5	į.	
A2	env 378	LLPIFFCLWV	13	100	158.9	1		
A2	pol 455	GLSRYVARL	14	55	55.9	3	+	+
A2	pol 538	YMDDVVLGV	15	90	6.4	5	+	+
A2	pol 773	ILRGTSFVYV	16					
A2	pol 562	FLLSLGIHL	17	95	7.8	3	+	+
A2	pol 642	ALMPLYACI	18	95	12.9	4	I	
A2	env 338	GLSPTVWLSV	19]		1	
A3	core 141	STLPETTVVRR	20	95	735 / 4.5*	4	+	+
A3	pol 149	HTLWKAGILYK	21	100	15.4 / 15.6	5	+	+
A3	pol 150	TLWKAGILYK	22	100	2.1 / 33	2		
A3	pol 388	LVVDFSQFSR	23	100	6875 / 17	3	+	+
A3	pol 47	NVSIPWTHK	24	100	174 / 117	3	+	+
A3	pol 531	SAICSVVRR	25	95	2189 / 29	3	+	+
A3/A1	pol 629	KVGNFTGLY	26	95	58 / 365	2		
A3	pol 665	QAFTFSPTYK	27	95	249 / 8	3	+	+
B7	core 19	LPSDFFPSV	28	45	3026.8	4	+	
B7	env 313	IPIPSSWAF	29	100	42.3	4	+	+
B7	pol 354	TPARVTGGVF	30	90	13.2	2	+	-
В7	pol 429	HPAAMPHLL	31	100	56.6	4	-	+
B7	pol 640	YPALMPLYA	32					
B7	pol 541	FPHCLAFSY	33		1			
B7	pol 530	FPHCLAFSYM	34	95	58.5	5	-	+
B7	pol 640	YPALMPLY	35				į	
B7	pol 640	YPALMPLYACI	36	95	1393.4	3	-	+

- 1. Sequence identity in 20 strains of HBV including adr, adw, ayr, and ayw isolates.
 - 2. Prototype alleles for the respective supertypes are A2: A*0201, A3: A*0301/A*1101, B7: B*0702.
 - 3. Number of supertype alleles bound \leq 500 nM.
 - 4. Recall CTL responses in patients with chronic or active HBV infection.
 - 5. CTL responses induced in HLA-transgenic mice after immunization with a peptide emulsified in IFA.
 - * Binding to HLA-A*0301 and -A*1101 respectively.
- [0323] Six each of the HLA-A2, -A3 and -B7 supertype epitopes were selected for use in vaccine development. The cutoff for binding affinity considered was 500 nM, since this level of binding affinity correlates with CTL immunogenicity and antigenicity (Sette, A. et al., J. Immunol. 153:5586-5592 (1994)). All of these epitopes are conserved in the most prevalent HBV

strains. The core 18 epitope is conserved in a relatively modest 45% of the HBV sequences examined but the majority of the sequences that do not contain this particular epitope encode a variant which contains a conserved substitution (isoleucine for leucine) at the C-terminus of the epitope. All but one of the 18 selected epitopes bind at least three of the five the most common members of a given supertype. These epitopes were derived from the env, pol and core antigens, consistent with our goal to generate immune responses directed against multiple viral antigens, thus mimicking what the natural clearance of HBV.

- Human immune system recognition of these epitopes was demonstrated using recall CTL assays and PBL from individuals with either acute or chronic infection (Bertoni, R., J. et al., J. Clin. Invest. 100:503-513 (1997)). Immune recognition of these epitopes by PBL demonstrates that the epitopes were produced in the course of natural HBV infection and that the appropriate TCR are present in the human repertoire. With the exception of three HLA-B7-restricted epitopes, the entire set of vaccine epitopes were recognized by CD8+ T-lymphocytes obtained from HBV patients (Table 7).
- [0325] The HLA-A2, -A3 and -B7 epitopes were also tested for immunogenicity using HLA-transgenic animals. Following immunization with synthetic peptides emulsified IFA, CTL responses were measured using an *in situ* IFN-γ ELISA assay (Vitiello, A. *et al.*, *J. Clin. Invest.* 95:341-349 (1995)). Data obtained in this assay was converted to secretory units (SU) for evaluation (McKinney, D.M. *et al.*, *J. Immunol. Methods.* 237:105-117 (2000)). A SU is the number of cells that secrete 100 pg of IFN-γ in response to a particular peptide, corrected for the background amount of IFN-γ produced in the absence of peptide. The data shown in the last column of Table 7 summarizes the findings of these experiments. The fact that most of these epitopes are immunogenic in HLA-transgenic mice is of relevance, as it offers a means of evaluating the potency of multi-epitope vaccines using a small animal model.
- [0326] In conclusion, a set of epitopes suitable for inclusion in an epitopebased vaccine and restricted by three common HLA class I supertypes can be untilized for vaccine development.

CTL epitopes from the HLA-A1 and -A24 supertypes

[0327] Epitopes binding to multiple members of the HLA-A1 and -A24 supertypes were identified for the purpose of treating patients afforded by the vaccine and to increase the multiplicity of determinants contained in our epitope package (Table 8).

Table 8. HBV Vaccine HLA-A1 & -A24 CTL Epitopes

HBV Vaccine HLA-A1 & -A24 CTL Epitopes

					Prototype Allele	
HLA Supertype	Epitope	Sequence	SEQ ID NO:	Conservation (%) ¹	Binding (IC ₅₀ nM) ²	XRN ³
A1	env 359	WMMWYWGPSLY	37	85	16.3	3
A1	core 419	DLLDTASALY	38	75	2.3	3
Al	core 137	LTFGRETVLEY	39	75	80.0	3
A1	pol 149	HTLWKAGILY	40	100	381.0	3
A1	pol 166	ASFCGSPY	41	100	247.0	3
Al	pol 415	LSLDVSAAFY	42	95	6.0	3
AI	pol 580	YSLNFMGY	43	85	382.0	3
Al	env 249	ILLLCLIFLL	44	100	192.0	1
A24	env 236	RWMCLRRFII	45	95	11.0	3
A24	pol 392	SWPKFAVPNL	46	95	2.1	2
A24	env 332	RFSWLSLLVPF	47	100	12.0	2
A24	env 332	RFSWLSLLVPF	47	100	12.0	2
A24	core 101	LWFHISCLTF	48	85	6.7	3
A24	core 117	EYLVSFGVW	49	90	16.0	2
A24	pol 167	SFCGSPYSW	50	100	146.0	3
A24	pol 529	AFPHCLAF	51	95	78.0	3
A24	pol 639	GYPALMPLY	52	95	280.0	2
A24	pol 745	KYTSFPWLL	53	85	1.0	3

- 1. Sequence identity in 20 strains of HBV including adr, adw, ayr, and ayw isolates.
- 2. Prototype alleles for the respective supertypes are A1: A*0101, A24: A*2402.
- 3. Number of supertype alleles bound ≤ 500 nM.
- [0328] Of the over one hundred motif-positive peptides identified, 24 peptides were selected for further study based on their binding characteristics to purified HLA-A1 or -A24, and related supertype molecules and six each

restricted to HLA-A1 or HLA-A24 were selected as vaccines; three related alleles were used to define the HLA-A1 and -A24 supertype families. Of these epitopes, core 117 and pol 745 were independently reported as being recognized by CTL from HBV-infected individuals (Sobao, Y. et al., J. Hepatol. 34:922-929 (2001)).

[0329] To provide additional evidence showing that the selected epitopes could be recognized by human CD8+ T-lymphocytes, we induced primary CTL responses using PBL obtained from non-infected normal donors. This in-vitro primary CTL induction assay utilizes PBL obtained by leukapheresis from HLA-A1 or -A24 positive, male and female donors. The PBL were used as the source of dendritic cells (DC), antigen-presenting cells and CD8+ Tlymphocytes (Keogh, E. et al., J. Immunol. 167:787-796 (2001)). To induce the expansion of precursor CTL to mature cells, purified CD8+ cells were cocultured with cytokine-generated, peptide-pulsed DC in the presence of 10 ng/ml of recombinant human IL-7. This culture step induced the activation and initial maturation of precursor CTL, but restimulation and extended culture was needed to expand their numbers for testing. The restimulation was done on days 7 and 14 using adherent monocytes pulsed with peptide. Seven days after the second restimulation, the cultures were assayed for CTL activity, using either the in situ ELISA or the ELISPOT assays. A culture was considered positive if the measured response is at least twice the background level of expression, determined using an irrelevant peptide, and $\geq 50 \text{pg/well}$. A positive response demonstrates the presence of the appropriate TCR in humans.

[0330] A compilation of the data obtained using the HLA-A1 and -A24 epitopes is provided in Table 9.

Table 9. Primary Immunogenicity of HLA-A1 & -A24 HBV CTL Epitopes

HLA Supertype	Epitope	Sequence	SEQ ID NO:	Donors +/Total Tested ¹	+Wells/Total Tested ²	Avg. SI ³	Net IFN-γ (pg/well) ⁴
Al	env 359	WMMWYWGPSLY	37	1/4	1/192	23.0	175
A1	core 419	DLLDTASALY	38	1/3	3/144	29.0	67
A1	core 137	LTFGRETVLEY	39	3/3	3/144	27.3	100
A1	pol 166	ASFCGSPY	41	2/4	3/192	41.2	60
A 1	pol 415	LSLDVSAAFY	42	1/4	1/192	57.0	56
A1	env 249	ILLLCLIFLL	44	3/3	7/144	21.0	93
A24	env 236	RWMCLRRFIIF	45	0/2	0/96		
A24	env 332	RFSWLSLLVPF	47	1/2	1/96	2.5	186
A24	core 101	LWFHISCLTF	48	1/2	2/96	2.8	248
A24	core 117	EYLVSFGVWI	49	1/2	1/96	2.3	158
A24	pol 392	SWPKFAVPNL	46	0/3	0/144		
A24	pol 745	KYTSFPWLL	53	2/2	10/96	108.0	144

- 1. Number of donors with positive CTL response of total number of donors tested.
- 2. Number of cultures with positive CTL response of total cultures tested.
- 3. Average stimulation index of CTL responses calculated as: IFN- γ secretion with peptide/IFN- γ secretion without peptide.
- 4. Net IFN- γ production adjusted for control irrelevant peptide.
- [0331] The data is expressed as the number of positive wells out of the total wells tested, the average stimulation index of the positive cultures and the net IFN-γ release of the positive cultures. Significant CTL responses were induced for all of the HLA-A1 restricted epitopes and for 4/6 of the HLA-A24 epitopes included in the current HBV vaccine studies.
- [0332] HLA-A1 and -A24 transgenic mice are not currently available. However, a significant degree of similarity exists between the binding motifs of HLA-A24 epitopes and the murine class I K^d. We therefore tested four of the vaccine HLA-A24 epitopes for their capacity to bind purified K^d molecules *in vitro* and assessed immunogenicity. We found that one of these epitopes was immunogenic in the H2^{bxd} mice (Table 10). Two other epitopes were not tested for binding but proved to be immunogenic when tested in H2^{bxd} mice following immunization with peptide/IFA emulsions. IFN-γ responses after *in vitro* restimulation ranged from 158.7 to 339.6 SU. This level of activity was similar to the levels observed with a known control K^d-

restricted epitope (Romero, et al., Nature 341: 323. (1989)). Thus, the H2^{bxd} can be used in the absence of HLA-A24 transgenic mice.

Table 10. Cross Reactivity of HLA-A24 Epitopes with K^d

		SEQ ID		K ^d Binding	Murine
Epitope	Sequence	NO:	Conservation (%)	(IC ₅₀ nM)	Immunogenicity ² (SU)
env 236	RWMCLRRFII	45	95	NT	339.6
pol 392	SWPKFAVPNL	46	95	NT	261.0
env 332	RFSWLSLLVPF	47	100		
env 332	RFSWLSLLVPF	47	100	-	0.0
core 101	LWFHISCLTF	48	85	-	0.0
core 117	EYLVSFGVW	49	90	-	0.0
pol 167	SFCGSPYSW	50	100		
pol 529	AFPHCLAF	51	95		
pol 639	GYPALMPLY	52	95		
pol 745	KYTSFPWLL	53	85	77.5	158.7
CS 252 ³	SYIPSAEKI	54	NA	9.2	49.2

- 1. Sequence identity in 20 strains of HBV including adr, adw, ayr, and ayw isolates.
- 2. CTL response, measured in an *in situ* ELISA assay, (McKinney et al. 2000) after peptide/IFA immunization of H2^{bxd} mice.
- 3. Control A24 epitope (Romero et al. 1991).

NT: not tested NA: not applicable

C. Projected population coverage of vaccines composed of CTL epitopes

[0333] The population coverage of vaccines composed of the selected epitopes was determined based on the phenotypic frequencies of HLA types defined by the HLA workshop and on the binding characteristics of the epitopes (Gjerston, D.W. and Terasaki, P.I. HLA. American society for Histocompatibility and Immunogenetics. Lenexa, Kans (1998)).

[0334] The most common HLA molecules contained within each of the five selected HLA class I supertypes and their distribution in common ethnic groups is shown in Table 6A. The calculated phenotypic frequency of individuals with HLA types capable of binding the indicated number of class I-restricted epitopes and the cumulative frequency of individuals predicted to be genetically capable of responding immunologically to the selected epitopes

is shown in Figures 25A-25B. An average of 11.1 epitope-HLA combinations could be recognized in an idealized composite population with average HLA frequencies (Fig. 25A). Analyses of projected population coverage in the major ethnic groups demonstrated no appreciable ethnic bias (Fig. 25B).

D. Selection of HTL epitopes

[0335] HLA-DR types can be grouped into two major supertypes based on epitope-peptide binding, defined as the HLA-DR-1,4,7 and -DR3 supertypes (Wilson, C.C. et al., J. Virol. 75:4195-4207 (2001); Doolan, D.L. et al., J. Immunol. 165:1123-1137 (2000); Southwood, S. et al., J. Immunol. 160:3363-3373 (1998)). A set of HBV-derived, HLA-DR supertype epitopes was identified using a process similar to that used to identify the CTL epitopes and 16 were selected for further study based on binding characteristics (Table 11).

Table 11. HBV Vaccine HTL Epitopes

HLA									H,	HLA-DR binding capacity (IC50 nM)	nding cap	oacity (I	C ₅₀ nM)						# DR
Supertyp	Supertype Epitope Sequence	Sequence	(SEQ ID ((SEQ ID Conservation NO:) (%)1	DRB1 *0101	DRB1 *1501	DRB1 *0301	DRB1 *0401	DRB1 *0405	DRB1 *1101	DRB1 *1201		DRB1 DRB1 *1302 *0701	DRB1 *0802	DRB1 *0901	DRB1 DRB5 DRB3 *0901 *0101 *0101	DRB3 *0101	3 DRB4 1 *0101 bound ²	bour
DR	pol 412	LQSLTNLLSSNLSWL	(55)	90	2.0	21	•	10.0	47	303	397	143	173	598	791	1067	1837	4179	01
	pol 664	KQAFTFSPTYKAFLC	(56)	60	10	4		88	181	82		190	90	416	142	144	4848	322	=
	env 180	AGFFLLTRILTIPQS	(57)	80	-	217	•	9	258	6	4229	9	œ	189	S 6	1158	4374	696	10
	pol 774	GTSFVYVPSALNPAD	(58)	80	15	748	•	119	94	443			94	818	220	400		•	9
	core 120	core 120 VSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPI	(59)	90	27	43		58	220	=	817	565	78	76	1773	7	6454	395	∞
	pol 145	RHYLHTLWKAGILYK	(60)	100	17	4.0	•	2271	1499	42	149	766	61	36	133	35	•	782	10
	env 339	LVPFVQWFVGLSPTV	(61)	95	408	14	•	315	28	54	452	2330	2744	60	31	1516	1661	22	9
	pol 501	LHLYSHPIILGFRKI	(62)	80	248	558		77	244	492	9462			800	1551	560	•	102	∞
	pol 523	PFLLAQFTSAICSVV	(63)	95	27	359	,	560	246	1749		59	328	940	1373	4764	•	1347	7
	pol 618	KQCFRKLPVNRPIDW	(64)	45	3.0	4370		40	34	1617		821	62	872	5175	1246	•	3060	6
	pol 767	AANWILRGTSFVYVP	(65)	70	55	386	•	966	1634	1520	802	143	44	214	299	3276		6553	8
	core 50	PHHTALRQAILCWGELMTLA	(66)	90	810	8.0	•	326		458	•	•	676	210	952	124	575	48	7
DR3	pol 694	LCQVFADATPTGWGL	(67)	95	7470	5009	67	490	1203		•	2022	•	•			1808	1044	2
	pol 385	ESRLVVDFSQFSRGN	(68)	45	7372	1368	36	208	251	•		946		•			2525	8711	رب.
	pol 96	VGPLTVNEKRRLKLI	(69)	60	8415	4153	43	3916	1908	6666		4461	•	5354		4330	•	8121	
	pol 420	SSNLSWLSLDVSAAF	(70)	85	38	3089	62	168	17	4923	1859	36	5063	1065	7126		5	7	4

Sequence identity in 20 strains of HBV including adr, adw, ayr, and ayw isolates.
 Number of DR alleles bound with IC50

1000 nM.

[0336] The immunogenicity of the vaccine HTL epitopes was evaluated in both HBV patients and mice (Table 12).

Table 12. Immunogenicity of HBV Vaccine HTL Epitopes

HLA								Immuno	genicity
HLA		overlaps				Core Freq	SEQ ID	HBV	H2 ^{bxd}
Supertype	Alt pep	with	Ag	Epitope	Sequence	(X/20)	NO:	patients ¹	mice ²
					LQSLTNLLSS				
DR	1186.13		HBV	pol 412	NLSWL	18	55	+	+
					KQAFTFSPT				
			HBV	pol 664	YKAFLC	19	56	+	+
					AGFFLLTRIL				
	830.01	1280.08	HBV	env 180	TIPQS	16	57	+	+
					GTSFVYVPS				
			HBV	pol 774	ALNPAD	18	58	+	+
					VSFGVWIRT				
					PPAYRPPNA				
	1186.25		HBV	core 120	PI		59	+	+ .
					RHYLHTLW				
			HBV	pol 145	KAGILYK	20	60	+	+
					LVPFVQWFV				
			HBV	env 339	GLSPTV	19	61	+	-
					LHLYSHPIIL				
			HBV	pol 501	GFRKI	16	62	+	+
					PFLLAQFTSA				
	1186.19	1186.26	HBV	pol 523	ICSVV	19	63	+	+
					KQCFRKLPV				
			HBV	pol 618	NRPIDW	16	64	+	-
					AANWILRGT				
			HBV	pol 767		16	65	+	-
					PHHTALRQA				
					ILCWGELMT				
	F039.01		HBV	core 50	LA		66	+	-
					LCQVFADAT				
DR3	35.0100		HBV	pol 694	PTGWGL	19	67	+	+
			-42		ESRLVVDFS				
			HBV	pol 385	QFSRGN	20	68	+	+
					VGPLTVNEK				
			HBV	pol 96	RRLKLI		69	-	+
					SSNLSWLSL				
		1186.18	HBV	pol 420	DVSAAF	20	70	•	+

^{1.} Recall CTL responses in patients with chronic or active HBV infection

HTL responses induced in H2^{bxd} mice after immunization with a peptide/CFA emulsion.

[0337] With the exception of two HLA-DR3 epitopes, all epitopes are recognized in HBV-infected humans. The immunogenicity of the HTL epitopes was also characterized using H2^{bxd} mice. Epitope-peptide binding preferences are similar for HLA-DR1 and IA^b allowing for comparison testing (Wall, K.A. *et al.*, *J. Immunol.* 152:4526-4536 (1994)) in non-transgenic mice. Twelve of the HTL epitopes were immunogenic in these mice, as judged by fresh ELISPOT assays performed 11-14 days after immunization with 25 μg of purified, synthetic peptides (Table 12).

[0338] In conclusion, these data identify a set of HTL epitopes suitable for inclusion in an HBV vaccine construct.

Projected population coverage at the level of HTL epitopes

[0339] The selected HTL epitopes were derived from the core, pol and env antigens, thus offering the opportunity of generating multi-specific responses in immunized individuals. These epitopes also provide a high level of predicted population coverage across the most common ethnic groups. Table 6B summarizes the HLA types included in the analysis and their distribution in common ethnic groups. The calculated phenotypic frequency of individuals with HLA types capable of binding the indicated number of class II-restricted epitopes and the cumulative frequency of individuals predicted to be genetically capable of responding immunologically to the selected epitopes is shown in Figures 26A-26B. We predict that an average of 17.2 epitope-HLA combinations could be recognized in an idealized population composed of averaging HLA frequencies observed in major ethnic groups (Fig. 26A). The average number of epitope-combinations potentially recognized is higher than 16 (the total number of epitopes) because the heterozygosity and of the highly degenerate binding capacity of the epitopes. Analysis of the major ethnic groups demonstrated very broad population coverage (Fig. 26B).

3. Minigene Construct Design

Background

- [0340] The focus of our research is the development of vaccine constructs composed of multiple epitopes. Studies from a number of different laboratories demonstrated that multi-epitope constructs can be configured by stringing epitopes one after the other in a "string of beads" manner. However, the immunogenicity of individual CTL epitopes in constructs of this type is often highly variable. Variation can be attributed to the differential efficiency of the cellular processing that generates epitopes. We found that the use of appropriate amino acid spacers to ensure efficient proteosomal cleavage results in balanced epitope processing and immunogenicity. (Velders, M.P. et al., J. Immunol. 166:5366-5373 (2001); Livingston, B.D. et al., Vaccine. 19:4652-4660 (2001)).
- [0341] The possibility of creating artificial epitopes, referred to as "junctional epitopes," has been considered. Junctional epitopes may dominate or redirect responses in an inappropriate manner and/or may be homologous to human (self) sequences and thereby induce anti-self responses. A computer program has been designed that, for each epitope pair, selects the spacer composition that optimizes proteosomal cleavage and minimizes the occurrence of epitope motifs through the addition of additional amino acids as spacers. Thus, our epigene construct design software evaluates different epitope arrangements and selects those with optimal predicted proteosomal cleavage and minimal occurrence rate of junctional motifs.
- [0342] Optimization of HLA-DR binding epitopes for proteosomal cleavage is not relevant, although avoiding junctional epitopes remains a primary design consideration. Since the motifs recognized by HLA class II molecules are more broadly defined, we designed a strategy based on the use of a universal spacer consisting of GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2); (Livingston et al. J. Immunol. 168:5499-5509 (2002)). This spacer has the capacity of disrupting binding to most, if not all, of the most common HLA-DR types since it is poorly compatible with the majority of human and murine class II binding motifs (Livingston, B. et al., J. Immunol. 168:5499-5506 (2002)).

- [0343] The N-terminus of the epigene CTL constructs includes the sequence MGMQVQIQSLFLLLLWVPGSRG (e.g., amino acids 1-22 of SEQ ID NO:72). This is a consensus sequence based on the Ig kappa secretory signal, and included to assist in MHC Class I targeting of the polypeptide product.
- [0344] Another important element of the vaccine design strategy is the inclusion of a universal HTL epitope (Alexander, J. et al., Immunity 1:751-761 (1994)). This non-natural epitope was designed to bind to the most common HLA molecules with high affinity and for optimal immunogenicity by maximizing TCR contact residues. This HTL epitope can induce HTL responses to support the induction and augmentation of CTL responses (Alexander, J. et al., Immunity 1:751-761 (1994); Alexander, J. et al., Immunol. Res. 18:79-92 (1998)). Utilization of a non-HBV-derived HTL epitope might offer unique advantages in terms of support for CTL induction in the chronic setting because HBV-specific HTL responses may, in part, be impaired by the tolerance associated with chronic infection. In fact, it has been demonstrated that this HTL epitope allows the immune system to overcome HBV-specific T cell tolerance in transgenic mice expressing HBV antigens (Livingston, B.D. et al., Hum. Immunol. 60:1013-1017 (1999); Livingston, B.D. et al., J. Immunol. 162:3088-3095 (1999); Alexander, J. et al., Immunol. Res. 18:79-92 (1998); Sette, A.D. et al., J. Immunol. 166:1389-1397 (2001)). This HTL epitope is also included in HTL vaccine constructs because it enhances responses induced by other HTL epitopes. This "help for the helpers" concept is consistent with recently published observation in the CD40 system, which suggests that dendritic cell licensing, defined as HTL-induced upregulation of accessory molecules on dendritic cells, can also apply to HTL responses (Gerloni, M. S. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 97:13269-13274 (2000); van Mierlo, G.J. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 99:5561-5566 (2002)).
 - B. Design of a minigene construct encoding HBV-derived CTL epitopes
- [0345] A first prototype vaccine construct, HBV1, included 17 HLA-A2, -A3 and -B7 epitopes and lacked amino acid spacers. This construct was modified (HBV2) to incorporate appropriate spacers and increase the immunogenicity

of a number of the component epitopes. HBV2 induced CTL responses to a wide spectrum of epitopes that were in general comparable to those induced by immunization with peptides emulsified in IFA (data not shown). This type of control allows one to estimate the activity detectable for each particular epitope in the absence of any processing constraint, and thus allows standardization of factors such as availability and size of an epitope-specific TCR repertoire in the various strains of mice utilized for preclinical evaluations.

[0346] A number of new epigene constructs were designed to include HLA-A1 and -A24 epitopes to provide greater population coverage. Four epigene constructs incorporating 21 and 30 CTL epitopes were constructed and tested for immunogenicity, focusing on the HLA-A2 epitopes. All four constructs induced broad, potent CTL responses (data not shown). As the 30 epitope constructs should provide a greater redundancy of epitope coverage in the prospective patient population, additional studies centered on these larger epigene constructs. One particular 30 epitope construct, HBV30C, induced strong CTL responses to both the HLA-A3 and -A24 epitopes (the latter measured using H2^{bxd} mice). Although two of the HLA-A2 epitopes, core 18 and env 183, were poorly immunogenic in this construct, further spacer optimization restored the immunogenicity of these epitopes. A schematic and the amino acid sequence of the CTL vaccine HBV30K are shown in Figure 27A and Table 13. An example of a polynucleotide sequence encoding HBV30K is shown in Table 13.

[0347] The immunogenicity of HBV30K in HLA-A2 and -A11 transgenic mice is shown in Figure 27B. As a means of comparison, the CTL activity induced following immunization with the potent HBV2 prototype construct as well as peptide/IFA is also shown. Overall, HBV30K elicited CTL responses as vigorous as HBV2. In fact, HBV30K induced CTL responses against all the component epitopes that are immunogenic in the HLA transgenic animals and typically these CTL responses were comparable to the responses induced following peptide immunization. This data lead to the selection of HBV30K as the lead CTL vaccine.

Table 13. HBV30K construct

TTDTTOOTS	
HBV30K	<u>Polynucleotide</u>
CEO ID	
SEQ ID	↑ Start
NO:71	ATG GGAATGCAGGTGCAAATACAGTCTCTCTTTCCTTTTGCTTCTCTGGGTTCCAGGAT
	CACGGGGCTTCTTGCTTAGCTTGGGCATCCACCTAAATGCTGCTGCAAAATACACATC
	TTTTCCTTGGCTCCTTAATGCCGCCGCTAGGTTTTCATGGCTGAGTCTGCTAGTACCTT
	TCAATGCGGCTTTCCCACATTGCCTAGCTTTTAGCTATATGAAAGCTGCTTTAGTCGTG
	GACTTTTCACAGTTTAGCAGAGGAGCAATCCTGCTGCTATGTCTGATATTCCTTCTAAA
	CGCAGCAGCCCACACACTCTGGAAAGCTGGTATCCTTTACAAGAAAGCCTGGATGAT
	GTGGTATTGGGGACCCAGCCTCTACAAAGCATACCCTGCCCTGATGCCACTATACGCA
l	TGCATTGGCGCGGCAGCCTGGTTATCCCTTTTAGTACCGTTTGTCAACGCCGCAGCGG
	GATTTCTATTAACCAGAATCCTGACGATTAATGCTGCCGCCATTCCGATCCCAAGTTC
	CTGGGCATTCAAAGCAGCCGCGGAGTATCTGGTTTCATTTGGCGTATGGAACCTGCCA
	AGCGACTTCTTTCCTTCTGTTAAGGCCGCTGCTTTCCTCCCCTCCGATTTCTTTC
	GTGAAAGCCGCTGCCGACCTCCTTGATACCGCGAGCGCTCTGTACAACTCGTGGCCAA
	AATTCGCAGTTCCAAACCTAAAAGCCGCCGCCAGTGCCATTTGTTCCGTGGTAAGGAG
	AAAATTATCACTCGACGTGTCCGCAGCATTTTATAACGCTGCTGCAAAGTTTGTCGCA
	GCATGGACATTGAAGGCTGCAGCGAAAGCAGCAAATGTATCAATACCCTGGACCCAC
	AAGGGTGCAGCCGGGCTGTCTAGGTATGTGGCGAGGCTAAACGCCGCCGCCTCAACA CTGCCTGAGACTACTGTCGTGAGACGCAAACACCCTGCCGCAATGCCCCACCTGCTGA
	AAGCAGCCGCACGATGGATGTGCCTCAGAAGATTCATAATAAACGCTTCTTTCT
	GTCACCCTACAAAGCCGCTTACATGGACGATGTGGTCCTCGGAGTGAATGCCCTCTGG
ĺ	TTCCATATCAGCTGCCTGACATTCAAGGCAGCCGCCACCCCGCTCGTGTGACAGGAG
	GTGTCTTCAAAGCCGCGGCACTGACTTTCGGTCGGGAAACTGTATTGGAATATAAGCA
	GGCCTTCACATTCTCCCCAACATACAAGTGA
	↓Stop
	1248
HBV30K	Polypeptide
112 . 2 011	
	1
SEQ ID	1 ↑
NO:72	MGMOVOIOSLFLLLLWVPGSRGFLLSLGIHLNAAAKYTSFPWLLNAAARFSWLSLLVPFN
110.72	AAFPHCLAFSYMKAALVVDFSQFSRGAILLLCLIFLLNAAAHTLWKAGILYKKAWMMW
	YWGPSLYKAYPALMPLYACIGAAAWLSLLVPFVNAAAGFLLTRILTINAAAIPIPSSWAFK
	AAAEYLVSFGVWNLPSDFFPSVKAAAFLPSDFFPSVKAAADLLDTASALYNSWPKFAVPN
	LKAAASAICSVVRRKLSLDVSAAFYNAAAKFVAAWTLKAAAKAANVSIPWTHKGAAGL
	SRYVARLNAAASTLPETTVVRRKHPAAMPHLLKAAARWMCLRRFIINASFCGSPYKAAY
	MDDVVLGVNALWFHISCLTFKAAATPARVTGGVFKAAALTFGRETVLEYKQAFTFSPTY
1	K
)	↓
	416

C. Design of a minigene construct encoding HBV derived HTL epitopes

[0348] A single epigene construct encoding the 16 HTL epitopes was designed incorporating the GPGPG (SEQ ID NO:2) universal spacer. A schematic and the amino acid sequence of this HBV HTL construct are shown in Figure 28A and Table 14. An example of a polynucleotide sequence encoding the HBV HTL construct is shown in Table 14. This construct was tested for immunogenicity in H2^{bxd} mice (Figure 28B), measuring IFN-γ

production by CD4+ T-lymphocytes using an ELISPOT assay. Responses were as vigorous as those induced by a peptides emulsified in CFA for 50% of the epitopes (6/12) tested. Of the remaining six epitopes, and only two epitopes failed to induce a response following immunization with the HTL vaccine construct.

Table 14. HBV HTL construct

SEQ ID NO:73 Total		
SEQ ID NO:73 Total	HTL	Polynucleotide
NO:73 ATTGGAACTTCTTTTGTTATGTCCCTTCCGCTCTGAACCCAGCAGACCGGCCCT GGCCTGTGCCAG GTCTTCGCCGACGCAACTCCCACAGGGTGGGGGCCAGGACCAGGCACCAG GTCTTCGCCGACGCAACTCCCACAGGGTGGGGGCCAGGACCAGGCACTA CCTGCATACTCTGTGGAAGGCAGGCATCCTTATAAAGGGCCCGGCCCAGGCCCTCACC ACACCGCCCTGAGGCAGGCCATCCTGTGGCTGGGGGGAGCTCATGACCCTGGCCGGACCC GGACCCGGGGAAGCAGACTGGTGGTGGATTTCAGCCAATTCAGCAGAGGAAACCGAC CCGGCCCTGGGCCTTTTCTGCTGGCTCAGTTTTACATCTGCTATTTTGTTCTTGTGGTCGGCC CGGCCCAGGCCTGACCTTTTCTGCTGGCTCAGTTTTACATCTCGCATTTTCTTCTTGTGGTCGGCC CGGCCCAGGCCTGCAACTCTTCCTCAGCAGTTCCTCCGAAGATTGGACC CGGCCCAGGCCTCAACATCTCCCCACCAATCCTCCGGACTCTTGCCCAAGATTGGACC CGGCCCAGGGCTCCAAAACCCTCCTCTGCTCTTCTGGACCATCCTCCGCAACTCTCCCGAC CTGGCCCAGGGCTGCATCTTTCTTCTTCCTCACCCGATTCTCCCAATTCCCCAGTCCGGAC CTGGCCCAGGGCTGCATCTTTCTTCTCTCACCCGATTCTCCACAATTCCCCAGTCCGGAC CAGGACCAGGAGTCAGTTTTCGGCATCACCAGAACCCCTCTTCTTTAAACCACCC AATGCTCCAATCGGCCCTGGCCTTGGCAACACGCCCCTCTTCTTATAACCACCC AATGCTCCAATCGGCCCTGGCCCTGGCAACACGCACCCTTTCCCCAAACTGCCCTGAACACAC GACCTATTGATTGGGCCCTGGCCCTGGCAAGCAACTGGATTTTCACGAAACTGCCCTGAACACAC GACCTATTGATTGGGCCCTGGCCCTGGCAAGCAACTGGATTTTCACGAAACTGCCCTTAC AAGCCGTTCCTCTGTGGGCCCTGGCCCTGGAGACACAGGAACTGGATTCTCACCACTTAC AAGCCGTTCCTCTGTGGGCCCAGGCCCAGGCCAAGTTTTGTGGCAGCATTGACCCTCAA AGCCGTTCCTCTGTGGGCCAGGCCCAGGCCAAGTTTTGTGGCAGCATTGACCCTAA AGCCGTTCCTCTGTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCCCAAGTTTTGTGGCAGCATTGACCCTCAA AGCCGTTCCTCTGTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCCCAAGTTTTTTTT		
NO:73 ATTGGAACTTCTTTTGTTATGTCCCTTCCGCTCTGAACCCAGCAGCACCGGCCTT GGCCTGTGCCAG GTCTTCGCCGACGCAACTCCCACAGGGTGGGGGCCAGGACCAGGCACCAC GTCTTCGCCGACGCAACTCCCACAGGGTGGGGGCCAGGCCAGGCCCTCACC ACACCGCCCTGAGGCAGGCAACTCCTGTGCTGGGGGGAGCTCATGACCCTGGCCGGACCC GGACCCGGGAAGCCATCCTGTGCTGGGGGGAGCTCATGACCCTGGCCGGACCC GGACCCGGGCCTTTTCTGCTGGTGGATTTCAGCCAATTCAGCAGAGAAACGGAC CCGGCCCTGGGCCTTTTCTGCTGGCTCAGTTTAAATCAGCAACTTGTTCTTCTTGTGGTCGGCC CGGCCCAGGCCTGACCTTTTCTGCTGCAGTGGTTTGACCAATTTTGTTCTTGTGGTCGGCC CGGCCCAGGCCTGACATCTTCTTCGTCAGTTGTTGGACCATCCTCCGAAGATTGACC CGGCCCAGGCTCCAACATCTCCCCACCAATCATCCTCGGCTTTCCGCAAGATTGACC CGGCCCAGGCTCCAACAATCTCTCCTGGCTCTCTCTGGACGTTCCTCAAGTCGGCC TGGACCAGGCCTGCAAACCCTCCTCTCTTGTCACCCGATTCTCCCAACACTCCCCAACTCCTCGGAC CAGGACCAGGAGTCAGTTTTCGGCAACAACTCCCCCTTTATAACCACCC AATGCTCCAATCGGCCTGGCCT		1 1
NO:73 ATTGGAACTTCTTTTGTTATGTCCCTTCCGCTCTGAACCCAGCAGCACCGGCCTT GGCCTGTGCCAG GTCTTCGCCGACGCAACTCCCACAGGGTGGGGGCCAGGACCAGGCACCAC GTCTTCGCCGACGCAACTCCCACAGGGTGGGGGCCAGGCCAGGCCCTCACC ACACCGCCCTGAGGCAGGCAACTCCTGTGCTGGGGGGAGCTCATGACCCTGGCCGGACCC GGACCCGGGAAGCCATCCTGTGCTGGGGGGAGCTCATGACCCTGGCCGGACCC GGACCCGGGCCTTTTCTGCTGGTGGATTTCAGCCAATTCAGCAGAGAAACGGAC CCGGCCCTGGGCCTTTTCTGCTGGCTCAGTTTAAATCAGCAACTTGTTCTTCTTGTGGTCGGCC CGGCCCAGGCCTGACCTTTTCTGCTGCAGTGGTTTGACCAATTTTGTTCTTGTGGTCGGCC CGGCCCAGGCCTGACATCTTCTTCGTCAGTTGTTGGACCATCCTCCGAAGATTGACC CGGCCCAGGCTCCAACATCTCCCCACCAATCATCCTCGGCTTTCCGCAAGATTGACC CGGCCCAGGCTCCAACAATCTCTCCTGGCTCTCTCTGGACGTTCCTCAAGTCGGCC TGGACCAGGCCTGCAAACCCTCCTCTCTTGTCACCCGATTCTCCCAACACTCCCCAACTCCTCGGAC CAGGACCAGGAGTCAGTTTTCGGCAACAACTCCCCCTTTATAACCACCC AATGCTCCAATCGGCCTGGCCT	SEO ID	↑ Store
GGCTGTGCCAG GTCTTGCCGACGCAACTCCCACAGGGTGGGGGCTGGGGCCAGGACCAGGCACTA CCTGCATACTCTGTGGAAGGCAGGAATCCTCTATAAAAGGGCCCGGCCCAGGCCCTCACC ACACCGCCCTGAGCAGGCATCCTGTGCTGGGGGGAGACTCATGACCCAGCCCTGGCCGGACCT GGACCCGGGGAGAGCAGCTGGTGGTGGATTTCAGCCAATTCAGCAGAGGAAACGGAC CCGGCCCTGGGCCTTTTCTGCTGCAGTTTACATCTGCTATTTGTTCTGTGGTCGGCCC CGGCCCAGGCTCGTGCCTTTCGTGCAGTTGGTTCGGACTTTCTCTGTGGTCGGCC CGGCCCAGGCTCCAGCATTCTGTACTCCCACCAATCATCCTCGGCTTCCCCAAGATTGGAC CCGCCCAGGCTGCATCTGTACTCCCACCAATCATCCTCGGCTTCCCCAAGATTGGAC CGGCCAGGCTGCAAAGCCTGACTAATCTCCTGGCTCTTCTGAACGTGTCTCCCCAAGATTGGAC CTGGCCCAGGGCTGCAAAGCCTGACTAATCTCCTCGGCTTTCTGAACTGTCTCCGCAAAATTCCCCAGGAC CAGGACCAGGAGTCAGTTTTCTGGCTCTTCTGCACCTGACTGTTCTCCGGAC CTGGCCAGGGGCTGCATAATCTTCTCTGCTCACCCGGATTCCTCCTGCTTTAAGACCACC AATGCTCCAATCGGCCCTGGCGTTGGGTCACCGGAACCCTCCTGCTTAAAAACACC GACCTATTGATTGGGGCCCTGGCCTTGGCCAAGCACTTTCCCCATTACAAACGCCCG GCTGAAACTGATCGCCCTGGCCTTGGCAAGCAGTCTTTTCCACAATCCCCGTGAACAC GACCTATTGATTGGGGCCCCGGCCCTGGAGCAGCAACTGGATTCTCACAACGCCCTGAACACAG TTCGTCTACGTGCCCCGGCCCTGGAGCAGCAACTGGATTCTCCCCACTTAC AAGGCCTTCCTCTTGTGGGCCAGGACCAGGAAGCAGCTTTTACCTTCTCCCACTTAC AAGCCCTTCCTCTTGTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCCCAAGTTTTGTGGCAGCATGGACCCTCAA AGCCCTTCCTCTTGTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCCCAAGTTTTGTGGCAGCATGGACCCTCAA AGCCCTTCCTCTTGTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCCCAAGTTTTGTGGCAGCATGGACCCTCAA AGCCCTTCCTCTGGGCCCGGCCC		
GTCTTCGCCGACGCAACTCCCACAGGGTGGGGGCCAGGACCAGGCAGG	NO:73	
CCTGCATACTCTGTGGAAGGCAGGAATCCTCTATAAAGGGCCCGGCCCAGGCCCTCACC ACACCGCCCTGAGCCAGGCCATCCTGTGCTGGGGGGGAGCTCATGACCCTGGCCGGACCT GGACCCGGGGAGAGCAGACTGGTGGTGGTGTGACCCAATTCAGCAGAGGAAACGGAC CCGGCCCTGGGCCTTTTCTGCTGGCTCAGTTTACATCTGCTATTTGTTCTTGTGTCGGCCC CGGCCCAGGGCTCTTTCTGCTGCAGTGTTTACATCTCTGCTTTTTTTT		1
ACACCGCCTGAGGCAGGCCATCCTGTGCTGGGGGGAGCTCATGACCCTGGCCGGACCT GGACCCGGGAAGACGAGCTGGTGGTGGATTTCAGCCAATTCAGCAGAGAAACGGAC CCGGCCCTGGGCCTTTTCTGCTGGCTCAGTTTACATCTGCTATTTGTTGTGGTCGGCCC CGGCCCAGGACTCGTGCCTTTCGTGCAGTGGTTCTTCGTACATCTGCTATTTCTTGTGGTCGGCCC CGGCCCAGGCTCCAGCCATCATCTCCCACCCAATCATCCTCGGCTTCCCCAAAGATTCGACC CGGCCCAGGCTCCAGCAATCTCTCCTGGCTCTTCTTCGGACCTGCCCCTTTTGGCCC TGGACCAGGCCTGCAAAGCCTGACTAATCTGCTCAGCAGCAACCTGTCCTGGCTGG	Į.	
GGACCCGGGGAGAGCAGACTGGTGGTGGATTTCAGCCAATTCAGCAGAGGAAACGGAC CCGGCCCTGGGCCTTTTCTGCTGGCTCAGTTTACATCTGCTATTTGTTCTGTGGTCGGCCC CGGCCCAGGCTCATCTTCTGTGCAGTTGTTCGTGGGACTGTCCCCTACAGTCGGGCC CGGCCCAGGCTCATCTGTACTCCCACCCAATCATCCTCGGCTTCCGCAAGATTGGACC CGGCCCAGGCTCCAACATCTCTCCTGGCTCTCTCTGGACGTTCTGCCGCCTTTGGCCC TGGACCAGGCCTGCAAAGCCTGACTAATCTGCTCAGCAGCAACCTGTCCTGGCTGG	ŀ	
CCGGCCCTGGGCCTTTTCTGCTGGCTCAGTTTACATCTGCTATTTGTTCTGTGGTCGGCCC CGGGCCGGACTCGTGCCTTTCGTGCAGTGGTTCGTGGGACTGTCCCCAACAGTCGGCCC CGGCCCAGGCTGCATCTTCTTCACACCCAATCATCCTCCGCCTTCCGCAAGATTGGACC CGGCCCAGGCTCCAGCAATCTCCTCCGCCTCTCTTCGGACGTTCCGCAAGATTGGACC CGGCCCAGGCTCCAGCAATCTCTCCTGGCTCTCTCTGGACCGCCTTTGGCCC TGGACCAGGCCTGCAAAGCCTGACTAATCTGCTCAGCAGCAACCTGTCCTGGTCGGAC CTGGCCCAGGGGCTGCTTTTTCTGCTCACCCGGATTCTCACAATTCCCCAGTCCGGAC CAGGACCAGGACTCAGTTTTCGGCTGGCTCTGGATCAGCACCCC AATGCTCCAATCGGCCCTGGCGTCGGGGTGAGACCCCTCCTTCTTATAGACACCC AATGCTCCAATCGGCCCTGGCCTTGGCGTCGGGCCATGACCGTGAATGAGAAGCCCG GCTGAAGCTGATCGGCCCTGGCCCTGGCGTCGGGCCAACTGACCGTGAATGACAACAC GACCTATTGATTGGGGCCCTGGCCCTGGAGCAGCAACTGGCTTTTCCACAATTCCTCTCACACTTAC AAGCCTTCACGTGCCCGGGCCCTGGAGCAGCAACTGGATTCTCAGGGGAACAAGC TTCGTCTACGTGCCCGGGCCCGGACCAGGAAGCAGGCTTTTACCTTCTCCCACTTAC AAGCCTTCCTCTGTTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCCCAAGTTTTGTGGCAGCATGGACCCTCAA AGCCGCTGCTGAL \$ Stop		
CGGGCCGGACTCGTGCCTTTCGTGCAGTGGTTCGTGGGACTGTCCCCTACAGTCGGGCC CGGCCCAGGGCTGCATCTGTACTCCCACCCAATCATCCTCGGCTTTCGGCAAGATTGGACC CGGCCCAGGCTCCAGCAATCTCTCCTGGCTTTCTGGACGTTTCTGCCGCTTTTGGCCC TGGACCAGGCCTGCAAAGCCTGACTAATCTGCTCAGCAGCAACCTGTCCTGGCTGG		
CGGCCCAGGGCTGCATCTGTACTCCCACCCAATCATCCTCGGCTTCCGCAAGATTGGACC CGGCCCAGGCTCCAGCAATCTCTCCTGGCTCTCTGGACGTTCTGCCGCCTTTGGCCC TGGACCAGGCCTGCAAAGCCTGACTAATCTGCTCAGCAGCAAACCTGTCCTGGCCC TGGCCCAGGGGCTGCAAAGCCTGACTAATCTGCTCAGCAGCAAACCTGTCCTGGCCC CTGGCCCAGGGGCTGGCTTCTTTCTGCTCACCCGGATTCTCACAATTCCCCAGTCCGGAC CAGGACCAGGAGTCAGTTTCGGGGTGTGGATCACAATTCCCCAGTCCGGAC CAGGACCAGGAGTCAGTTTCGGGGTGTGGATCAGGACCCCTCCTGCTTATAGACCACCC AATGCTCCAATCGGCCCTGGCCCTGGCGACAGCAGTGCTTTCGCAAATGCCCGTGAACA GACCTATTGATTGGGGCCCTGGCAAGCAGTGCTTTCGCAAACTGCCCGTGAACA GACCTATTGATTGGGGCCCGGGCCCTGGACAGCCAACTGGATTCTCAGGGGAACAAGC TTCGTCTACGTGCCCGGGCCCGGACCAGGGAAGCAGCCTTTTACCTTCTCTCCACTTAC AAGGCCTTCCTCTGTGGGCCAGGCCCGGCCC		
CGGCCCAGGCTCCAGCAATCTCTCCTGGCTCTCTCGGACGTGTCTGCCGCCTTTGGCCC TGGACCAGGCCTGCAAAGCCTGACTAATCTGCTCAGCAGCAACCTGTCCTGGCTGG	1	
TGGACCAGGCCTGCAAAGCCTGACTAATCTGCTCAGCAGCAACCTGTCCTGGGAC CTGGCCCAGGGGCTGGCTTCTTTCTGCTCACCCGGATTCTCACAATTCCCCAGTCCGGAC CAGGACCAGGAGTCAGTTTCGGGGTGTGGATCAGGACCCCTCTGCTTATAGACCACCC AATGCTCCAATCGGCCCTGGCCCTGGCCAGCGTGAACGATGAGAAGCCCG GCTGAAGCTGATCGGCCCTGGCCCTGGCAACAGTGCTTTCGCAAACTGCCCGTGAACA GACCTATTGATTGGGGCCCCTGGCCAACCAGTGATTCTCAGGGGAACAAGC TTCGTCTACGTGCCCGGGCCCTGGAGCAACCAGGATTCTCAGGGGAACAAGC TTCGTCTACGTGCCCGGGCCCTGGAGCAACTGGATTCTCAGGGGAACAAGC TTCGTCTACGTGCCCGGGCCCGGACCAAGGGATTTTACCTTCTCCCACTTAC AAGCCGTTCCTCTGTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCGCCAAGTTTTGTGGCAGCATGGACCCTCAA AGCCGCTGCCTGA \$\sinp\$\sinp\$\ 1032 HTL Polypeptide CHATAL POLYPEPTIDE MGTSFVYVPSALNPADGPGPGLCQVFADATPTGWGLGPGPGRHYLHTLWKAGILYKGPGP GPHHTALRQAILCWGELMTLAGPGPGESRLVVDFSQFSRGNGPGPGFFLLAQFTSAICSVVG PGPGLVPFVQWFVGLSPTVGPGPGLHLYSHPIILGFRKIGPGPGSSNLSWLSLDVSAAFGPGPG UQSLTNLLSSNLSWLGPGPGAGFFLLTRILTIPQSGPGPGVSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPIGPGPG VGPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGPGKQCFRKLPVNRPIDWGPGPGAANWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQ AFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAKFVAAWTLKAAA		
CTGGCCCAGGGGCTGGCTTCTTTCTGCTCACCCGGATTCTCACAATTCCCCAGTCCGGAC CAGGACCAGGAGTCAGTTTCGGGGTTGGATCAGGACCCTCTGCTTATAGACCACCC AATGCTCCAATCGGCCCCGGCCCTGGCGTCGGGCCACTGACCGTGAATGAGAAGCGCCG GCTGAAGCTGATCGGCCCTGGCCCTGGCAAGCAGCCAACTGCCGTGAACACACGACCTATTGATTG	ľ	
CAGGACCAGGAGTCAGTTTCGGGGTGTGGATCAGGACCCTCTGCTTATAGACCACCC AATGCTCCAATCGGCCCCGGCCCTGGCGTCGGGCCACTGACCGTGAATGAGAAGCGCCG GCTGAAGCTGATCGGCCCTGGCCCTGGCAAGCAGTGCTTTCGCAAACTGCCCGTGAACA GACCTATTGATTGGGGCCCCGGCCCTGGAGCAGCCAACTGGATTCTCAGGGGAACAAGC TTCGTCTACGTGGCCCGGGCCCGGACCAGGGAAGCAGCTTTTACCTTCTCCCACTTAC AAGGCCTTCCTCTGTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCCCAAGTTTTGTGGCAGCATGGACCCTCAA AGCCGCTGCCTGA \$\begin{array}{c} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		TGGACCAGGCCTGCAAAGCCTGACTAATCTGCTCAGCAGCAACCTGTCCTGGCTGG
AATGCTCCAATCGGCCCCGGCCCTGGCGTCGGGCCACTGACCGTGAATGAGAAGCGCCG GCTGAAGCTGATCGGCCCTGGCCCTGGCAAGCAGTGCTTTCGCAAACTGCCCGTGAACA GACCTATTGATTGGGGCCCCGGCCCTGGAGCAGCCAACTGGATTCTCAGGGGAACAAGC TTCGTCTACGTGCCCGGGCCCGGACCAGGGAAGCAGCTTTTACCTTCTCCCACTTAC AAGGCCTTCCTCTGTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCGCCAAGTTTGTGGCAGCATGGACCCTCAA AGCCGCTGCCTGA Stop 1032 HTL Polypeptide NO:74 Polypeptide NO:74 POPHTALRQAILCWGELMTLAGPGPGESRLVVDFSQFSRGNGPGPGFFLLAQFTSAICSVVG PGPGLVPFVQWFVGLSPTVGPGPGLHLYSHPIILGFRKIGPGPGSSNLSWLSLDVSAAFGGPGG LQSLTNLLSSNLSWLGPGPGAGFFLLTRILTIPQSGPGPGVSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPIGPGPG VGPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGPGKQCFRKLPVNRPIDWGPGPGAANWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQ AFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAKFVAAWTLKAAA		
GCTGAAGCTGATCGGCCCTGGCAAGCAGTGCTTTCGCAAACTGCCCGTGAACA GACCTATTGATTGGGGCCCCGGCCCTGGAGCAGCCAACTGGATTCTCAGGGGAACAAGC TTCGTCTACGTGCCCGGGCCCGGACCAGGGAAGCAGCTTTTACCTTCTCCCACTTAC AAGCCCTTCCTTGTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCGCCAAGTTTGTGGCAGCATGACCCTCAA AGCCGCTGCQTGA \$ top		CAGGACCAGGAGTCAGTTTCGGGGTGTGGATCAGGACCCCTCCTGCTTATAGACCACCC
GACCTATTGATTGGGGCCCCGGCCCTGGAGCCAACTGGATTCTCAGGGGAACAAGC TTCGTCTACGTGCCCGGGCCCGGACCAGGGAAGCAGGCTTTTACCTTCTCCCACTTAC AAGGCCTTCCTCTGTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCGCCAAGTTTGTGGCAGCATGGACCCTCAA AGCCGCTGCCTGA \$ stop 1032 HTL Polypeptide MGTSFVYVPSALNPADGPGPGLCQVFADATPTGWGLGPGPGRHYLHTLWKAGILYKGPGP GPHHTALRQAILCWGELMTLAGPGPGESRLVVDFSQFSRGNGPGPPGFFLLAQFTSAICSVVG PGPGLVPFVQWFVGLSPTVGPGPGLHLYSHPIILGFRKIGPGPGSSNLSWLSLDVSAAFGPGPG LQSLTNLLSSNLSWLGPGPGAGFFLLTRILTIPQSGPGPGVSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPIGPGPG VGPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGPGKQCFRKLPVNRPIDWGPGPGAANWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQ AFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAKFVAAWTLKAAA		AATGCTCCAATCGGCCCCGGCCCTGGCGTCGGGCCACTGACCGTGAATGAGAAGCGCCG
TTCGTCTACGTGCCCGGGCCCGGACCAGGGAAGCAGGCTTTTACCTTCTCCCACTTAC AAGGCCTTCCTCTGTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCGCCAAGTTTGTGGCAGCATGGACCCTCAA AGCCGCTGCCTGA \$ stop 1032 HTL Polypeptide MGTSFVYVPSALNPADGPGPGLCQVFADATPTGWGLGPGPGRHYLHTLWKAGILYKGPGP GPHHTALRQAILCWGELMTLAGPGPGESRLVVDFSQFSRGNGPGPPGFLLAQFTSAICSVVG PGPGLVPFVQWFVGLSPTVGPGPGLHLYSHPIILGFRKIGPGPGSSNLSWLSLDVSAAFGPGPG LQSLTNLLSSNLSWLGPGPGAGFFLLTRILTIPQSGPGPGVSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPIGPGPG VGPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGPGKQCFRKLPVNRPIDWGPGPGAANWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQ AFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAKFVAAWTLKAAA	1	GCTGAAGCTGATCGGCCCTGGCCAAGCAGTGCTTTCGCAAACTGCCCGTGAACA
AAGGCCTTCCTCTGTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCGCCAAGTTTGTGGCAGCATGGACCCTCAA AGCCGCTGCQTGA \$ Stop		GACCTATTGATTGGGGCCCCGGCCCTGGAGCAGCCAACTGGATTCTCAGGGGAACAAGC
AGCCGCTGCGTGA \$\stop\$ \$1032 HTL Polypeptide		TTCGTCTACGTGCCCGGGCCCGGACCAGGGAAGCAGGCTTTTACCTTCTCCCACTTAC
HTL SEQ ID NO:74 Polypeptide	1	AAGGCCTTCCTCTGTGGGCCAGGCCCCGGCCCAAGTTTGTGGCAGCATGGACCCTCAA
HTL SEQ ID NO:74 Polypeptide		AGCCGCTGCA
HTL SEQ ID NO:74 Polypeptide		Stop
HTL SEQ ID NO:74 Polypeptide		
SEQ ID NO:74 NO:74 SEQ ID NO:74 SEQ ID NO:74 SEQ ID NO:74 MGTSFVYVPSALNPADGPGPGLCQVFADATPTGWGLGPGPGRHYLHTLWKAGILYKGPGP GPHHTALRQAILCWGELMTLAGPGPGESRLVVDFSQFSRGNGPGPGFFLLAQFTSAICSVVG PGPGLVPFVQWFVGLSPTVGPGPGLHLYSHPIILGFRKIGPGPGSSNLSWLSLDVSAAFGPGPG LQSLTNLLSSNLSWLGPGPGAGFFLLTRILTIPQSGPGPGVSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPIGPGPG VGPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGPGKQCFRKLPVNRPIDWGPGPGAANWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQ AFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAKFVAAWTLKAAA	TITT	
NO:74 GPHHTALRQAILCWGELMTLAGPGPGESRLVVDFSQFSRGNGPGPGPFLLAGFTSAICSVVG PGPGLVPFVQWFVGLSPTVGPGPGLHLYSHPIILGFRKIGPGPGSSNLSWLSLDVSAAFGPGPG LQSLTNLLSSNLSWLGPGPGAGFFLLTRILTIPQSGPGPGVSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPIGPGPG VGPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGPGKQCFRKLPVNRPIDWGPGPGAANWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQ AFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAKFVAAWTLKAAA	птг	Polypeptide
NO:74 GPHHTALRQAILCWGELMTLAGPGPGESRLVVDFSQFSRGNGPGPGPFLLAGFTSAICSVVG PGPGLVPFVQWFVGLSPTVGPGPGLHLYSHPIILGFRKIGPGPGSSNLSWLSLDVSAAFGPGPG LQSLTNLLSSNLSWLGPGPGAGFFLLTRILTIPQSGPGPGVSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPIGPGPG VGPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGPGKQCFRKLPVNRPIDWGPGPGAANWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQ AFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAKFVAAWTLKAAA		1
NO:74 GPHHTALRQAILCWGELMTLAGPGPGESRLVVDFSQFSRGNGPGPGPFLLAGFTSAICSVVG PGPGLVPFVQWFVGLSPTVGPGPGLHLYSHPIILGFRKIGPGPGSSNLSWLSLDVSAAFGPGPG LQSLTNLLSSNLSWLGPGPGAGFFLLTRILTIPQSGPGPGVSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPIGPGPG VGPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGPGKQCFRKLPVNRPIDWGPGPGAANWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQ AFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAKFVAAWTLKAAA	aro m	↑
PGPGLVPFVQWFVGLSPTVGPGPGLHLYSHPIILGFRKIGPGPGSSNLSWLSLDVSAAFGPGPG LQSLTNLLSSNLSWLGPGPGAGFFLLTRILTIPQSGPGPGVSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPIGPGPG VGPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGPGKQCFRKLPVNRPIDWGPGPGAANWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQ AFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAKFVAAWTLKAAA	SEQ ID	
PGPGLVPFVQWFVGLSPTVGPGPGLHLYSHPIILGFRKIGPGPGSSNLSWLSLDVSAAFGPGPG LQSLTNLLSSNLSWLGPGPGAGFFLLTRILTIPQSGPGPGVSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPIGPGPG VGPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGPGKQCFRKLPVNRPIDWGPGPGAANWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQ AFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAKFVAAWTLKAAA	NO:74	GPHHTALRQAILCWGELMTLAGPGPGESRLVVDFSQFSRGNGPGPGPFLLAQFTSAICSVVG
VĞPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGPGKQCFRKLPVNRPÌDWGPGPGAANWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQ AFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAKFVAAWTLKAAA ↓	^ ' ' ' '	PGPGLVPFVQWFVGLSPTVGPGPGLHLYSHPIILGFRKIGPGPGSSNLSWLSLDVSAAFGPGPG
AFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAKFVAAWTLKAAA ↓		LQSLTNLLSSNLSWLGPGPGAGFFLLTRILTIPQSGPGPGVSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPIGPGPG
↓		VGPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGPGKQCFRKLPVNRPIDWGPGPGAANWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQ
↓		AFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAKFVAAWTLKAAA
1		↓
344		344

Effective minimization of junctional epitope content

[0349] After defining epigene constructs for the CTL and HTL vaccine constructs, we proceeded with a more in-depth characterization. First, we verified that the computer-based epigene construct design effort effectively minimized the presence of junctional epitopes. The junctional epitope content of the CTL and HTL components was determined using a motif scan and

compared to two sets of random assortments of the same CTL and HTL epitopes. The results are shown in Table 15.

Table 15. Example of minimization of junctional epitopes in vaccine constructs

		SEQ ID	Junctional
Construct	Protocol	NO:	CTL Motifs⁴
HBV30K ¹	minimized		1
HBV30R1	random ²		84
HBV30R2	random		99
HBV HTL ¹	GPGPG	2	12
HBV HTL NS1	no spacer ³		42
HBV HTL NS2	no spacer		37

- 1. Vaccine CTL and HTL epigene constructs.
- 2. Random arrangement of CTL epitopes optimized for processing.
- 3. HTL epigene constructs without spacers.
- 4. Number of junctional epitopes bearing HLA-A1, -A2, -A3, -A24 or B7 motifs.

[0350] The number of junctional epitopes present in the optimally designed CTL epitope vaccine is approximately 100-fold lower, compared to random arrangements. While the HTL component was not specifically minimized for the presence of junctional CTL epitopes, the use of the GPGPG spacer (SEQ ID NO:2), to eliminate HTL functional epitopes within the string of HBV-specific HTL epitopes, did reduce the presence of junctional CTL epitopes by approximately 4-fold. Junctional HTL epitopes were not considered in the analysis of the CTL epitope string as the presence of such epitopes in the CTL epigene construct should only serve to stimulate non-specific help much in the same way as the universal HTL epitope mentioned above (Alexander, J. et al., Immunity 1:751-761 (1994)).

[0351] BLAST searches were performed to evaluate the potential for homology of junctional regions in the HBV CTL and HTL epigene constructs. As input sequences, we considered each of the 47 sequences comprised of four C-terminal residues of an epitope, the spacer sequence itself, if present, and

7

the four N-terminal residues of the following epitope. For the BLAST search parameters, we used the search option "Short nearly exact matches." To run the search with the least stringent criteria, we used the default settings present on the web page; expect value at 20,000 and word size set at 2. The organism field was restricted to *Homo sapiens*. Table 16 lists the results.

[0352] No junctional region was 100% homologous to any human sequence. The highest homology was 78% and the least was 54% (mean 63 ± 7.4). For the sake of comparison, an identical homology search was run on a random sample of seven CTL and four HTL HBV epitopes (Table 17).

[0353] The best homology detected was 67% and the least was 30% (mean 54 \pm 13).

Table 16. Results of human homology search based on epigene construct junctional motifs

					
Junctiona Region	Source	SEQ ID NO	Sequence	% Homology	Accession No.
1	pol 562-NAAA-pol 745	75	GIHLNAAAKYTS		
	Unknown protein for MGC:20975	76	GIHLN*AA****	58	AAH14187
2	pol 745-NAAA-env 332	77	PWLLNAAARFSW		·
	sulfonylurea receptor l	78	PWLLNA*****	50	AAC36724.1
3	env 332-NAA-pol 530	79	LVPFNAAFPHC		
	KIAA1219 protein	80	***F+AAF*HC	55	BAA86533.2
4	pol 530-KAA-pol 388	81	FSYMKAALVVD		
	Hypothetical protein	82	FSYMKAA****	63	XP_073807.1
5	pol 388-GA-env 249	83	QFSRGAILLL		
	Hypothetical protein	84	QFS*GAIL**	70	XP_066589.1
	Hypothetical protein FLJ22313	85	*FSR*AILL*	70	NP_071768.2
6	env 249-NAAA-pol 149	86	IFLLNAAAHTLW		
	Hypothetical protein	87	**LLNA**H*LW	58	XP_060325.1
7	pol 149-KA-env 359	88	ILYKKAWMMW		
	Nebulin	89	ILYK*AW***	60	AAB02622.1
	Hypothetical protein FLJ14753	90	****AWMMW	50	AAH21093
8	pol 149-KA-pol 640	91	PSLYKAYPAL		
	Intergrase interactor 1	92	*SLYK*YP+L	70	AAA81905.1
9	pol 640-GAA-env 335	93	YACIGAAWLSL		

	Steroid 18- hydroxylase	94	**C+*A*WLSL	55	AAB34642.1
	CGI-67 protein	95	YA*I*AAWL+L	73	AAD34062.1
10	env 335-NAAA-env 183	96	VPFVNAAAFLLT		
	Hypothetical protein	97	*PFVNA**FL**	58	CAD38882.1
	KIAA1742 protein	98	*PFVN*AA*LL*	67	BAB21833.2
11	env 183-NAAA-env 313	99	ILTINAAAIPIP		
	hRANKL2	100	*LTINA**IP**	58	AAC517.62.1
12	env 313-KAAA-core	101	SWAFKAAAEYLV	••	
	AP-2 beta transcription factor	102	****KAAAEYL*	58	CAC04182.1
13	core 117-N-core 19	103	FGVWNLPSD		
	Hypothetical protein	104	*GVWNL*SD	78	CAD38975.1
14	core 19-KAAA-core 18	105	FPSVKAAAFLPS		
	Nascent- polypeptide- associated complex	106	*PS*KAAAFL**	67	XP_061543.1
15	core 18-KAAA-core 419	107	FPSVKAAADLLD	••	
	Zinc finger protein 64	108	**SVKAA++LL*	58	XP_087479.4
16	core 419-N-pol 392	109	SALYNSWPK		
	Immunoglobulin kappa VLJ region	110	***YN+WPK	55 .	AAM46537.1
17	pol 392-KAAA-pol 531	111	VPNLKAAASAIC		
	DNA poly. epsilon catalytic subunit	112	**NLKAAAS***	58	AAA15448.1
18	pol 531-K-pol 415	113	VVRRKLSLD		
	Hypothetical protein	114	V+RRK+SLD	78	XP_064183.1
19	pol 415-NAA-padre	115	AAFYNAAAKFV		
	Potassium voltage- gated channel	116	*AFYN*A+KF*	64	AAH27932.1
20	padre-KAA-pol 47	117	KAAAKAANVSI		
	Laminin beta precursor	118	KAA*KAAN+**	64	AC005048.1
21	pol 47-GAA-pol 455	119	WTHKGAAGLSR		
	Hypothetical protein	120	WTHKG+*GL+R	73	XP_117843.1
22	pol 455-NAAA-core 141	121	VARLNAAASTLP		
	Solute carrier family 39 (zinc transporter)	122	VARL+AAA****	58	NP_060237.1
	Hypothetical protein	123	VA*L*AAA+TL*	67	XP_120525.1
23	core 141-K-pol 429	124	VVRRKHPAA	;-	
	Hypothetical protein	125	VRRKHP*A*	78	XP_117855.1
24	pol 429-KAAA-env 236	126	PHLLKAAARWMC		
_	Hypothetical protein	127	**LL*AA*RW*C	58	XP_105701.1
25	env 236-N-pol 166	128	RFIINASFC		

	Hypothetical protein	129	RFII+A*F*	67	XP_072766.5
26	pol 166-KAA-pol 538	130	GSPYKAAYMDD		
	Hypothetical protein	131	**PY**AYMD*	54	AAH01463
27	pol 538-NA-core 101	132	VLGVNALWFH		
	Hypothetical protein	133	**GV+ALWF*	60	XP_118305.1
	Hypothetical protein	134	VL*+*ALWFH	70	XP_059358.1
28	core 101-KAAA-pol 354	135	CLTFKAAATPAR		
	KIAA1853 protein	136	**TFKA*ATP**	58	BAB47482.1
	Alpha 1 type XIII collagen	137	**T*KAAAT*AR	67	NP_542994.1
29	pol 354-KAAA-core 137	138	GGVFKAAALTFG		
	Unknown	139	*GV**AA+LTFG	67	AE006639.1
30	core 137-K-pol 665	140	VLEYKQAFT		
	Hypothetical protein	141	VL+YKQ*F*	67	XP_101671.1
	X-linked mental retardation cand. gene	142	VL*YKQ*FT	78	CAA65075.1
31	pol 665-GPGPG-pol 774	143	PTYKGPGPGGTSF		
	sialyltransferase 1	144	**YKGPGPG****	54	CAA35111.1
	N2B-Titin isoform	145	**YK*PGP*GT*F	61	CAD12455.1
32	pol 774-GPGPG-pol 694	146	NPADGPGPGLCQV		
	golgi antigen	147	NPAD*PGPG****	61	AAC06338.1
33	pol 694-GPGPG-pol 145	148	GWGLGPGPGRHYL		
	L-myc-1 proto- oncogene protein	149	*WGLGPG*G****	54	AAA59879.1
34	pol 145-GPGPG-core 50	150	ILYKGPGPGPHHT		
	sialyltransferase 1	151	**YKGPGPG****	54	CAA35111.1
35	core 50-GPGPG-pol 385	152	MTLAGPGPGESRL		
	Hypothetical protein	153	**LAGPGPG*SR*	69	XP_069591.1
<u>-</u>	Mitogen-activated protein kinase	154	****GPG*GESRL	61	XP_027237.1
36	pol 385-GPGPG-pol 523	155	SRGNGPGPGPFLL		
	protein kinase C mu	156	**G+GPGP*PFL*	61	CAA53384.1
	CD1-alpha-3 antigen	157	SRG**PGPG**LL	69	AAA51935.1
37	pol 523-GPGPG-env 339	158	CSVVGPGPGLVPF		
	Inducible nitric oxide synthase	159	C*++GPG*G+VPF	61	AAL02120.1
38	env 339-GPGPG-pol 501	160	SPTVGPGPGLHLY		
	Atrophin-1	161	SPTVGPGP****	61	S50832
39	pol 501-GPGPG-pol 420	162	FRKIGPGPGSSNL		
	Hypothetical protein	163	*RKI*PGPG****	54	XP_069589.1
	Hypothetical protein	164	*RKI**G*GSSN*	61	XP_169769.1

40	pol 420-GPGPG-pol 412	165	SAAFGPGPGLQSL		
	Epsin 2b protein	166	S*+FGPGPG++S+	61	AAC78609.1
41	pol 412-GPGPG-env 180	167	LSWLGPGPGAGFF		
	Hypothetical protein	168	LSWLGPG*G****	61	XP_097563.1
	Unnamed protein product	169	***LGPGP**GFF	61	BAC05301.1
42	env 180-GPGPG-core 120	170	IPQSGPGPGVSFG		
	Transmembrane protein	171	**PQ+GPGPGV**	61	AAC64943.1
43	core 120-GPGPG-pol 96	172	NAPIGPGPGVGPL	••	
	Unnamed protein product	173	****GPGPG*GPL	61	BAC05043.1
	Neuregulin 2 isoform 4	174	*AP*GPGPG*GP*	69	AAF28851.1
44	pol 96-GPGPG-pol 618	175	LKLIGPGPGKQCF		
	Unknown protein	176	LKL*GPGPG****	61	AF318376.1
45	pol 618-GPGPG-pol 767	177	PIDWGPGPGAANW		
	Hypothetical protein	178	**DWGPGPG****	54	XP_066062.1
46	pol 767-GPGPG-pol 664	179	VYVPGPGPGKQAF		
	TAF4 RNA polymerase II	180	***PGPGPGK*A*	61	XP_036470.2
47	pol 664-GPGPG-padre	181	AFLCGPGPGAKFV		
	Polycystic kidney disease 1 protein	182	**LCGP*PGA***	54	AAC37576.1

- 1. Spacer groups with the 4 adjacent residues from neighboring epitopes were utilized as query sequences.
- 2. BLAST search parameters: Expect 20000, Word size 2, Matrix PAM30, No. of alignments 250
- 3. Resultant sequence matches with the lowest E value are presented first.
 - No sequence identified had an E value < 1.
- 4. If additional sequences were identified with greater homology, they are also presented.
- 5. Asterisks (*) denote non-matching residues; plus signs (+) denote residues of similar chemical composition.

Table 17. Results of human immunology search using random epitope order

Junctional Region	Source	SEQ ID NO:	Sequence	% Homology	Accession No.
4	pol 530	183	FPHCLAFSYM		
	Endothelin receptor B delta 3	184	**HCLAFS**	60	AF114165.1
8	pol 149	185	WMMWYWGPSLY		

	Hypothetical protein FLJ12389	186	WMMW*W****	45	NP_076417.1
11	env 183	187	FLLTRILTI		
	Hypothetical protein DKFZ	188	*LLTR+LT*	67	AAH30825.1
13	core 117	189	EYLVSFGVW		
	Glucose 6-phosphate translocase	190	*YLV*FGV*	67	CAA75608.1
15	core 18	191	FLPSDFFPSV		
	T-cell activation NFKB-like protein	192	FLP*DF+P**	60	NP_116110.2
18	pol 531	193	SAICSVVRR		
	Membrane-spanning 4- domains (MS4A8B) protein	194	SAICS*V**	67	AF237905.1
24	pol 429	195	HPAAMPHLL		
	Hypothetical protein	196	H*AAMPH**	67	XP_120541.1
35	core 50	197	PHHTALRQAILCWGE LMTLA		
	Cysteine dioxygenase	198	*********ILCWGE****	30	BAA12873.1
36	pol 385	199	ESRLVVDFSQFSRGN		
	B/K protein	200	****VVDF*+FSR**	47	NP_057608.1
38	env 339	201	LVPFVQWFVGLSPTV		
	Cytochrome B561	202	***FVQW*VG*S***	47	AAC50212.1
47	pol 664	203	KQAFTFSPTYKAFLC		
	hypothetical protein FLJ23441	204	*Q*FTF*PT++A***	47	AAH07800

- 1. Spacer groups with the 4 adjacent residues from neighboring epitopes were utilized as query sequences.
- 2. BLAST search parameters: Expect 20000, Word size 2, Matrix PAM30, No. of alignments 250.
- 3. Resultant sequence matches with the lowest E value are presented first.
 - No sequence identified (except human Hepatitis B viral capsid) had an E value < 1.
- 4. Asterisks (*) denote non-matching residues; plus signs (+) denote residues of similar chemical composition.
- [0354] In conclusion, the degree of homology of the vaccine junctional regions to human sequences was not dissimilar from other sequences, such as the HBV epitopes themselves which are regarded as non-self by the immune system and which are not associated with autoimmune manifestations.
 - E. Effective Optimization of antigen processing and epitope presentation
- [0355] To demonstrate that optimized epigene constructs were efficient for delivery of epitopes to the immune system, human lymphoblastoid cell lines

were transfected with the vaccine construct and which were then used as APC in antigenicity assays (Livingston, B.D. et al., Vaccine. 19:4652-4660 (2001)). These assays provide a means to determine the relative amounts of epitopes produced as a result of processing. Utilizing these assays, Livingston demonstrated that spacer optimization can enhance, by as much as a thousand fold, the yield of specific epitopes (Livingston, B.D. et al., Vaccine. 19:4652-4660 (2001)). Transfectants are generated with various vaccine constructs, including GCR-5835 (see below), as constructs based on whole HBV genes. These results demonstrate that the approach of processing optimization, based on specific spacer residues, is highly effective. Furthermore, since the cell lines used for transfection are of human origin, these data provide an important validation, in a human system, of the results described above obtained in HLA-transgenic mice.

4. Configuration, formulation and delivery of the vaccine

Vaccine Configuration

- [0356] The HTL and CTL epigene constructs were designed and optimized independently. However, co-delivery of the HTL and CTL components in a single DNA vaccine is considered optimal. Three vaccine alternatives include (1) the use of two separate CMV promoters; (2) the use of the CMV promoter in conjunction with an IRES, and (3) a construct encoding the CTL + HTL components in a single reading frame (Figure 29A). For examples of the third alternative, see Tables 18 and 19 (e.g. GCR-5835 and GCR-3697). The immunogenicity of these different strategies was evaluated utilizing HLA-A2 transgenic mice; the results are shown in Figure 29B. It is apparent that each of the configurations induced generally comparable CTL responses against all the HLA-A2 epitopes. Overall, the fused construct performed as well or better than the other vaccine configurations and it is currently regarded as the leading vaccine configuration due to simplicity.
- [0357] To create a vaccine better suited for human use and potentially augment immunogenicity, the nucleotide sequence of GCR-5835 (Table 18) was modified to match human codon frequencies, increase mRNA stability

and reduce mRNA secondary structure. The immunogenicity of the modified construct, referred to as GCR-3697 (Table 19), was compared to that of GCR-5835 in HLA-A2 transgenic mice. Animals were immunized i.m. with either 5μg or 50μg of the human optimized GCR-3697 or GCR-5835 and CTL responses were measured using primary IFN-γ ELISPOT assays (Figure 30). At the 50 μg dose there was an average five-fold increase in the magnitude of CTL responses to epitopes core 18, env 183 and pol 538 in the animals immunized with GCR-3697. The HTL responses induced by the two constructs were generally equivalent (data not shown). Since the GCR-3697 vaccine construct appeared to have greater potency, with respect to CTL immunogenicity, and may possess attributes that will enhance immunogenicity in humans, its selection as the primary vaccine is warranted.

Table 18. Epigene fusion construct in GCR-5835 plasmid

GCR-	Polynucleotide
5835	1 Start
SEQ ID NO:205	The the the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer of the transfer of transfer
GCR-	Dolymontido 2292
5835	Polypeptide
SEQ ID NO:206	↑ MGMQVQIQSLFLLLLWVPGSRGFLLSLGIHLNAAAKYTSFPWLLNAAARFSWLSLLVPFNAA FPHCLAFSYMKAALVVDFSQFSRGAILLLCLIFLLNAAAHTLWKAGILYKKAWMMWYWGPS LYKAYPALMPLYACIGAAAWLSLLVPFVNAAAGFLLTRILTINAAAIPIPSSWAFKAAAEYLVS FGVWNLPSDFFPSVKAAAFLPSDFFPSVKAAADLLDTASALYNSWPKFAVPNLKAAASAICSV VRRKLSLDVSAAFYNAAAKFVAAWTLKAAAKAANVSIPWTHKGAAGLSRYVARLNAAAST LPETTVVRRKHPAAMPHLLKAAARWMCLRRFIINASFCGSPYKAAYMDDVVLGVNALWFHI SCLTFKAAATPARVTGGVFKAAALTFGRETVLEYKQAFTFSPTYKNAGTSFVYVPSALNPAD GPGPGLCQVFADATPTGWGLGPGPGRHYLHTLWKAGILYKGPGPGPHHTALRQAILCWGEL MTLAGPGPGESRLVVDFSQFSRGNGPGPGFFLLAQFTSAICSVVGPGPGLVPFVQWFVGLSPT VGPGPGLHLYSHPIILGFRKIGPGPGSSNLSWLSLDVSAAFGPGPGLQSLTNLLSSNLSWLGPGP GAGFFLLTRILTIPQSGPGPGVSFGVWIRTPPAYRPPNAPIGPGPGVGPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGP GKQCFRKLPVNRPIDWGPGPGAANWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQAFTFSPTYKAFLCGPGPGAK FVAAWTLKAAAGS ↓ 763

Table 19. Epigene fusion construct in GCR-3697 plasmid

GCR-3697	Polynucleotide
SEQ ID NO:207	Start
GCR-3697	Polypeptide
SEQ ID NO:208	↑ MGMQVQIQSLFLLLLWVPGSRGFLLSLGIHLNAAAKYTSFPWLLNAAARFSWLSLLVPF NAAFPHCLAFSYMKAALVVDFSQFSRGAILLLCLIFLLNAAAHTLWKAGILYKKAWMM WYWGPSLYKAYPALMPLYACIGAAAWLSLLVPFVNAAAGFLLTRILTINAAAIPIPSSWA FKAAAEYLVSFGVWNLPSDFFPSVKAAAFLPSDFFPSVKAAADLLDTASALYNSWPKFA VPNLKAAASAICSVVRRKLSLDVSAAFYNAAAKFVAAWTLKAAAKAANVSIPWTHKG AAGLSRYVARLNAAASTLPETTVVRRKHPAAMPHLLKAAARWMCLRRFIINASFCGSPY KAAYMDDVVLGVNALWFHISCLTFKAAATPARVTGGVFKAAALTFGRETVLEYKQAFT FSPTYKNAGTSFVYVPSALNPADGPGPGPGCQVFADATPTGWGLGPGPGRHYLHTLWKA GILYKGPGPGPHHTALRQAILCWGELMTLAGPGPGESRLVVDFSQFSRGNGPGPGPFLLA QFTSAICSVVGPGPGLVPFVQWFVGLSPTVGPGPGLHLYSHPIILGFRKIGPGPGSSNLSW LSLDVSAAFGPGPGLQSLTNLLSSNLSWLGPGPGAGFFLLTRILTIPQSGPGPGVSFGVWI RTPPAYRPPNAPIGPGPGVGPLTVNEKRRLKLIGPGPGKQCFRKLPVNRPIDWGPGPGAA NWILRGTSFVYVPGPGPGKQAFTFSPTYKAFLCG 744

Vaccine formulation

[0358] Naked-DNA vaccines have not proved optimal for delivering vaccine immunogens in humans (Wang, et al., Science 282:476 (1998)). We therefore selected an alternative formulation based on the use of a polymer surfactant, This is a non-condensing delivery system polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP). designed to increase the tissue distribution of the DNA, to protect DNA from degradation and to increase uptake by cells. PVP is a commonly used pharmaceutical formulation excipient that is nontoxic and approved for human clinical use. The properties and mechanisms of action for PVP appear to be very similar to the nonionic block copolymer, CRL1005. Safety, toxicity and biodistribution/clearance tests were completed to support use for a HIV-1 vaccine program. The data not only support the safety of the formulation, but also cellular uptake of DNA appears to be increased by more than a log, based on comparison to naked DNA. Thus, the use of this delivery system can be supported by available data.

[0359] We evaluated the effects of the PVP on the immunogenicity of the CTL and HTL epitopes using an HIV-1 vaccine and several of the HBV vaccine constructs. Data obtained using an HIV-1 epitope encoding epigene construct demonstrated that PVP increased the immunogenicity of epitopes that were only poorly immunogenic when delivered in a naked-DNA vaccine (data not shown). The immunogenicity of GCR-5835 was evaluated in the context of three different formulations, PVP, naked DNA, and cardiotoxin (CT) pre-priming. CT pretreatment is an experimental approach commonly utilized in laboratory animals to enhance effectiveness of naked DNA injections. CT destroys muscle fibers which then take up DNA as they regenerate (Davis, H.L. et al., Mol. Genet. 2:1847-1851 (1993)). The results are shown in Figure 31. While CT pretreatment was the most effective at priming high magnitude responses, this approach is not clinically applicable. The PVP-formulated DNA increased the magnitude of responses for two of the six epitopes measured when compared to naked DNA, while the frequency of positive responses was higher for five of six epitopes. This data establishes that the PVP formulation increases the potency of the vaccine as compared to a naked DNA delivery.

Vaccine route of administration and delivery

[0360] A PVP-formulated DNA plasmid vaccine can be delivered intramuscularly (i.m.). The i.m. route of administration is commonly used for DNA vaccines. In preliminary experiments, we utilized an HBV prototype epigene construct, pMin1, to evaluate various DNA delivery routes (Table 20). In these experiments, i.m. needle delivery was compared with needleless delivery of PVP-formulated DNA via Biojector and ballistic delivery of gold particle/DNA via PowderJect. Overall, the i.m. needle delivery performed as well or better than the other delivery methods tested although other delivery methods may be used.

Improvements to the naked-DNA vaccine technology

- [0361] Naked DNA vaccines have proven to be relatively poor immunogens in non-human primates and humans but studies completed thus far were based on the delivery of intact genes encoding full-length proteins, or epitopes without spacer optimizations. Despite its relatively modest human immunogenicity, naked DNA immunization does appear to be remarkably effective in "priming" CTL responses (Ramshaw, J.A. and Ramsay, A.J., *Immunol. Today 21*:163-165 (2000)).
- [0362] Epigene construct design and addition of PVP are utilized to increase DNA uptake. Epigene constructs may include a small plasmid DNA backbone and a small vaccine insert that can enhance cellular uptake of DNA, relative to larger clinically tested constructs.

Table 20. Comparison of route of DNA delivery for induction of CTL responses

Comparison of route of DNA delivery for indcution of CTL responses				
	Immunogenicity (SU) ¹			
Delivery	HBV core 18	HIV pol 476	HBV pol 455	
IM	1342.9 (1.8)	1133.3 (1.3)	879.5 (2.1)	
ID	740.1 (1.5)	0	0	
Biojector	44.7 (3.9)	103.2 (1.4)	44.8 (3.1)	

	Immunogenicity (SFC/10 ⁶ CD8 cells) ²					
Delivery	HBV core 18	HIV pol 476	HBV pol 455	HIV env 120	HBV pol 551	HBV env 335
IM	285.0 (17.4)	147.5 (19.8)	155.0 (15.0)	60.0 (15.6)	485.0 (24.9)	68.3 (8.2)
Gene Gun	287.5 (23.8)	146.7 (24.2)	25.8 (7.5)	0.8 (5.5)	35.5 (7.6)	35.8 (16.2)

- 1. Immunogenicity of pMin1 in HLA-A2 using different routes of delivery. CTL responses were measured using an *in situ* ELISA assay (McKinney, D.M. *et al.*, *J. Immunol. Methods*. 237:105-117 (2000)).
- 2. Immunogenicity of pMin1 in HLA-A2 using needle IM or Gene Gun immunization CTL responses were measured using a primary IFN-γ ELISPOT
- [0363] The heterologous prime-boost regimen, using a DNA vaccine first and either proteins or viral vectors to boost responses, is currently considered to be the most immunogenic for genetic vaccines (Ramshaw, J.A. and Ramsay, A.J., *Immunol. Today 21*:163-165 (2000)). Heterologous prime:boost approaches can be utilized as a component of HBV vaccine delivery.
 - 5. Potency and characterization of the vaccine
 - A. Relevant levels of immunogenicity are obtained in HLA-transgenic mice
- [0364] The magnitude of responses obtained using the GCR-5835 vaccine was evaluated in HLA-A2-transgenic mice and compared to responses induced following immunization with the experimental lipopeptide vaccine CY-1899. The lipopeptide vaccine was selected for this evaluation because the core18 epitope is present in both vaccine constructs and CY-1899 is known to elicit a potent CTL response in healthy humans (Livingston, B.D. et al., J. Immunol.

159:1383-1392 (1997)). Responses induced in the mice are shown in Figure 32. Splenocytes from mice immunized with the GCR-5835 construct produced IFN-γ responses to all six HLA-A2-restricted epitopes encoded in the construct; measured using an ELISPOT assay (Figure 32A). A response to the core 18 epitope in CY-1899 was also observed, but the magnitude was considerably lower than the core 18 epitope response induced using the GCR-5835 vaccine construct. However, after a 6 day restimulation with peptide, the core 18 responses induced by these two different format vaccines were very similar (Fig. 32B).

[0365] The magnitude of responses obtained for the other A2-restricted epitopes was found to be comparable to those known to mediate clearance of HBV infection. We observed primary ELISPOT responses ranging from approximately 100 SFC/10⁶ CD8+ cells (env 335) to greater than 300 SFC/10⁶ CD8+ cells (env 183), well within the range of other responses detected in acute infections as detailed in Section 1A.

B. Quality of responses

[0366] Clearance of HBV is mediated by a complex series of molecular events, including indirect, lymphokines-mediated effects, as well as direct lysis of infected cells, especially the ones harboring integrated virus. IFN-γ production was measured in all experiments described thus far, which is relevant since this lymphokine is involved in clearance of HBV infection (Chisari, F.V. and Ferrari, C. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 13:29-60 (1995); Guidotti, L.G. et al., Immunity. 4:25-36 (1996)). DNA immunization has been shown to induce CTL capable of lytic activity (Ishioka, G.Y. et al., J. Immunol. 162:3915-3925 (1999)). HLA-transgenic mice can be also be immunized with GCR-5835 and/or GCR-3697.

[0367] The immunological assay results presented were generally derived using pooled preparations of splenocytes from 3-6 mice. Additional experiments were performed to determine if responses against multiple epitopes were induced in individual animals. HLA-A2 transgenic mice were immunized either once or twice, at a one week interval, with GCR-5835 formulated in PVP. Splenocytes from individual animals were harvested

separately and restimulated with a pool of the six HLA-A2 epitope peptides encoded in the vaccine. IFN- γ secretion was then measured in response to individual peptides using an ELISPOT assay. After a single immunization, all the mice responded to at least one epitope, average response rate was 4.2 ± 2.0 epitope/mouse (Fig. 33A). After a second immunization, the average number of epitopes recognized was increased to 5.6 ± 0.5 (Fig. 33B). These data have particular relevance in light of recent data on immunodominance (Rodriguez, F. et al., J. Virol. 76:4251-4259 (2002)), and indicates that immunogen optimization and repeated immunizations may be used to counterbalance the narrowness of responses resulting from immunodominance (Chen, M. et al., J. Virol. 74:7587-7599 (2000); Yewdell, J.W. et al., Annu. Rev. Immunol. 17:51-88 (1999)).

6. Summary and Conclusions

- [0368] Multi-epitope CTL/HTL epigene constructs are effective for immunotherapy of chronic HBV infection and can be used in the treatment of anti-viral-treated, chronically-infected individuals.
- [0369] Processes used for identifying CTL and HTL epitopes suitable for use in the design of vaccines are described above. The projected population coverage and immune response redundancy afforded by these epitope sets in different ethnic backgrounds is consistent with the breadth and multispecificity of responses naturally associated with resolution of HBV infection. The vaccine design methods utilized to assemble the multi-epitope constructs entailed the optimization of proteosomal cleavage (CTL epitopes), and the minimization of junctional motifs (HTL epitopes).
- [0370] Specific vaccine constructs were produced that induced potent CTL responses in HLA-transgenic mice against most or all of the epitopes evaluated. The vaccine construct induces levels of HBV epitope-specific CTL in transgenic mice that are similar, in magnitude, to the responses induced using the CY-1899 vaccine, which is known to be immunogenic in humans, and that are similar to the levels of CTL responses observed in humans during resolution of HBV infection.

- [0371] In addition, we showed how different vaccine configurations are effective for simultaneous delivery of CTL and HTL epitopes. Epigene constructs may contain HTL and CTL epitopes that are co-linearly synthesized from a single genetic insert and as such, the vaccine is readily manufactured and stable.
- [0372] A PVP-based DNA formulation is associated with increased activity, as compared to naked DNA. Similarly, i.m. delivery appears to be, in the system investigated, the most practical and is associated with activity at least as good as other delivery methods (Biojector or gene gun). A combination of priming with an optimized epigene construct formulated in PVP, followed by boosting with a viral vector can also be used.

Table 21: Codon Usage Table for Human Genes (Homo sapiens)

Amino Acid	Codon	NT1	Frequency
DI	ITTT	Number	0.4505
Phe	UUU	326146	0.4525
Phe	UUC	394680	0.5475
Total	1	720826	
Leu	UUA	139249	0.0728
Leu	UUG	242151	0.1266
Leu	CUU	246206	0.1287
Leu	CUC	374262	0.1956
Leu	CUA	133980	0.0700
Leu	CUG	777077	0.4062
Total		1912925	
Ile	AUU	303721	0.3554
Ile	AUC	414483	0.4850
Ile	AUA	136399	0.4830
Total	AUA	854603	0.1390
Total	1	[834003	
Met	AUG	430946	1.0000
Total	<u></u>	430946	
Val	GUU	210423	0.1773
Val	GUC	282445	0.2380
Val	GUA	134991	0.1137
Val	GUG	559044	0.4710
Total	1000	1186903	0.4710
Total		11100203	
Ser	UCU	282407	0.1840
Ser	UCC	336349	0.2191
Ser	UCA	225963	0.1472
Ser	UCG	86761	0.0565
Ser	AGU	230047	0.1499
Ser	AGC	373362	0.2433
Total		1534889	
Pro	CCU	333705	0.2834
Pro	CCC	386462	0.3281
Pro	CCA		0.2736
		322220	
Pro	CCG	135317	0.1149
Total		1177704	
Thr	ACU	247012	0.2410
	ACC	247913	0.2419
Thr	ACC	371420	0.3624

Amino Acid	Codon		Frequency
	Codon	Number	Trequency
Thr	ACA	285655	0.2787
Thr	ACG	120022	0.1171
Total	1	1025010	
	•		
Ala	GCU	360146	0.2637
Ala	GCC	551452	0.4037
Ala	GCA	308034	0.2255
Ala	GCG	146233	0.1071
Total		1365865	
	_		
Tyr	UAU	232240	0.4347
Tyr	UAC	301978	0.5653
Total		534218	
His	CAU	201389	0.4113
His	CAC	288200	0.5887
Total		489589	
Gln	CAA	227742	0.2541
Gln	CAG	668391	0.7459
Total	<u> </u>	896133	
Asn	AAU	322271	0.4614
Asn	AAC	376210	0.5386
Total		698481	
Lys	AAA	462660	0.4212
Lys	AAG	635755	0.5788
Total	<u> </u>	1098415	
			
Asp	GAU	430744	0.4613
Asp	GAC	502940	0.5387
Total		933684	<u></u>
	T =		
Glu	GAA	561277	0.4161
Glu	GAG	787712	0.5839
Total		1348989	
	T		
Cys	UGU	190962	0.4468
Cys	UGC	236400	0.5532
Total		427362	
	1		
Trp	UGG	248083	1.0000
Total		248083	
	T G G T T	Lagar	
Arg	CGU	90899	0.0830

Amino Acid	Codon		Frequency
		Number	
Arg	CGC	210931	0.1927
Arg	CGA	122555	0.1120
Arg	CGG	228970	0.2092
Arg	AGA	221221	0.2021
Arg	AGG	220119	0.2011
Total		1094695	
Gly	GGU	209450	0.1632
Gly	GGC	441320	0.3438
Gly	GGA	315726	0.2459
Gly	GGG	317263	0.2471
Total		1283759	
Stop	UAA	13963	
Stop	UAG	10631	
Stop	UGA	24607	

Table 22: Codon Usage Table for Mouse Genes (Mus musculus)

Amino Acid	Codon	Number	
			Frequency
Phe	บบบ	150467	0.4321
Phe	UUC	197795	0.5679
Total		348262	
Leu	UUA	55635	0.0625
Leu	UUG	116210	0.1306
Leu	CUU	114699	0.1289
Leu	CUC	179248	0.2015
Leu	CUA	69237	0.0778
Leu	CUG	354743	0.3987
Total		889772	
Ile	AUU	137513	0.3367
Ile	AUC	208533	0.5106
Ile	AUA	62349	0.1527
Total		408395	
Met	AUG	204546	1.0000
Total		204546	
Val	GUU	93754	0.1673
Val	GUC	140762	0.2513
Val	GUA	64417	0.1150

Amino Acid	Codon	Number	
			Frequency
Val	GUG	261308	0.4664
Total		560241	
Ser	UCU	139576	0.1936
Ser	UCC	160313	0.2224
Ser	UCA	100524	0.1394
Ser	UCG	38632	0.0536
Ser	AGU	108413	0.1504
Ser	AGC	173518	0.2407
Total		720976	
Pro	CCU	162613	0.3036
Pro	CCC	164796	0.3077
Pro	CCA	151091	0.2821
Pro	CCG	57032	0.1065
Total	<u> </u>	535532	
	T		
Thr	ACU	119832	0.2472
Thr	ACC	172415	0.3556
Thr	ACA	140420	0.2896
Thr	ACG	52142	0.1076
Total	<u> </u>	484809	
			·
Ala	GCU	178593	0.2905
Ala	GCC	236018	0.3839
Ala	GCA	139697	0.2272
Ala	GCG	60444	0.0983
Total	<u> </u>	614752	
	T		
Tyr	UAU	108556	0.4219
Tyr	UAC	148772	0.5781
Total	<u> </u>	257328	
<u></u>	T = =	1	
His	CAU	88786	0.3973
His	CAC	134705	0.6027
Total	<u> </u>	223491	
	<u> </u>		
Gln	CAA	101783	0.2520
Gln	CAG	302064	0.7480
Total		403847	
	T		
Asn	AAU	138868	0.4254
Asn	AAC	187541	0.5746
Total	<u> </u>	326409	

Amino Acid	Codon	Number	Frequency
Lys	AAA	188707	0.3839
Lys	AAG	302799	0.6161
Total	7010	491506	0.0101
Total	<u> </u>	1421300	<u>, I , </u>
Asp	GAU	189372	0.4414
Asp	GAC	239670	0.5586
Total		429042	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Glu	GAA	235842	0.4015
Glu	GAG	351582	0.5985
Total		587424	
Cys	UGU	97385	0.4716
Cys	UGC	109130	0.5284
Total		206515	
Trp	UGG	112588	1.0000
Total		112588	
Arg	CGU	41703	0.0863
Arg	CGC	86351	0.1787
Arg	CGA	58928	0.1220
Arg	CGG	92277	0.1910
Arg	AGA	101029	0.2091
Arg	AGG	102859	0.2129
Total		483147	
Gly	GGU	103673	0.1750
Gly	GGC	198604	0.3352
Gly	GGA	151497	0.2557
Gly	GGG	138700	0.2341
Total		592474	
Stop	UAA	5499	
Stop	UAG	4661	
Stop	UGA	10356	

EXAMPLE 18

Proteasomal processing of a hepatitis B virus polyepitope gene product in vitro

Introduction

[0373] A CTL epitope-based approach to the design of a vaccine against chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) was taken. A synthetic gene encoding a series of 16 epitopes was made where the epitopes are separated by amino acid spacers designed to enhance proteolytic processing. In vitro translation as well as transient expression of this HBV polyepitope minigene in a human cell line results in rapid degradation of the polyprotein, as expected for a gene product that is labile to proteasome activity. This HBV polyepitope (AOSIb) was fused directly to a fluorescent protein for ease of detection. Addition of proteasome-specific inhibitors to transfected cultures showed a marked increase in the amount of fusion protein present in cells, as judged by FACS analysis, fluorescence microscopy and Western blot. The ability of proteasome inhibitors to block processing of the polyepitope gene product, combined with in vivo immunogenicity to the pathogen-specific epitopes in the DNA plasmid show that the amino acid spacers were efficacious in A subsequent HBV polyepitope construct assuring class I processing. (AOSIb.2) was made that incorporates several amino acid additions expected to improve proteasomal processing. The results show that the spacer sequences used in this HBV polyepitope plasmid can promote proteasome processing of the expressed polypeptide and efficient CTL epitope presentation.

2. Experimental approach

[0374] DNA expression cassettes were designed where HBV polyepitope strings were fused to a fluorescent marker to facilitate protein detection and quantitation in vitro. Spacers of varying composition were added to one construct to evaluate potential improvements in intracellular epitope processing. Proteasome inhibitors were added to plasmid-transfected cells to prevent proteasome degradation of cytosolic proteins. The presence of fusion

proteins was monitored by fluorescent marker detection via FACS, fluorescence microscopy or Western blots. The amount of fluorescence trapped in the cells was quantified to look for changes in polyprotein processing. The effect on in vivo immunogenicity in HLA-A2 transgenic mice was also measured for both plasmids to determine if the amino acid spacers had beneficial effects.

3. Composition of HBV polyepitope constructs

[0375] HBV AOSIb and HBV AOSIb2 carry virus specific epitopes that are optimized. The constructs encode HLA-A2, HLA-A3 and HLA-B7 supertype epitopes, 16 epitopes total. The HBV AOSIb2 construct has additional amino acids added to enhance proteasomal processing while the HBV AOSIb has no added residues. A schematic and the amino acid sequence of the CTL constructs HBV AOSIb and HBV AOSIb2 are shown in Figure 34 and Tables 23-24. An example of a polynucleotide sequence encoding HBV AOSIb and HBV AOSIb2 is shown in Tables 23-24.

Table 23. Epigene encoded by HBV AOSIb construct

HBV AOSIb	Polynucleotide
SEQ ID NO:209	1 Start Total
	010

AOSIb	<u>Polypeptide</u>
SEQ ID NO:210	I ↑ MGMQVQIQSLFLLLLWVPGSRGHTLWKAGILYKAKFVAAWTLKAAAFLPSDFFPS VFLLSLGIHLYMDDVVLGVGLSRYVARLFLLTRILTISTLPETTVVRRQAFTFSPTYK WLSLLVPFVIPIPSSWAFTPARVTGGVFKVGNFTGLYLPSDFFPSVTLWKAGILYKN VSIPWTHKLVVDFSQFSRSAICSVVRRALMPLYACI \$\delta_{206}\$

Table 24. Epigene encoded by HBV AOSIb2 construct

HBV	Polynucleotide
AOSIb2	
	1 Start
SEQ ID	T ATGGGAATGCAGGTGCAGATCCAGAGCCTGTTTCTGCTCCTCTGTGGGTGCCC
NO:211	GGGTCCAGAGGACACCCTGTGGAAGGCCGGAATCCTGTATAAGGCCAAGTT
110.211	CGTGGCTGCCTGAAGGCTGCCGCTTTCCTGCCTAGCGATTTCTTTC
	AGCGTGAACTTCCTGCTGTCCCTGGGAATCCACCTGTATATGGATGACGTGGTG CTGGGAGTGGGACTGTCCAGGTACGTGGCTAGGCTGTTCCTGCTGACCAGAATC
	CTGACCATCTCCACCCTGCCAGAGACCACCGTGGTGAGGAGGCAGGC
	CTTTAGCCCTACCTATAAGGGAGCCGCTGCCTGGCTGAGCCTGCTGGTGCCCTT
	TGTGAATATCCCTATCCCTAGCTCCTGGGCTTTCAAGACCCCAGCCAG
	ATTTCTTTCCTAGCGTGAAGACCCTGTGGAAGGCCGGAATCCTGTACAAGAATG
	TGTCCATCCCTTGGACCCACAAGGGAGCCGCTCTGGTGGTGGACTTTTCCCAGT TCAGCAGAAATTCCGCTATCTGCTCCGTGGTGAGGAGAGCTCTGATGCCACTGT
	ATGCCTGTATCTGA
	↓Stop
	657
HBV	Polypeptide
AOSIb2	Totypopulae
7105102	
SEO ID	T MGMQVQIQSLFLLLLWVPGSRGHTLWKAGILYKAKFVAAWTLKAAAFLPSDFFPS
SEQ ID	VNFLLSLGIHLYMDDVVLGVGLSRYVARLFLLTRILTISTLPETTVVRRQAFTFSPTY
NO:212	KGAAAWLSLLVPFVNIPIPSSWAFKTPARVTGGVFKVGNFTGLYNLPSDFFPSVKTL WKAGILYKNVSIPWTHKGAALVVDFSQFSRNSAICSVVRRALMPLYACI
	WAGILI KIVVSIF W I TROAALV VDFSQFSKNSAICS V VKKALMPLYACI
	219

4. In vitro protein expression and detection method

[0376] Transient transfection of human 293 cell lines was carried out with plasmids encoding the fluorescent-conjugated polyepitopes HBV AOSIb or HBV AOSIb.2, or the fluorescence reporter plasmid with no epitopes. 5 μM of the irreversible proteasome inhibitor MG132 was added 24 hours post-transfection. Fluorescence was detected in live cells by either flow cytometry (FACS) or fluorescence microscopy within 24 hours of the addition of the proteasome inhibitor. The increase in the number of fluorescent cells

transfected with plasmid HBV AOSIb in the presence or absence of proteasome inhibitor was measured as shown in Figure 35A, as a function of incubation time with the inhibitor. Figure 38 shows the comparison in number of fluorescent cells detected (by FACS) after 24 hour incubation with inhibitor for cells transfected with the three different plasmids. The more profound effect was noted for the spacer-optimized plasmid HBV AOSIb.2. The number of cells expressing the various fluorescent fusion proteins was also measured by fluorescence microscopy of live cells as shown in Figure 39. Western blot detection was performed by preparing whole cell lysates from transfected cells, separating proteins by gel electrophoresis, transferring to blotting membranes, and detecting proteins with an antibody against the fusion partner protein. The increase in amount of proteins detectable upon addition of the proteasome inhibitors lactacystin (25 µM) or MG132 (5 µM) was then determined. The results are shown in Figure 36.

5. Mouse immunogenicity assay method

[0377] Transgenic HLA-A2 mice were injected i.m. with 100 ug of a plasmid encoding HBV AOSIb or HBV AOSIb2 polyepitopes. Mice were sacrificed 14 days later and their spleens were homogenized to collect T lymphocytes and APCs. Cells were stimulated in culture with peptides corresponding to the various HBV epitopes. The secretion of IFN-γ was measured by a modified ELISA method (to detect secretory units). The results are summarized in Table 25.

Table 25.HLA-A2 Tg mice immunogenicity for plasmids AOSIb and AOSIb.2

	HBV AOSIb	(100ug dose)	HBV AOSIb2 (100ug do.		ug dose) HBV AOSIb2 (100ug dos	
Epitope	magnitude	frequency	magnitude	frequency		
core 18	102.7 (1.8)	6/6	480.6 (1.4)	6/6		
pol 562	-	0/6	260.2 (2.1)	6/6		
pol 538	2643.8 (1.3)	6/6	2332.3 (1.4)	6/6		
pol 455	2234.6 (1.3)	6/6	334.3 (1.3)	6/6		
env 183	877.5 (1.3)	6/6	962.8 (1.3)	6/6		
env 335	6.1	1/6	44.9 (1.6)	6/6		
pol 642	1859.8 (1.6)	6/6	1819.0 (1.6)	6/6		

6. Summary of results

[0378] The HBV DNA constructs carry virus specific epitopes in optimized cassettes able to elicit CTL responses, and additional amino acids were introduced between the epitopes of one construct to potentially enhance proteasomal processing and thereby class I presentation of antigen.

[0379] Both HBV-fluorescent protein fusions were more labile than the fluorescent protein alone, suggesting the HBV polyepitopes are readily degraded and drive the degradation of the whole fusion product. Proteasome inhibitors allow the detection of greater amounts of fluorescent fusion products but have no effect on the fusion partner if expressesed alone, indicating that this is indeed a cytosomal proteasome activity enhanced by the polyepitopes. The effect of proteasome inhibitor is more pronounced for the spacer-optimized HBV AOSIb2 product than for the HBV AOSIb fusion protein indicating that the processing sites added to the HBV AOSIb2 molecule had the desired effect of increasing its processivity. Studies in HLA-A2 transgenic mice showed an improvement in immunogenicity of several epitopes for the "optimized" HBV AOSIb2 plasmid compared to HBV AOSIb.

EXAMPLE 19

Epitope-specific T cell responses measured in HLA transgenic mice immunized with GCR-3697

[0380] Epitope-specific T cell responses were measured in HLA transgenic mice immunized with GCR-3697 using splenic lymphocytes obtained 11-14 days following immunization (Figure 37). Groups of 6-9 HLA-transgenic mice were immunized bilaterally with 100 μg of DNA in the tibialis anterior muscle. DNA was delivered in either PBS or PVP formulations; in the case of PBS formulations the injection site was pre-treated by cardiotoxin injection. Mice immunized with PVP based formulations were immunized twice with 100 μg of DNA in a four day period.

[0381] CTL responses were measured using an in situ ELISA assay based on the production of IFN- y. Assays were conducted by culturing splenocytes (2.5 x 10⁷) with peptide (1 μg/ml) and irradiated lipopolysaccharide (LPS)activated splenocytes (10⁷) in RPMI medium for 6 days at 37⁰ C in 5% CO₂. After the 6-day stimulation, serially diluted splenocytes were cultured for 20 hours, with and without peptide (1 µg/ml), and 10⁵ HLA-matched Jurkat target cells. Assays were performed on ELISA plates (Costar, Corning, NY) precoated with rat monoclonal antibody specific for murine IFN-y (Clone RA-6A2, BD Biosciences / Pharmingen). The following day, the cells were removed by washing the plates with PBS with 0.05% Tween-20 and the amount of IFN- γ secreted was measured using a sandwich format ELISA. A biotinylated rat monoclonal antibody (clone XMG1.2, BD Biosciences / Pharmingen) was used to detect captured IFN-y. Horseradish peroxidasecoupled strepavidin (Zymed) and 3,3',5,5' tetramethylbenzidine and H₂O₂ (ImmunoPure TMB Substrate Kit, Pierce) were used according to the manufacturer's directions for color development. The absorbance was read at 450 nm on a Labsystems Multiskan RC ELISA plate reader. In situ ELISA data was converted to secretory units (SU) for evaluation (McKinney et al 2000).

[0382] Overall, GCR-3697 induced CTL responses to multiple epitopes restricted by a variety of HLA-supertypes. The magnitude and the breadth of the responses induced are consistent with the nature of the immune responses generally considered to of therapeutic value.

* * *

[0383] The entire disclosure of each document cited (including patents, patent applications, journal articles, abstracts, laboratory manuals, books, entries in sequence databases, or other disclosures) in the Background, Definitions, Detailed Description, and Examples is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

[0384] Those skilled in the art will know, or be able to ascertain using no more than routine experimentation, many equivalents to the specific

embodiments of the invention described herein. These equivalents are intended to be encompassed by the following claims.